



Environmental Knowledge and Perception of Secondary School Students in Katsina, Nigeria

Sani Abubakar Mashi^{1*}, Fatima Mohammed², Bassey Ubom² and Amina Ibrahim Inkani³

¹Department of Geography and Environmental Management, University of Abuja, PMB 117, Abuja, Nigeria.

²Department of Science and Environmental Education, University of Abuja, PMB 117, Abuja, Nigeria.

³Department of Geography, Umaru Musa Yar'adua University, PMB 2218, Katsina, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJEE/2021/v16i430277

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Ravi Kant Chaturvedi, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China.

Reviewers:

(1) Tadele Tesfaye Labiso, Wolaita Sodo University, Ethiopia.

(2) Caroline Obasoro, Adeyemi College of Education, Nigeria.

Complete Peer review History, details of the editor(s), Reviewers and additional Reviewers are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/79624>

Original Research Article

Received 25 October 2021
Accepted 26 December 2021
Published 27 December 2021

ABSTRACT

This study assesses environmental knowledge of some selected secondary school students in Katsina, Nigeria. Three representative schools out of total 39 were selected for the purpose of this study. These are (i) Ulul Al-Bab Science Secondary School (Co-educational School, both girls and boys), (ii) Government College, Katsina (Boys only school) and (iii) Government girls college Katsina (Girls only school). 150 students (25 students per each of levels 1-6 of secondary education) were sampled in each of the three selected schools. The selected students were issued with the prepared questionnaire addressing some key issues that probe students' depth of knowledge of environmental problems, their consequences and solutions of solving them. ANOVA statistical test was used to test for significant variation in the level of environmental knowledge of the students within the individual schools studied in order to identify the extent to which variation in levels of study (i.e. age-grade) on environmental knowledge level of the students. The same test was also used to test for significant difference in the environmental knowledge of the students between different level schools in order to determine the effect of variation in gender characteristics on the knowledge level. The results obtained indicate in general that the secondary school students in

the town display medium to high level of knowledge on the causes, consequences and solutions of environmental problems, but gender and level of study have generally significant influences the environmental knowledge levels of the students. Appropriate recommendations were made to help improve the level of student's knowledge of environmental issues in the area.

Keywords: Environment; students; knowledge; schools; katsina; secondary.

1. INTRODUCTION

The value and importance of Environmental Education (EE) has been endorsed internationally long before the sustainable development debate assumed international dimension [1-8]. For long, educational efforts are increasingly being seen as means for increasing individuals' environmental knowledge and capacity to work towards addressing environmental problems, with schools seen as important media through which such knowledge can be acquired [9-16]. Accordingly, large volume of published research information is available on environmental knowledge of school students for many areas of the world such as USA [17-22] Lebanon [23], Netherlands [24] Malaysia [25], Israel [26,27], Taiwan [28], Canada [29], Turkey [30-33], Greece [34-38], Australia [39] China [40] Cross-country (China, USA, Switzerland and England) study [41] and Jordan [42]. Similarly, large volume of research works have now been completed assessing the effects of different variables on variations in levels of environmental knowledge of students and teachers in school systems in many countries [11, 43, 44, Sieg et al., 2010; [45-60]

In Nigeria, the role of EE in achieving sustainable development has for long been appreciated. Twenty years ago, the country produced its first draft Curriculum for Infusing Environmental Education in Secondary Schools [61] and the same year a workshop was convened by the country's curriculum development agency to develop strategies for integrating EE in school programmes, with secondary schools (the second of the three-tier education system) seen as the most strategic. Subsequently, much attention has particularly been paid by many research workers towards evolving strategies of effectively integrating EE into elementary and secondary school curricular in the country [62-66,11, [43,67,48,50,51,52,54,55,56,57,60]. The Draft EE Curriculum for the country became fully operational in 1998 but to date few researchers [68, Ajiboye and Ajitomi, 2008; 46] have focused on the role of schools as means for increasing people's environmental knowledge in Nigeria.

Even then, these studies were conducted in the humid, southern part of the country and unfortunately, more than 2/3 of the country's landmass lie in the northern part which ecologically is dry and dry sub humid in nature with enormous challenges for sustainable environmental development. The personal experience of secondary school students of environmental condition (which is an important determinant of environmental knowledge) is no doubt going to remarkably be different between the southern and northern regions of the country. Consequently, there appears to be a gap in understanding the basic relationship between personal traits of secondary school students (especially gender, age and level of study) and their level of environmental knowledge in northern part of Nigeria. Given the strategic importance of northern Nigeria, especially being the most populous region of country, and Nigeria being the most populous black nation in the world, there is the need for such a gap to be filled and the need for this constitutes the problem of research interest to this study.

This study was hence initiated with the central aim of assessing the environmental knowledge of a sample of secondary school students of Katsina town, in Katsina state of Nigeria.

