

**SCIENCEDOMAIN** *international* <www.sciencedomain.org>



#### **On the Solvability of an Evolution Problem with Weighted Integral Boundary Conditions in Sobolev Function Spaces with a Priori Estimate and Fourier's Method**

**DJIBIBE Moussa Zakari**<sup>∗</sup>[1](#page-0-0) **and TCHARIE Kokou**<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*University of Lome, Department of Mathematics ´ PO Box 1515 Lome, Togo ´*

*Research Article*

*Received: 04 April 2013 Accepted: 27 June 2013 Published: 24 August 2013*

## **Abstract**

Aims/ The aims of this paper are to prove existence and uniqueness of following integral boundary conditions mixed problem for parabolic equation :

> $\int \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{a(t)}{x^{n+1}}$  $\begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}$   $x^{n+1}$  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x^{n+3}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) + bu = \vartheta(x,t),$  $u(x, 0) = \lambda(x), 0 \leq x \leq \ell,$  $\int_0^\ell$  $\int_0^{\infty} x^{n-1} u(x,t) dx = E_n(t), 0 \le t \le T,$  $\int_0^\ell$  $\int_0^{\pi} x^n u(x,t) dx = G_n(t), 0 \le t \le T.$

The proofs are based on a priori estimates established in Sobolev function spaces and Fourier's method.

*Keywords: Fourier's method; A priori Estimate; Nonlocal conditions; Mixed Problem; Parabolic Equation; Sobolev Espace.*

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35K20; 35B30; 35D05; 46E40; 46E99.

## **1 Introduction**

This paper deals with existence and uniqueness of a following class of parabolic equation with time and space-variable characteristics :

<span id="page-0-1"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{a(t)}{x^{n+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( x^{n+3} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) + bu = \vartheta(x, t),\tag{1.1}
$$

<span id="page-0-0"></span>*\*Corresponding author: zakari.djibibe@gmail.com*

satisfying the initial condition

<span id="page-1-2"></span><span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
u(x,0) = \lambda(x), \ 0 \le x \le \ell,\tag{1.2}
$$

and the integral conditions

$$
\int_0^\ell x^{n-1} u(x, t) dx = E_n(t), \ 0 \le t \le T,
$$
\n(1.3)

$$
\int_0^{\ell} x^n u(x, t) dx = G_n(t), \ 0 \le t \le T,
$$
\n(1.4)

where  $\lambda$ ,  $E_n$ ,  $G_n$ ,  $a$  and  $\vartheta$  are known functions, and  $b, \ell, T$  are positive constants.

<span id="page-1-3"></span>**Condition 1.1.** *For all*  $(x, t) \in \overline{\Omega}$ , *we assume that* 

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
a_0 \le a(t) \le a_1,
$$

$$
a_2 \le \frac{da(t)}{dt} \le a_3,
$$

$$
a_4 \le a(t) - x \frac{da(t)}{dt} \le a_5,
$$

where  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $a_3$ ,  $a_4$ ,  $a_5$  *are positive constants.* 

The data satisfies the following compatibility conditions : for consistency, we have

$$
\int_0^\ell x^n \lambda(x) dx = E_n(0), \text{ and } \int_0^\ell x^{n+1} \lambda(x) dx = G_n(0).
$$

The importance of problems with integral conditions has been pointed out by Samarskii[\[1\]](#page-8-0). Mathematical modelling by evolution problems with a nonlocal constraint of the form  $\frac{1}{1-\ell}$  $\int_0^1 u(x,t) dx = \zeta(t)$ is encountered in heat transmission theory, thermoelasticity, chemical engineering, underground water flow, and plasma physic.

Many methods were used to investigate the existence and uniqueness of the solution of mixed problems which combine classical and integral conditions. [\[2\]](#page-8-1) used the potentiel method, combining a Dirichlet and an intégral condition for a parabolic equation. [[3\]](#page-8-2) used the maximum principle, combining a Neumann and an integral condition for heat equation. [\[4\]](#page-8-3) and [\[5\]](#page-8-4) used the Fourier method for same purpose.