1.1 The Objectives of the Study are

1. Ascertaining students' information sources and personal levels of interest in environmental issues as well as their factual knowledge,
2. Assessing their views towards selected environmental issues.
3. investigate the relationships between students' environmental knowledge and their demographic characteristics (study level, age and gender)

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Study Population

The study was conducted in Katsina town, the capital of Katsina state, Nigeria. The town is one

of the largest in the northern region of the country and has the oldest history of western education in the region, with the first college in the region founded there in 1912. The town has a total of 16 public and 23 private secondary schools. As with other states of the country, Katsina state runs a 3-tier education system (primacy, secondary and tertiary). The secondary schools in the state are operated in line with the Nigeria's 6-3-3-4 educational system (6 years of primary, 3 years of junior secondary, 3 years of senior secondary and 4 years of tertiary education). The various secondary schools in the state can be categorised into 3 groups depending upon the composition of students' population:

- i. Co-educational (with students' population being both boys and girls) schools
- ii. Boys-only schools
- iii. Girls-only schools

Three schools considered to be representative of the remaining 36 others and belonging to the above 3 groups were selected for the purpose of this study. The schools are:

- i. Ulul Al-Bab Science Secondary School (Co-educational School)
- ii. Government College, Katsina (Boys only school)
- iii. Government girls college Katsina (Girls only school)

The average students' population of each of the three schools is 1,500 and 10% of this population was considered as representative enough for the purpose of this study. Accordingly, 150 students (25 students per each of levels 1-6 of secondary education) were sampled in each of the three selected schools. For each level, selection of the 25 students was based purely on examinations results, with the results of the students stratified into five groups (top 20%, next 20%, next 20%, next 20% and last 20%). 5 students were randomly picked from every strata. The selected students were issued with the prepared questionnaire designed.

2.2 Research Instrument

In this study, a two-part questionnaire, Children's Environmental Attitudes and Knowledge Scale (CHEAKS), which was originally developed by Leeming and Dwyer [69] and adopted by Alp et al. [31] was modified to suit the local situation of the study area and used. The questionnaire

consisted of 35 multiple-choice Likert-type items (strongly agree, agree, no idea, disagree and strongly disagree) that systematically sample the different environmental issues that probe students' depth of knowledge of environmental problems, their consequences and solutions of solving them. This was done to assess students' knowledge of problems related to environment.

The questionnaire was first administered to a total of 90 students of the three selected schools for pilot testing which helped to eliminate ambiguities and unfamiliar terms and items. After the pilot testing, the contents of the questionnaire were modified and validated. Following this, the revised questionnaire was administered to a total of 450 students (150 per school, and 25 per study level) to assess participants' knowledge of the environment, factors causing its problems, as well as environmentally responsible actions that need to be taken to take care of such problems..

Appropriate permission was obtained from the authorities of the selected schools and the measuring tool was administered by the authors during free lecture hours. The participant students duly were informed about the purpose of the study. It was clearly explained to them that their identity would be kept secret and the results of the study would not affect their grades in school.

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS, version 11.0) was used to analyse the data. Means and percentage values were determined through descriptive statistics to assess participants' environmental knowledge. The mean and percentage values were computed to summarise the various responses under every environmental knowledge test items.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Level of Environmental Knowledge

Table 1 presents data on the percentage responses received from the respondents on the five Likert-type items used in assessing their knowledge of the causes of environmental problems that will define the extent to which the students know what exactly the environment is all about and the major problems affecting it. Table 2 on the other hand presents the responses received on the items used in assessing their knowledge of consequences of environmental problems while Table 3 presents the responses received on the items used in

assessing their knowledge of solutions to solving environmental problems. Table 4 compares the differences the studied schools of the responses received on causes of environmental problems.

It could be seen from Table 1 that about 40% to 70% of the respondents indicated correctly the

various knowledge test items they were asked to respond to. On the other hand, between about 5% and 19% of the respondents indicated having no idea on the various items they were asked to respond to, while between about 8% and 24% responded wrongly to the various knowledge test items they were asked to respond to.

Table 1. Summary of the responses received on respondents' level of agreement with items on knowledge of causes of environmental problems