Recently, mixed problems with integral conditions for generalization of equation [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1) have been treated using the energy-integral method. See and [\[6\]](#page-8-5), [\[7\]](#page-8-6),[\[8\]](#page-8-7), [\[9\]](#page-8-8), [\[10\]](#page-8-9), [\[11\]](#page-8-10),[\[12\]](#page-8-11), [\[13\]](#page-9-0),[\[14\]](#page-9-1),[\[15\]](#page-9-2). Differently to these works, in the present paper we combine a priori estimate and Fourier's method to prove existence and uniqueness solution of the problem [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1)- [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0).

The results obtained in this paper generalize the results of [\[5\]](#page-8-4), and constitute a new contribution to this emerging field of research . It is interesting to note that the application of Fourier method to this nonlocal problem is made possible thanks, essentially, to the use of a Sobolev function space.

To this, we reduce the inhomogeneous boundary conditions [\(1.3\)](#page-1-1) and [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0) to homogeneous conditions, by introducing a new unknown function v by  $v(x, t) = u(x, t) - w(x, t)$ , where

$$
w(x,t) = \frac{-(n+3)x + (n+1)\ell}{\ell^{n+3}} E_n(t) + \frac{(n+3)x - (n+1)\ell}{\ell^{n+3}} G_n(t).
$$
 (1.5)

Then, problem [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1), [\(1.2\)](#page-1-2), [\(1.3\)](#page-1-1) and [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0) is transformed into the following homogeneous boundary value problem

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \frac{a(t)}{x^{n+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( x^{n+3} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) + bv = \xi(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega,
$$
\n(1.6)

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
v(x,0) = \Lambda(x), \ 0 \le x \le \ell,\tag{1.7}
$$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\int_0^{\ell} x^n v(x, t) dx = 0, \ 0 \le t \le T,
$$
\n(1.8)

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\int_0^\ell x^{n+1} v(x, t) dx = 0, \ 0 \le t \le T,
$$
\n(1.9)

where

$$
\xi(x,t) = \vartheta(x,t) - \frac{(n+3)^2 x a(t)}{\ell^{n+3}} E_n(t) + \frac{(n+3)x - (n+1)\ell}{\ell^{n+3}} (bE_n(t) + E'_n(t))
$$

$$
+ \frac{(n+3)^2 x a(t)}{\ell^{n+3}} G_n(t) - \frac{(n+3)x - (n+1)\ell}{\ell^{n+3}} (bG_n(t) + G'_n(t)),
$$

$$
\Lambda(x) = \lambda(x) + \frac{(n+3)x - (n+3)\ell}{\ell^{n+3}} E_n(0) + \frac{(n+3)x - (n+1)\ell}{\ell^{n+3}} G_n(0).
$$

Here, we assume that the function  $\Lambda$  satisfy conditions of [\(1.8\)](#page-2-0) and [\(1.9\)](#page-2-1), that is

$$
\int_0^{\ell} x^n \Lambda(x) \, dx = \int_0^{\ell} x^{n+1} \Lambda(x) \, dx = 0. \tag{1.10}
$$

Instead of searching for the function  $u$ , we search for the function  $v$ . So the solution of problem [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1), [\(1.2\)](#page-1-2), [\(1.3\)](#page-1-1) and [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0) will be given by  $u(x,t) = v(x,t) + w(x,t)$ .

The general difficult which arises to us is the presence of integral conditions which complicates the application of standard methods. It may, however, be worth while if this type of problem can be transformed into another equivalent problem which involves no integral conditions. For this, we convert problem [\(1.6\)](#page-2-2), [\(1.7\)](#page-2-3), [\(1.8\)](#page-2-0) and [\(1.9\)](#page-2-1) to the following classical problem.

<span id="page-2-4"></span>**Theorem 1.2.** *The problem* [\(1.6\)](#page-2-2)*,* [\(1.7\)](#page-2-3)*,* [\(1.8\)](#page-2-0) *and* [\(1.9\)](#page-2-1) *is equivalent to the following classical problem :*

$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \frac{a(t)}{x^{n+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( x^{n+3} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) + bv = \xi(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega,
$$
\n(1.11)

$$
v(x,0) = \Lambda(x), \ 0 \le x \le \ell,\tag{1.12}
$$

$$
v(\ell, t) = \frac{1}{\ell a(t)} \int_0^{\ell} (x - \ell) x^n \xi(x, t) \, dx, \ 0 \le t \le T,
$$
\n(1.13)