Items used in assessing respondents knowledge of cause of environmental problems	School	Percentage responses received on respondents' level of agreement with the item					
		SAG	AG	NID	DAG	SDA	Total
Environmental problem is anything that negatively affect soil, water, plants, air, living things, towns and villages	KTC	41.3	28	12	9.3	9.4	100
	UAB	31.2	13	12	32	12	100
	GGC	28.2	31	15	14.6	12	100
Human activities cause environmental problems that affect this generation only	KTC	40.1	28	14	9.3	8	100
	UAB	36.7	30	12	13.3	8	100
	GGC	30.2	19	17	20	13.3	100
Human activities cause environmental problems that affect future generation only	KTC	39	23	13	13.5	11.2	100
	UAB	30.6	31	17	13.3	8.2	100
	GGC	22.1	17	19	24	17.5	100
Human activities cause environmental problems that affect both the present and future generations	KTC	24.1	33	17	16	9.3	100
	UAB	43.1	19	11	15.2	12	100
	GGC	21	33	12	20.2	13.5	100
Removal of trees make the environment hotter	KTC	31.6	27	17	14.5	10.6	100
	UAB	42.6	32	11	9.3	5.4	100
	GGC	34	26	16	12.1	12	100
Planting of trees make the environment cooler	KTC	40	32	12	10.1	6.4	100
	UAB	50.6	28	8	6.6	6.8	100
	GGC	37.7	35	9.3	10.6	7.8	100
Throwing of waste all over the place make the area look ugly	KTC	37.1	25	16	12.1	9.3	100
	UAB	68	11	8	6.6	6.8	100
	GGC	32	33	16	12.1	6.6	100
Improper disposal of waste can cause many problems such as pollution and diseases	KTC	38.6	15	17	16.2	13.3	100
	UAB	60	17	8	6.6	8.1	100
	GGC	24	30	15	16.1	14.6	100
Environmental problems are occurring largely because government and people are not protecting the environment	KTC	44	12	15	17.3	12.1	100
	UAB	28	35	12	13.3	11.8	100
	GGC	32.6	24	13	17.3	13.3	100
Environmental problems are occurring because people and government are protecting the	KTC	34.7	29	13	12	10.6	100
	UAB	34.4	29	9.4	20.4	6.6	100
	GGC	29.3	24	17	13.3	16	100
Environmental problems can occur even if human activities are not taking place	KTC	20.7	36	12	19.2	12.4	100
	UAB	41.2	20	14	12.6	11.9	100
	GGC	17.3	37	13	12.1	20	100
Increase in number of people in a town is causing more environmental problems	KTC	26.6	23	18	18.6	14.6	100
	UAB	43.6	21	11	16	9.3	100
	GGC	36	22	14	16	12	100
As town grows, more environmental problems occur	KTC	16	24.1	17.3	26.6	16	100
	UAB	21.3	33.3	13.3	20.1	12	100
	GGC	38.6	13.3	17.3	18.6	12.2	100

Note: KTC (Katsina College); UAB (Ulul Al Bab); GGC (Government Girls College) SAG (Strongly agree); AG (Agree); NID (No Idea); DAG (Disagree); SDA (Strongly disagree)

Table 2. Summary of the responses received on respondents' level of agreement with items on knowledge of Consequences of Environmental Problems

Items used in assessing respondents knowledge of consequences of environmental problems	School	Percentage responses received on respondents' level of agreement with the item					
		Strongly Agree	Agree	No Idea	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total
Most human activities are damaging the environment	KTC	21.3	25.3	16.6	15.6	21.2	100
	UAB	36.2	28.4	5.3	24	6.1	100
	GGC	26.5	34.6	16.3	12	10.6	100
Our ways of life are in most cases destroying the environment	KTC	25.3	31.3	10	17.6	15.8	100
	UAB	26.6	29.3	10.6	20.2	13.3	100
	GGC	29.3	20.5	18.6	13.3	18.3	100
Most economic activities help in damaging the environment	KTC	45.9	15.2	14.4	12.1	12.4	100
	UAB	25.3	24	16	24.2	10.5	100
	GGC	30.6	20.3	14.6	21.3	13.2	100
Human beings are the major damagers of the environment	KTC	35	24.1	10.3	9.3	21.3	100
	UAB	43.1	30.3	9.3	9.3	8	100
	GGC	38.1	30.7	16.6	14.6	10;6	100
Science and technology often create more problems than they solve	KTC	37.3	34.6	12.2	13.3	2.6	100
	UAB	21.3	33.3	13.3	20.1	12	100
	GGC	46.9	15.9	11.7	13.3	12.2	100
Environmental problems make the future to look not bright	KTC	25.3	27.6	8.3	12.2	26.6	100
	UAB	32	22.6	10.6	18.6	16.2	100
	GGC	27.1	19.6	7.9	25.3	20.1	100
Flooding is occurring in the town because drainages are blocked	KTC	26.6	30.3	14.6	17.6	10.9	100
	UAB	39.2	27.6	6.6	16	10.6	100
	GGC	18.1	33.3	16	20.6	12	100
Worldwide, most childhood deaths are the results of water pollution	KTC	24	29.3	18.6	17.5	10.6	100
	UAB	22.6	41.6	10.6	14.6	10.6	100
	GGC	20	22.6	24	20.1	13.3	100

Note: KTC (Katsina College); UAB (Ulul Al Bab); GGC (Government Girls College)

These indicate clearly that comparatively greater proportion of the respondents have medium to high level of knowledge of the various environmental knowledge test items on causes of environmental problems on which they were tested. On the other hand, low (less than 25%) of them indicated wrong responses while less than 20% of the respondents indicated having no idea at all on the various environmental problems test items. These indicate that students in general did acquire a satisfactory understanding of causes of environmental problems.

It could be seen from Table 2 that less than 25% of the respondents indicated having no idea of the consequences of environmental problems and solutions to them. Between about 8% and 30% gave wrong responses to the items they were asked on consequences of environmental problems and their solutions.

On the other hand, between about 18% and 80% of the respondents responded correctly to the various items they were asked on consequences of environmental problems and their solutions.

These indicate clearly that the respondents have generally medium to high level of knowledge on the major items listed in the questionnaire on the consequences and solution of environmental problems.

3.2 Implications of the Findings

In this study, the effect of age/grade level and gender on students' environmental knowledge was investigated and the results obtained indicated that there are variations in levels of knowledge of the various environmental knowledge items considered both within the between the individual schools considered. This finding suggests that variation in age of the students cause significant variations in the level of knowledge) and between the different schools (signifying that variation in gender of the students cause significant variations in the level of knowledge).

A study by McCright [70] has noted that women convey greater assessed scientific knowledge of

climate change and express slightly greater concern about it than do men. He argued that this could not be attributed to differences in key values and beliefs or in the social roles that men and women differentially perform in society.

Table 3. Summary of the responses received on respondents' level of agreement with items on knowledge of solutions to solving environmental problems

Solutions to Solving of Environmental Problems	School	Number and % of Responses Received for the Various Options					Total
		Strongly agree	Agree	No Idea	Dis-agree	Strongly Disagree	
Proper education of the people can help is protecting the environment	KTC	42.6	22.6	16	12.2	6.6	100
	UAB	56	18.6	9.3	8.1	8	100
	GGC	33.3	30.6	16.1	12	8	100
People worry too much about environmental problems	KTC	36.2	17.3	18.6	14.6	13.3	100
	UAB	32.1	36	6.6	16	9.3	100
	GGC	30.6	25.5	17.3	17.3	9.3	100
Science and Technology can be used to reduce damage of the environment	KTC	34.6	26.6	16.2	13.3	9.3	100
	UAB	33	35.1	13.1	10	8	99.2
	GGC	33.3	17.3	14.6	17.3	17.5	100
Cleaning of the environment can help in solving environmental problems	KTC	34.6	26.6	17.3	9.3	12.2	100
	UAB	54.6	14.6	9.3	9	12.5	100
	GGC	24	26.6	13.5	16.6	19.3	100
Finding food is more important than protecting the environment	KTC	21.3	18.6	14.6	21.3	24.2	100
	UAB	28	26.6	8	21.3	16.1	100
	GGC	26.6	32.6	16.6	14.6	9.6	100
Environmental problems can be solved if people become more proactive	KTC	32	16.3	9.7	26	16	100
	UAB	38.6	22.6	12.2	14.6	12	100
	GGC	21.3	41.3	13.3	12	12.1	100
Sacrifices by people can help solve environmental problems	KTC	33.2	20.2	13.2	12.1	21.3	100
	UAB	26.6	37.4	13.2	13.5	9.3	100
	GGC	28	21.3	24.1	17.3	9.3	100
Environmental protection can reduce level of human activities	KTC	21.3	22.6	22.6	17.3	16.2	100
	UAB	38.6	24	12	16.1	9.3	100
	GGC	26.6	25.3	16.2	18.6	13.3	100
All living things have the same right to the environment	KTC	14.6	29.3	20.2	21.3	14.6	100
	UAB	22.6	25.3	12.2	26.6	13.3	100
	GGC	25.3	26.6	24.1	16	8	100
People have the right to damage the environment in order to survive	KTC	14.6	18.6	24	28.2	14.6	100
	UAB	21.3	22.6	9.5	29.3	17.3	100
	GGC	31.2	28.3	12.1	13.8	14.6	100
The earth is vast, with almost unlimited room and resources so no need to worry about environmental problems.	KTC	17.3	26.6	20	16.1	20	100
	UAB	36.3	31.9	18.5	17.3	13.3	100
	GGC	25.3	21.3	17.3	20.1	16	100
People must learn to control nature in order to survive	KTC	20	28	14.6	21.4	16	100
	UAB	36.1	38.4	17.6	5.3	2.6	100
	GGC	39.4	17.5	18.6	10.2	14.3	100
Nature should be used to produce goods for people no matter the consequences	KTC	28	25.3	16	13.2	17.5	100
	UAB	22.6	40	12.2	10.6	14.6	100
People must learn to live in harmony with nature to survive	GGC	25.3	18.5	23	18.6	14.6	100
	KTC	19.4	22.1	12.1	16.4	30	100
	UAB	28	28.2	14.6	14.6	14.6	100
	GGC	32	22.6	18.2	15.6	11.6	100

Note: KTC (Katsina College); UAB (Ulul Al Bab); GGC (Government Girls College)

Tikka et al. [71] carried out a research to establish whether differences in environmental knowledge and attitudes exist among students of different educational establishments. They found out that major variations related to gender and educational level exists among the students, with female students showing more responsibility towards the environment. Students reading subjects related to living things (plants, animals) were found to exhibit more positive attitudes than those reading other subjects (such as economics and engineering).