$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(\ell, t) = -\frac{1}{\ell^{n+3} a(t)} \int_0^{\ell} x^{n+1} \xi(x, t) dx, \ 0 \le t \le T. \tag{1.14}
$$

<span id="page-2-8"></span><span id="page-2-7"></span><span id="page-2-6"></span><span id="page-2-5"></span>803

**Proof**

Multiplying [\(1.6\)](#page-2-2) with  $x^n$ , and integrating the obtained result with respect x over  $(0, \ell)$ , we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^\ell x^n v \, dx - a(t) \int_0^\ell \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( x^{n+3} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \, dx + b \int_0^\ell x^n v \, dx = \int_0^\ell x^n \xi(x, t) \, dx. \tag{1.15}
$$

Integrating by parts the integrals on the left-hand side of [\(1.15\)](#page-3-0), and taking into account condition [\(1.8\)](#page-2-0), we get

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\ell^{n+2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(\ell, t) + \ell^{n+1} v(\ell, t) = -\frac{1}{a(t)} \int_0^{\ell} x^n \xi(x, t) dx.
$$
 (1.16)

Multiplying [\(1.6\)](#page-2-2) with  $x^{n+1}$  and integrating the result obtained over  $(0, \ell)$ , he have

$$
-a(t)\int_0^\ell \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x^{n+3}\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)dx = \int_0^\ell x^{n+1}\xi(x,t)\,dx. \tag{1.17}
$$

Integrating by parts the integrals on the left-hand side of [\(1.17\)](#page-3-1).

<span id="page-3-3"></span><span id="page-3-2"></span><span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(\ell, t) = -\frac{1}{\ell^{n+3}a(t)} \int_0^{\ell} x^{n+1} \xi(x, t) dx \tag{1.18}
$$

Combining the equalities [\(1.17\)](#page-3-1) and [\(1.18\)](#page-3-2), we have

$$
v(\ell, t) = \frac{1}{\ell a(t)} \int_0^{\ell} (x - \ell) x^n \xi(x, t) \, dx. \tag{1.19}
$$

It remains to prove that  $\int_0^\ell x^n v(x,t) \, dx = 0$  and  $\int_0^\ell x^{n+1} v(x,t) \, dx = 0$ . By using [\(1.6\)](#page-2-2) and taking into account [\(1.16\)](#page-3-3) and [\(1.18\)](#page-3-2) we get

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^{\ell} x^n v(x, t) \, dx + b \int_0^{\ell} x^n v(x, t) \, dx = 0, \ 0 \le t \le T
$$

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^{\ell} x^{n+1} v(x, t) \, dx + b \int_0^{\ell} x^{n+1} v(x, t) \, dx = 0, \ 0 \le t \le T
$$

By virtue of the compatibility of the conditions, it follows that

$$
\int_0^{\ell} x^n v(x, t) \, dx = \int_0^{\ell} x^{n+1} v(x, t) \, dx = 0.
$$

This complete the proof of Theorem  $(1.2)$ .  $\Box$ .

By introducing the new unknown function

$$
z(x,t) = v(x,t) - \theta_n(x,t) \int_0^{\ell} x^{n+1} \xi(x,t) dx - \eta_n(x,t) \int_0^{\ell} x^n \xi(x,t) dx,
$$

where

$$
\theta_n(x,t) = \frac{\ell^{n+4}a(t)x^2 + (1 - 2\ell^{n+5})a(t)x + \ell(\ell^{n+5}a(t) - 1)}{\ell^{n+3}a(t)}
$$

and

<span id="page-4-1"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\eta_n(x,t) = \frac{\ell^2 a(t)x^2 - 2\ell^3 a(t)x + \ell^4 a(t) + 1}{\ell a(t)},
$$

the problem [\(1.11\)](#page-2-5), [\(1.12\)](#page-2-6), [\(1.13\)](#page-2-7) and [\(1.14\)](#page-2-8) is transformed into the following homogeneous local boundary conditions problem,

$$
\frac{\partial z}{\partial t} - \frac{a(t)}{x^{n+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( x^{n+3} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right) + bz = f(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega,
$$
\n(1.20)

$$
z(x,0) = \varphi(x), \ 0 \le x \le \ell,\tag{1.21}
$$

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
z(\ell, t) = 0, \ 0 \le t \le T,\tag{1.22}
$$

<span id="page-4-3"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}(\ell, t) = 0, \ 0 \le t \le T,\tag{1.23}
$$

where

$$
f(x,t) = \xi(x,t) - \frac{\ell^{n+4}a'(t)x^2 + (1 - 2\ell^{n+5}a'(t) + \ell(\ell^{n+5}a'(t) - 1)}{\ell^{n+3}a'(t)} \int_0^{\ell} x^{n+1} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t}(x,t) dx
$$

$$
- \frac{\ell^2 a'(t)x^2 - 2\ell^3 a'(t)x + \ell^4 a'(t) + 1}{\ell a'(t)} \int_0^{\ell} x^n \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t}(x,t) dx
$$

$$
- \frac{\ell^{n+4}a(t)x^2 + (1 - 2\ell^{n+5})a(t)x + \ell(\ell^{n+5}a(t) - 1)}{\ell^{n+3}a(t)} b(t) \int_0^{\ell} x^{n+1} \xi(x,t) dx
$$

$$
-\frac{\ell^2 a(t)x^2 - 2\ell^3 a(t)x + \ell^4 a(t) + 1}{\ell a(t)} b(t) \int_0^{\ell} x^n \xi(x, t) dx + 2\ell x a(t)[(n+4)x - n - 3] \int_0^{\ell} x^n \xi(x, t) dx + \frac{2(n+4)\ell^{n+4} a(t)x^2 + (3+n)(1 - 2\ell^{n+5})a(t)x}{\ell^{n+3}} \int_0^{\ell} x^{n+1} \xi(x, t) dx,
$$

$$
\varphi(x) = \Lambda(x) - \frac{\ell^{n+4}a(0)x^2 + (1 - 2\ell^{n+5}a(0) + \ell(\ell^{n+5}a(0) - 1)}{\ell^{n+3}a(0)} \int_0^{\ell} x^{n+1}\xi(x,0) dx
$$

$$
- \frac{\ell^2a(0)x^2 - 2\ell^3a(0)x + \ell^4a(0) + 1}{\ell a(0)} \int_0^{\ell} x^n \xi(x,0) dx.
$$

The rest of this paper is organized as follows : in section 2, we establish a priori estimate. Finally, in section 3, we prove existence of generalized solution.

# **2 An priori estimate**

The problem [\(1.20\)](#page-4-0), [\(1.21\)](#page-4-1), [\(1.22\)](#page-4-2) and [\(1.23\)](#page-4-3) can be considered as solving the following operator equation :

$$
Az=(\varphi,f)=\mathcal{F},
$$

where A is an operator defined on  $\mathbb E$  into  $\mathbb F.$   $\mathbb F$  is the banach space of functions  $z\in L^2(\Omega),$  satisfying conditions [\(1.22\)](#page-4-2) and [\(1.23\)](#page-4-3) with the norm

$$
||z||_{\mathbb{E}}^{2} = \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} \left( x^{n} z^{2} + x^{n+1} \left| \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right|^{2} + x^{n+1} \left| \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} \right|^{2} \right) dx dt + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{0}^{\ell} \left( x^{n+1} z^{2} + x^{n+3} \left| \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right|^{2} \right) dx
$$

and F is the Hilbert space  $L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(0,\ell)$  which consists of elements  $\mathcal{F}=(f,\,\varphi)$  with the norm

<span id="page-5-5"></span>
$$
\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathbb{F}}^2 = \int_0^{\ell} \varphi^2(x) dx + \int_0^{\ell} \left(\frac{d\varphi}{dx}\right)^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} f^2(x, t) dx dt.
$$

Let  $D(A)$  be the set of all function z, for which  $z, x^{n+1}z, x^{n+3}\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \in L^2(0,\ell)$  and  $z, x^{n+1}\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$ ,  $x^{n+1}\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}, x^{n+3}\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x^{n+3}\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right) \in L^2(\Omega).$ 

**Theorem 2.1.** *For any function*  $z \in D(A)$ *, we have* 

$$
||z||_{\mathbb{E}} \le C||Az||,
$$
\n
$$
\text{where } C = \sqrt{\frac{\max((1+b)\ell^{n+1}, a_1\ell^{n+3})}{\min(2b + (n+1)a_1 - 1, a_4\ell, 1 + b, a_0\ell^2)}}.
$$
\n
$$
\text{Proof.}
$$
\n(2.1)