In a study by Kuhlemeier, et al. [24], the environmental knowledge, attitudes, and environmentally responsible behavior were studied under the Dutch National Assessment Program, in a nationwide sample of more than 9,000 students (aged \pm 15 years) from 206 secondary schools. Fifty-seven percent of the 9th-grade students had a (very) positive attitude toward the environment, and 35% were prepared to take extra pains or to make (financial) sacrifices for the environment. The students' knowledge about environmental problems was fragmentary and often incorrect, however. Similarly, the environmentally responsible behavior of many of the students was inadequate. The relation between environmental knowledge and environmental attitudes and behavior proved to be very weak. There was a substantial relation between environmental attitude, willingness to make personal sacrifices, and environmentally responsible behavior. Consistent with theories on attitudes, environmentally responsible behavior was more strongly connected with willingness to make sacrifices than with attitude toward the environment.

However, the levels of knowledge of the students can in general be regarded as medium to high and this is slightly at variance with findings of some similar researches undertaken in other countries, which indicated that school students had low levels of knowledge on basic environmental issues, but relatively uniform and favourable attitudes toward the environment [24,23]. In Turkey, alp et al. [31] have found out that secondary school students are seemed willing to make sacrifices and take precautions to protect the environment, but lacked necessary knowledge to make informed decisions. Their results showed that higher grade level students had significantly higher levels of knowledge on environmental issues and attributed this to the fact that that as students grow older and have

more experience with nature, it becomes easier to understand the basic environmental issues. In this study, though differences related to level of study of the students were found to be influencing variations in level of knowledge, the differences are generally low. The relatively low variations might be reflection of the fact that formal environmental education developed in Nigeria since 1998 has still not become fully operational in most schools in the country.

Based on the evaluation of the responses received on some items related to how the students are willing to take part in solving environmental problems appeared to indicate that the students possess favourable attitudes toward the environment. This finding, which correlates favourably to that made in turkey [72,73] can be attributed to their willingness in the preservation of nature and strong emotional bonding to animals or pets.

In a research study undertaken by Tuncer *et al.* [30] it was also reported that environmental attitudes of Turkish young people were positive. At the same time, these children suggested that environmental problems in Turkey would become much more complicated unless the individuals make the necessary changes in their lifestyles.

Dimopoulos and Pantis [73] reported no remarkable difference in environmental attitudes between 5th and 6th grade level students. The results of the present study showed that positive attitudes toward the environment decreased by grade level. The reason why these students gradually lose favourable attitudes may lie in the way environmental issues are presented [74-75].

4. CONCLUSIONS

The results obtained in this study are generally supportive of the following conclusions:

- i. Secondary school students in the town display medium to high level of knowledge on the causes of environmental problems
- ii. The students in the town also display medium to high level of knowledge on the consequences and solutions of environmental problems
- iii. Gender, and level of study have generally low influence on variations in level of environmental knowledge of the students.

In light of the conclusions reached, the following recommendations are considered as appropriate here:

- i. There is the need to ensure full and effective implementation of the developed EE curriculum in secondary schools of the country in order to enhance the level of environmental of knowledge of the students
- ii. Besides, traditional knowledge about the environment as it is taught especially at junior secondary school level which at any rate is not in essence action-oriented, there is the need to focus on passing practical proactive knowledge to students.
- iii. There is the need to make science teachers to be in a position to stimulate student interest, creativity and motivation in environmental issues.
- iv. Teaching of courses related to environment (Geography, Integrated Science, Social Studies, Biology etc) in secondary schools should be re-focused from being teacher-centred, into students-based, activity-based science classrooms in order to prepare environmentally sensitive students who would play an active role in the preservation of nature through making informed decisions.
- v. There is the need to explore the possibility of putting in place school-based environmental field projects appear in order to enhance students' environmental knowledge level.
- vi. Further research, such as qualitative and longitudinal studies, is needed to investigate deeply the enhancement of students' environmental attitudes, and formation of true environmental concepts. In addition, investigation of other predictor variables of a model focusing on environmentally responsible behaviours may be required to fully comprehend the determinants of students' behaviours.

CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard, Participants' written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. UNESCO. Final report: Intergovernmental conference on environmental education. Organized by UNESCO in Cooperation with UNEP, Tbilisi, USSR, 14–26 October, 1977. Paris: UNESCO ED/MD/49; 1978.
2. UNESCO. United Nations Decade of Education for sustainable Development: Draft International Implementation Scheme (IIS) (Paris, UNESCO); 2004.
3. UNESCO. Environmental education in the light of Tbilisi conference. Paris, France: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization; 1980.
4. NAAEE. Guidelines for the Initial Preparation of Environmental Educators. NAAEE, Rock Spring; 2000.
5. Potter G. Environmental Education for the 21st Century: Where Do We Go Now? *Journal of Environmental Education*. 2009; 41:22–33.
6. Adejoke OC, Mji A, Mukhola MS. Students' and teachers' awareness of and attitude towards environmental pollution: a multivariate analysis using biographical variables. *Journal of Human Ecology*. 2014;45(2):167-175.
7. Alvarez-García O, Sureda-Negre J, Comas-Forgas R. Assessing environmental competencies of primary education pre-service teachers in Spain: A comparative study between two universities. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*. 2018; 19(1):15-31.
8. Martínez-Borreguero G, Maestre-Jiménez J, Mateos-Núñez M, Naranjo-Correa FL. Analysis of environmental awareness, emotions and level of self-efficacy of teachers in training within the framework of waste for the achievement of sustainable development. *Sustainability*. 2020; 12(6):2563.
9. Bradley JC, Waliczek TM, Zajicek JM. Relationship between environmental knowledge and environmental attitude of high school students. *The Journal of Environmental Education*. 1999;30(3):17-21.
10. Sadik F, Sadik S. A study on environmental knowledge and attitudes of teacher candidates. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Studies*. 2014;116:2379-2385.
11. Dienno CM, Hilton SC. High School Students' Knowledge, Attitudes, and Levels of Enjoyment of an Environmental

- Education Unit on Nonnative Plants. *Journal of Environmental Education*. 2005;37:13–25.
12. Oncu EC, Unluer E. Environmental views and awareness of preschool teacher candidates. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Studies*. 2015;174:2653-2657.
 13. Erhabor NI, Don JU. Impact of Environmental Education on the Knowledge and Attitude of Students towards the Environment. *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education*. 2016;11(12):5367-5375.
 14. Uyanik G. Investigation of the attitudes towards environmental issues and knowledge levels of prospective teachers. *Ondokuz Mayıs University Journal of Education*. 2017;36(1).
 15. Chauhan RS. Environmental awareness and environmental attitude of in-service secondary school teachers (With special reference of Uttarakhand State). *Mukt Shabd Journal*. 2020;9(4):87-102.
 16. Itasanmi BS. Social studies teachers' awareness and attitude to environmental education among secondary school teachers in Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria. *KIU Journal of Social Studies*. 2020;6(1): 157-162.
 17. Orr DW. *Ecological literacy: Education and the transition to a postmodern world*. Albany, N.Y: State University of New York Press; 1992.
 18. Orr DW. "Ecological Literacy," in Dan Chiras (ed), *Voices of the Earth: Selections from America's Best Environmental Books*, (Boulder: Johnson Publishing Co; 1995.
 19. Barrow LH, Morrisey JT. Energy literacy of ninth-grade students: a comparison between Maine and New Brunswick. *Journal of Environmental Education*. 1989; 20:22–25.
 20. Hausbeck KW, Milbrath LW, Enright SM. Environmental Knowledge, Awareness and Concern Among 11th-Grade Students: New York State. *The Journal of Environmental Education*. 1992;24 (1):27-34.
 21. Wilke R. Environmental literacy and the college curriculum. *EPA Journal (Spring)*. 1995;28–30.
 22. Sivek DJ. Environmental Sensitivity among Wisconsin High School Students. *Environmental Education Research*. 2002; 8(2):155-170.
 23. Makki M, Abd-El-Khalick F, Boujaoude S. Lebanese secondary school students' environmental knowledge and attitudes. *Environmental Education Research*. 2003;9(1): 21–32.
 24. Kuhlemeier H, Van Den Bergh H, Lagerweij N. Environmental knowledge, attitudes, and behaviour in Dutch secondary education. *The Journal of Environmental Education*. 1999;30(2):4–14.
 25. Said AM, Ahmadun FIR, Paim LH, Masud J. Environmental concerns, knowledge and practices among Malaysian Teachers. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*. 2003;4(4):305-313.
 26. Goldman D, Yavetz B, Pe'er S. Environmental literacy in teacher training in Israel: Environmental behaviour of new students. *Journal of Environmental Education*. 2006;38(1):3–22.
 27. Negev M, Sagy G, Garb Y, Salzberg A, Tal A. Evaluating the environmental literacy of Israeli elementary and high school students. *Journal of Environmental Education*. 2008;39(2):2–20.
 28. Hsu SJ, Roth RE. Predicting Taiwanese secondary teachers' responsible environmental behaviour through environmental literacy variables. *The Journal of Environmental Education*. 199;30(4):11–18.
 29. Puk T, Makin D. Ecological consciousness in Ontario elementary schools: The truant curriculum and the consequences. *Applied Environmental Education and Communication*. 2006;5:269-276.
 30. Tuncer G, Ertepinar H, Tekkaya C, Sungur S. Environmental attitudes of young people in Turkey: Effects of school type and gender. *Environmental Education Research*. 2005;11(2):215–233.
 31. Alp E, Ertepinar H, Tekkaya C, Yilmaz A. A survey on Turkish elementary school students' environmental friendly behaviours and associated variables', *Environmental Education Research*. 2008; 14:2:129 — 143.
 32. Kilinc A, Stanisstreet M, Boyes A. Turkish Students' Ideas about Global Warming. *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education*. 2008;3(2):89-98.
 33. Tuncer G, Tekkaya C, Sungur S, Cakiroglu J, Ertepinar H, Kaplowitz M. Assessing pre-service teachers' environmental literacy in Turkey as a mean to develop teacher education programs. *International Journal of Educational Development*. 2009;29:426–36.