**Proof**

Multiplying the equation [\(1.20\)](#page-4-0) with  $x^n z(x,t) + x^{n+1} \frac{\partial z}{\partial t}(x,t)$  and integrating the results obtained over  $\Omega_{\tau} = (0, \ell) \times (0, T)$ . Observe that

$$
\int_{\Omega_{\tau}} x^{n} z \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} dx dt + \int_{\Omega} x^{n+1} \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}\right)^{2} dx dt - \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} \frac{a(t) z}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(x^{n+3} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right) dx dt
$$
\n
$$
- \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} a(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(x^{n+3} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right) \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} dx dt + \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} bx^{n} z^{2} dx dt + \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} bx^{n+1} z \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} dx dt
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} x^{n} z f(x, t) dx dt + \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} x^{n+1} f(x, t) \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} dx dt.
$$
\n(2.2)

Integrating by parts the terms of left-hand side of [\(2.2\)](#page-5-0), we get

$$
\int_{\Omega_{\tau}} x^{n} z \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} dx dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\ell} x^{n+1} z^{2}(x, \tau) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\ell} x^{n+1} \varphi^{2}(x) dx,
$$
\n(2.3)

$$
-\int_{\Omega_{\tau}} \frac{a(t)z}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( x^{n+3} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right) dx dt = \frac{n+1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} a(t) x^{n} z^{2} dx dt +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} a(t) x^{n+2} \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^{2} dx dt,
$$
 (2.4)

$$
\int_{\Omega_{\tau}} bx^{n+1} z \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} dx dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\ell} bx^{n+1} z^2(x, \tau) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\ell} bx^{n+1} \varphi^2(x) dx,
$$
\n(2.5)

$$
-\int_{\Omega_{\tau}} a(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( x^{n+3} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right) \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} dx dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\ell} a(t) x^{n+3} \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^{2} dx -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\ell} a(t) x^{n+3} \left( \frac{d\varphi}{dx} \right)^{2} dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} a'(t) x^{n+3} \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^{2} dx dt.
$$
 (2.6)

<span id="page-5-4"></span><span id="page-5-3"></span><span id="page-5-2"></span><span id="page-5-1"></span><span id="page-5-0"></span>806

By substituting[\(2.3\)](#page-5-1), [\(2.4\)](#page-5-2), [\(2.5\)](#page-5-3) and [\(2.6\)](#page-5-4) into [\(2.2\)](#page-5-0), we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} (2b + (n+1)a(t))x^{n}z^{2} dxdt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} \left( a(t) - x \frac{da(t)}{dt} \right) x^{n+2} \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^{2} dxdt
$$

$$
+ \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} x^{n+1} \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} \right)^{2} dxdt + \frac{1+b}{2} \int_{0}^{\ell} x^{n+1}z^{2}(x,\tau) dxdt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\ell} a(t)x^{n+3} \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^{2} dx
$$

$$
= \frac{1+b}{2} \int_{0}^{\ell} x^{n+1} \varphi^{2}(x) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\ell} a(t)x^{n+3} \left( \frac{d\varphi}{dx} \right)^{2} dx + \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} x^{n}zf(x,t) dxdt
$$

$$
+ \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} x^{n+1}f(x,t) \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} dxdt. \tag{2.7}
$$

Estimating the two last integrals of the right-hand side of [\(2.7\)](#page-6-0), by applying elementary inequalities, we get

<span id="page-6-1"></span><span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\int_{\Omega_{\tau}} x^n z f(x,t) dx dt \le \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} x^n z^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} x^n f^2(x,t) dx dt, \tag{2.8}
$$

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
\int_{\Omega_{\tau}} x^{n+1} \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} f(x, t) dx dt \le \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} x^{n+1} \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}\right)^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} x^{n+1} f^2(x, t) dx dt.
$$
 (2.9)