34. Boyes E, Stanisstret M, Spiliotopoulou-Papantoniou V. The Ideas of Greek High School Students about the 'Ozone Layer', Science Education. 1999;83:724-737.
35. Spiropoulou D, Kostopoulos D, Jacovides CP. Greek Children's Alternative Conceptions on Weather and Climate. School Science Review. 1999;81(29):55-59.
36. Dimitriou A, Christidou V. Pupils Understanding of Air Pollution, Journal of Biological Education. 2007;42(1):24-29.
37. Kastani L, Ragkou P, Karameris A. Exploring the Holistic Understanding of the Students in the School of Forestry and the Natural Environment at Aristotle University of Thessaloniki Regarding the Complexity of Environmental Issues and the Concept of Sustainable Development," In: K. Koutsopoulos, Ed., Environmental Education for an Integrated Development, National Technical University of Athens and Ministry of Education and Religious Affairs, Athens. 2009;51-66.
38. Tsekos C, Plakitsi A, Theocharopoulos D, Matthopoulos D. Exploring Greek High School Students' Understanding of Basic Environmental Issues. Open Journal of Applied Sciences,. 2013;3(1):28-34.
39. Worsley A, Skrzypiec G. Environmental attitudes of senior secondary school students in South Australia. Global Environmental Change. 1998;8(3):209-255.
40. Boyes E, Stanisstret M, Yongling Z. Combating Global Warming: The Ideas of High School Students in the Growing Economy of South East China. International Journal of Environmental Studies. 2008;65(2):239-251.
41. De Chano, Lisa M. A Multi-Country Examination of the Relationship Between Environmental Knowledge and Attitudes. International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education. 2006;15:15-28.
42. Zyadin A, Puhkka A, Ahponen P, Cronberg T, Pelkonen P. School students' knowledge and attitudes towards renewable energy in Jordan. Renewable Energy. 2012;45:78-85.
43. Hassan A, Juahir H, Jamaludin NS. The Level of Environmental Awareness among Students to Fulfill the Aspiration of National Philosophy of Education." American Journal of Scientific Research. 2009;5:50-58.
44. Duerden MD, Witt PA. The impact of direct and indirect experiences on the development of environmental knowledge, attitudes, and behavior. Journal of Environmental Psychology. 2010;30:379-392.
45. Desa AN, Kadir BYA, Yusooff F. A Study on the Knowledge, Attitudes, Awareness Status and Behaviour Concerning Solid Waste Management. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences. 2011;18:643-648. DOI:10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.05.095.
46. Ifegbesan A. Waste management awareness, knowledge, and practices of secondary school teachers in Ogun State, Nigeria: implications for teacher education. Journal of Solid Waste Technology and Management. 2011;37:221-234.
47. Michalos AC, Creech H, McDonald C, Kahlke PMH. Knowledge, Attitudes and Behaviours. Concerning Education for Sustainable Development: Two Exploratory Studies. Soc. Indic. Res. 2011; 100:391-413.
48. Naquin M, Cole D, Bowers A, Walkwitz. E. Environmental Health Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices of Students in Grades Four through Eight. ICHPER-SD Journal of Research. 2011;6(2):45-50.
49. Aminrad Z, Zakariya S, Hadi AS, Sakari M. Relationship between Awareness, Knowledge and Attitudes towards Environmental Education among Secondary School Students in Malaysia. World Applied Sciences Journal. 2013;22 (9):1326-1333.
50. Birhanu A. Factors influencing environmental knowledge, attitude and participatory behavior towards land degradation: The case of Injibara secondary and preparatory school, north-western Ethiopia. Science, Technology and Arts Research Journal. 2013;2(2): 140-147
51. Agut MPM, Ull MA, Minguet PA. Education for sustainable development in early childhood education in Spain. Evolution, trends and proposals. European Early Child Education Research Journal. 2014;22:213-228.
52. Ahmad J, Noor SM, Ismail N. Investigating Students' Environmental Knowledge, Attitude, Practice and Communication. Asian Social Science. 2015;11(16):284. DOI:10.5539/ass.v11n16p284
53. Schneller A, Johnson B, Bogner F. Measuring children's environmental

- attitudes and values in northwest Mexico: Validating a modified version of measures to test the Model of Ecological Values (2-MEV). *Environmental Education Research*. 2015;21:61–75.
54. Mohiuddin M, Al Mamun A, Syed FA, Masud MM, Su Z. Environmental knowledge, awareness, and business school students' intention to purchase green vehicles in emerging economies. *Sustainability*. 2018;10(5): 1534.
 55. Martínez-Borreguero G, Maestre-Jiménez J, Mateos-Núñez M, Naranjo-Correa FL. Knowledge analysis of the prospective secondary school teacher on a key concept in sustainability: Waste. *Sustainability*. 2019;11:1173.
 56. Marchini S, Macdonald DW. Can school children influence adults' behavior toward jaguars? Evidence of intergenerational learning in education for conservation. *Ambio*. 2020;49:912–925.
 57. Baierl TM, Johnson B, Bogner FX. Assessing Environmental Attitudes and Cognitive Achievement within 9 Years of Informal Earth Education. *Sustainability*. 2021;13:3622. Available:<https://doi.org/10.3390/su13073622>
 58. Debrah JK, Vidal DG, Dinis MAP. Raising Awareness on Solid Waste Management through Formal Education for Sustainability: A Developing Countries Evidence Review. *Recycling*. 2021;6:6. Available:<https://doi.org/10.3390/recycling6010006>
 59. Özonur M. An investigation of prospective teachers' awareness toward environmental issues. *International Journal of Curriculum and Instruction*. 2021;13(2):1845–1856.
 60. Sieg AK, Dreesmann D. Promoting Pro-Environmental BEEhavior in School. Factors Leading to Eco-Friendly Student Action. *Sustainability*. 2021;13:6598. Available:<https://doi.org/10.3390/su13126598>
 61. NERDC. Draft Curriculum for Infusing Environmental Education in Secondary Schools, Lagos: NERDC; 1992.
 62. Lawal MB. Strategies for incorporating environmental conservation within secondary school social studies", in Noibi SY, Lawal MB. (Eds.) *Readings in Environmental Education for Tertiary Institutions*, Lagos: Redfield; 1991.
 63. Noibi SY. Environmental Education: Ray of Hope for all" hi Noibi, S. Y. and Lawal, M. B. (eds.) *Readings on Environmental Education for Tertiary Institutions*. Lagos: Redfield; 1991..
 64. Adara OA. Strategies in environment education in social studies in Nigeria by the year 2000. *Environmental Education Research*.1996;2(2):237-247.
 65. Adara OA. Current state of the art of environmental education in Nigeria. In Lawal, M.B and Mohammed, A. A (Eds), *Proceedings of the National Workshops on Popularising Environmental Education in Nigeria Universities*. University of Calabar, Nigeria; 1997.
 66. Adebayo A, Olawepo JA. Integration of environmental education in social studies curriculum at the Secondary School level in Nigeria: problems and prospects. *Journals of Environmental Education Research*. 1997;3(1).
 67. Sieg AK, Teibtner R, Dreesmann D. Don't Know Much about Bumblebees?—A Study about Secondary School Students' Knowledge and Attitude Shows Educational Demand. *Insects*. 2018;9:40-48.
 68. Mansaray A, Ajiboye JO, Audu UF. Environmental knowledge and attitudes of some Nigerian secondary school teachers. *Environmental Education Research*. 1998; 4(3):329-340.
 69. Leeming FC, Dwyer WO. Children's Environmental Attitude and Knowledge Scale: Construction and validation. *Journal of Environmental Education*. 1995;26(3): 22–31.
 70. McCright AM. The effects of gender on climate change knowledge and concern in the American public. *Population and Environment*. 2010;32(1):66-87.
 71. Tikka PM, Kuitunen MT, Tynys SM. Effects of Educational Background on Students' Attitudes, Activity Levels, and Knowledge Concerning the Environment. *The Journal of Environmental Education*. 2010;31(3):12-19
 72. Dettman-Easler D, Pease JL. Evaluating the effectiveness of residential environmental education programs in fostering positive attitudes towards wildlife. *Journal of Environmental Education*. 1999; 31(1):33-39.
 73. Dimopoulos DI, Pantis JD. Knowledge and attitudes regarding sea turtles in elementary students on Zakynthos Greece. *Journal of Environmental Education*. 2003;34(3):30-38.

74. Ifegbasan A. Exploring Secondary School Students Understanding and Practices of Waste Management in Ogun State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education*. 2010;5(2):201-215.
75. Sultana N, Hossen S, Khatun R. Assessment of Environmental Knowledge and Attitude of Secondary Level Students of Tangail, Bangladesh. *International Journal of Research in Environmental Science*. 3;41–46.

© 2021 Mashi et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/79624>