Therefore, by formulas [\(2.7\)](#page-6-0), [\(2.8\)](#page-6-1) and [\(2.9\)](#page-6-2), we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} (2b + (n+1)a(t) - 1)x^{n} z^{2} dxdt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} \left( a(t) - x \frac{da(t)}{dt} \right) x^{n+2} \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^{2} dxdt
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} x^{n+1} \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} \right)^{2} dxdt + \frac{1+b}{2} \int_{0}^{\ell} x^{n+1} z^{2}(x, \tau) dxdt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\ell} a(t)x^{n+3} \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^{2} dx
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{1+b}{2} \int_{0}^{\ell} x^{n+1} \varphi^{2}(x) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\ell} a(t)x^{n+3} \left( \frac{d\varphi}{dx} \right)^{2} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} (1+x)x^{n} f^{2}(x, t) dxdt.
$$
 (2.10)

Taking account the assumptions [1.1,](#page-1-3) from [\(2.10\)](#page-6-3), it follows that

$$
\int_{\Omega_{\tau}} x^{n} z^{2} dxdt + \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} x^{n+2} \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)^{2} dxdt + \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} x^{n+1} \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}\right)^{2} dxdt + \int_{0}^{\ell} x^{n+1} z^{2}(x,\tau) dxdt
$$

$$
+ \int_{0}^{\ell} x^{n+3} \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)^{2} dx \leq M \left\{\int_{0}^{\ell} \varphi^{2}(x) dx + \int_{0}^{\ell} \left(\frac{d\varphi}{dx}\right)^{2} dx + \int_{\Omega_{\tau}} f^{2}(x,t) dxdt\right\}, \tag{2.11}
$$

$$
\max((1+b)^{\ell^{n+1}} - \varphi_{1}\ell^{n+3})
$$

where  $M = \frac{\max((1+b)\ell^{n+1}, a_1\ell^{n+3})}{(2k+1)(2k+1)}$  $\frac{\max((1 + \theta)\epsilon)}{\min(2b + (n + 1)a_1 - 1, a_4\ell, 1 + b, a_0\ell^2)}$ .

The right-hand side of [\(2.11\)](#page-6-4) is independent of  $\tau$ , replacing the left-hand side by the upper with respect to  $\tau$ . Thus inequality [\(2.1\)](#page-5-5) holds, where

<span id="page-6-4"></span><span id="page-6-3"></span>
$$
C = \sqrt{\frac{(\max((1+b)\ell^{n+1}, a_1\ell^{n+3}))}{\min(2b + (n+1)a_1 - 1, a_4\ell, 1 + b, a_0\ell^2)}}.
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem  $(1.2)$ .  $\Box$ 

## **3 Solvability of the problem**

Now we shall start to prove the existence of the boundary value problem [\(1.20\)](#page-4-0), [\(1.21\)](#page-4-1), [\(1.22\)](#page-4-2) and [\(1.23\)](#page-4-3). We use the Fourier's method.

Consider the function  $z_m(x, t) = y_m(x)w_m(t)$ , where  $y_m(t)$  is a eigenfunction of the following boundary value problem

$$
\begin{cases}\n-\frac{a(t)}{x^{n+1}}\frac{d}{dx}\left(x^{n+3}\frac{dy_m(x)}{dx}\right) + by_m(x) = \beta_m y_m(x), \\
y_m(\ell) = 0, \\
\frac{dy_m(\ell)}{dx} = 0,\n\end{cases}
$$

where  $\beta_m$  is the eigenvalue corresponding to the eigenfunction  $y_m(x)$ , and  $w_n(t)$  satisfying the initial problem

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{dw_m(t)}{dt} - \alpha_m w_m(t) = f_m(t), \\
w_m(0) = \varphi_m.\n\end{cases}
$$

**Here** 

$$
\varphi(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \varphi_m y_m(x),
$$

$$
\varphi'(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \rho_m y_m(x),
$$

$$
f(x,t) = \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} f_m(t) y_m(x).
$$

Using the Parseval-Steklov equality, we have

$$
||(f,\varphi)||_{\mathbb{F}} = \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \left( \int_0^T f_m^2(t) dt + \varphi_m^2 + \rho_m^2 \right).
$$

The direct computation, the solution of the initial problem is giving by

$$
w_m(t) = \varphi_m e^{\alpha_m t} + \int_0^t f_m(t) e^{\alpha_m (t-\tau)} dt.
$$

By virtue principle of superposition, the solution of the boundary value problem [\(1.11\)](#page-2-5), [\(1.12\)](#page-2-6), [\(1.13\)](#page-2-7) and [\(1.14\)](#page-2-8) is giving by the series

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
z(x,t) = \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} y_m(x)w_m(t).
$$
 (3.1)

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Theorem 3.1.** Let assumption [1.1](#page-1-3) be fulfilled. Then for any  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\varphi \in L_2(0,\ell)$  which  $\frac{d\varphi}{dx} \in L^2(0,\ell)$ , problem[\(1.20\)](#page-4-0), [\(1.21\)](#page-4-1), [\(1.22\)](#page-4-2) and [\(1.23\)](#page-4-3) admits a unique solution and its represented *by series* [\(3.1\)](#page-7-0) *which converge in* E.

**Proof**

Consider the partial sum  $S_m(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{m}$  $i=1$  $y_i(x)w_i(t)$  of the series [\(3.1\)](#page-7-0).

By applaying the Theorem [1.2,](#page-2-4) then it follows that

<span id="page-8-12"></span>
$$
\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i(x) w_i(t) \right\| \le C \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \left( \int_0^T f_m^2(t) dt + \varphi_m^2 + \rho_m^2 \right). \tag{3.2}
$$

The series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  $m=1$  $\int_0^T$  $\int_0^T f_m^2(t) dt = \int$  $\int_{\Omega} f^2(x,t) dx dt, \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty}$  $m=1$  $\varphi_m^2$  and  $\sum^{+\infty}$  $m=1$  $\rho_m^2$  converge. Therefore, from [\(3.2\)](#page-8-12) it follows that the series [\(3.1\)](#page-7-0) converge in  $E$ .

This completes the proof of the Theorem [3.1.](#page-7-1)  $\Box$ 

### **Competing Interests**

The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>[1] Samarkii A. A. Some problems in differential equtions theory, Differents. Uravn. 1980;16(11):1925-1935.
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>[2] Cannon J.R. The solution of heat equation to the specification of energy, Quart. Appl. Math. 1963;21(2):155-160.
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>[3] Mouravey LA, Philipovski V. Sur un problème avec une condition aux limites non locale pour une equation parabolique, (Russian) Mat. Sb. 1991;182(10):1479. ´
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>[4] Ionkin N. I. Solution of boundary value problem in heat conduction theory with non local boundary condition, (Russian) Differentsial'nye Uravneniya. 1977;13:294-304.
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>[5] Bougoffa L. Parabolic equations with nonlocal conditions, Applied Mathematical Science. 2007;1(21):1041-1048.
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>[6] Benouar NE, Yurchuk N.I. Mixed problem with an integral condition for parabolic equation with the Bessel operator, Differentsial'nye Uravneniya. 1991;27:2094 - 2098.
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>[7] Benouar NE, Bouziani A. Problème mixte avec conditions intégrales pour une classe d'équation paraboli, C.R.A.S, Paris, 321, Serie I, 1995:1177-1182. ´
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>[8] BenouarNE, Bouziani A. Mixed problem with integral conditions for third order parabolic equation, Kobe J. Math. 1998;15:47-58.
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>[9] Bouziani A. On the solvability of a class of singular parabolic equations with nonlocal boundary conditions in nonclassical function spaces. IJMMS. 2002;30(7):435-447.
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>[10] Bouziani A. Inital boundary value problem with a nonlocal condition for a viscosity equation, IJMMS. 2002;30(6):327-338.
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>[11] Moussa Zakari Djibibe et al. An energy inequality and its applications of Nonlocal boundary conditions of mixed problem for singular parabolic equations in nonclassical function spaces, International Scholarly Research Network ISRN Applied Mathematics Volume 2012, Article ID 138308, 9 pages doi:10.5402/2012/138308.
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>[12] Djibibe Moussa Zakari et al. Continuous dependence of solutions to mixed boundary value problems for a parabolic equation, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations. 2008;17:1-10.
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[13] Yurchuk N I ; Mixed problem with an integral condition for certain parabolic equations, Differents. Uravn. 1986;22(12):2117 - 2126.
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[14] Yurchuk N.I. Solvability of boundary value problems for certain operator-differential equation, Differentsial'nye Uravney. 1977;13(4):626 - 636.
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>[15] Mesloub M. et al. A strong solution of a problem with integral conditions, Georgian Mathematical . 2002;9(1):149-159.

——— c *2013 DJIBIBE & TCHARIE; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0,](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

#### *Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)*

*www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=240&id=6&aid=1922*