Economic Growth and Environmental Pollution in Brunei: ARDL Bounds Testing Approach to Cointegration

Issa Moh'd Hemed[1*], Suleiman Malik Faki[1] and Salim Hamad Suleiman[2]

[1]Zanzibar University (ZU), P.O.Box 2440, Zanzibar, Tanzania.
[2]Ministry of Trade and Industry, P.O.Box 601, Migombani, Zanzibar, Tanzania.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author IMH designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocols and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors SMF and SHS managed the analyses of the study and the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Review Article

ABSTRACT

Aims: This study examined the short run and long run dynamic relationship between economic growth and environmental pollution in Brunei. We adoptedAuto Regressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) model to scrutinize the existence of the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) among the studying variables by using time series data cover the period of 1974 to 2014.
Methodology: The ARDL bound test revealed the existence of long-run relationship among the integrated variables when CO_2 chosen as a dependent variable.
Results: The results support the existences of EKC hypotheses in the long-run whereas in the short-run an inverted U-shaped curve was not confirmed between GDP and CO_2 in Brunei. The results of Granger causality based on VECM analysis have shown unidirectional causality runs from economic growth to CO_2 in the short run. Further analysis through stability test indicates the coefficients in the model are stable and do not suffers with structural break within the time taken in the study.

_____

Corresponding author: E-mail: issahemed87@zanvarsity.ac.tz, issacclass87@live.com;

Conclusion: The government of Brunei should proceed to target the sustainable means of production, which has an environmental friendly and consumes less energy to enhance economic growth and maintain environmental quality in the long run.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, many countries around the world have started to focus on an alternative means of production as the drastic actions to reduce alarming rate of environmental degradation. Similarly, it is well known that the poor productive capacities of a nation and severe climatic change have been the results of higher level of CO_2 emission [1]. The emission of carbon dioxide gas has grown severely due to various human activities, related to the expansion of land utilization as well as the rapid use of fossil fuels as a source of energy. Since the constant supply of energy is needed among the heavy industries in order to maintain maximum production level, improve the human life and guarantee the strong economic growth among the nations [2]. Indeed, the rapid use of fossil fuel for production process has led the magnificent increases of CO_2 and other green house gases in the atmosphere. These emitted substances not only destroy the natural environment but also bring the negative impacts to human life that are considered to be among the world's greatest environmental threats [3].

The economy of Brunei Darussalam mostly supported by the oil and gas industry which an account for 60 percent of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and 90 per cent of the total exports in 2017 [4]. "Brunei Darussalam is the fourth-largest oil producer in South-East Asia and the ninth-largest exporter of liquefied natural gas (LNG) in the world" [5]. Nevertheless, the Brunei Darussalam implemented some key actions directed to reduce CO_2 emissions from fuel combustion that effectively begun in 2010. The overall amount of carbon dioxide emissions had increased from 63.2 percent in 2010 to 67.5 percent in 2014, while the Methane gas (CH4) had declined from 36.4 per cent in 2010 to 32.1percent in 2014 [6]. The CO_2 is the biggest contributor of Green House Gases (GHGs) in the country which come from the burning of fossil fuels that is widely used in the electricity generation (48.7 per cent) and end-use sectors. Methane gas also has been recorded to contribute significantly share to the total emission of GHGs, which generated from the irregular releases of gas from industrial activities and land transportation accounts for 12.6 percent and 34.5 percent respectively [6]. Moreover, the problem of increasing energy efficiency in Brunei led to implement strategies that reduce the excessive uses of energy consumption, ultimately lead to decline the economic growth associated with collapse of primary industries that depend mostly on combustion of fossil fuels like oil. Therefore, the investigation of the relationship between energy consumption, urban population, economic growth and CO_2 emissions is significant towards the implication of energy policies in Brunei.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

We adopt the fundamental work of Simon Kuznets in 1955, whose study is undertaken to investigate the inverse relationship between environmental quality and economic growth [7]. Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) hypothesized that there is a positive relationship between per capita income and environmental degradation which is consistent up to the turning point, where the relationship overturned, that is to say, at the initially stage, the environmental quality tends to decline as the economic growth rises and eventually at the turning point it starts to improve with per capita [8]. The environmental Kuznets curve draw the conclusion to either support the existence of EKC hypotheses based on an inverted U-shaped or reject the hypotheses when results portrays N-shaped EKC curve. The first empirical study on EKC hypotheses was conducted by Grossman and Krueger in 1991 and 1995 [9], in there an investigation employed specific random city model and observed an Inverted U-shaped curve between various indicators of environmental degradation (such as carbon dioxide emission) and GDP per capita.

Moreover, there are numerous empirical studies have been conducted to examine the linkages between carbon dioxide emissions, economic growth and other controlled variables using different econometric techniques to test the validity of EKC hypothesis and ended up with strong evidences to either support or not the existence of EKC hypothesis. Işık et al. [10]

tested the EKC hypothesis for 10 USA states leading with the highest level of CO_2 emission. In their study, they employed panel estimation method using CO_2 as dependent variables and GDP, renewable energy, fossil energy and population as the independent variables between 1980 and 2015. Their results supported the EKC hypothesis for Michigan, Florida, Illinois, Ohio and New York. Manuel et al. [11] examined the existence of an inverted U-shaped of EKC curve in Singapore using ARDL based on time series data over the period of 1971 to 2011. The empirical results support the EKC hypothesis both in long run and short run phenomenon. Alabdulrazag and Alrajhi [12] examined the relationship between economic growth, CO_2, energy consumption and population density using ARDL bounds test to cointegration and verify the validity of EKC hypothesis in KSA. Their results also support the existence of inverted u-shaped in both short and long run. In addition, [13] investigated the links between CO_2, economic growth, energy consumption, urban population and trade openness in Pakistan, based on time series data between 1971 and 2010. Applying ARDL bound test to cointegration approach and VECM to verify the existence of EKC curve in long run and short run phenomena respectively. Their results support the Environmental Kuznets curve (EKC) between CO_2 and economic growth in long run as well as short run. In addition to that, other studies revealed the similar results of supporting EKC hypotheses [14,15,16,17] and [18].

However, some other studies failed to admit the inverted U-shaped relationship with real-life data in fact their results do not support EKC. Işik et al. [19] examined the dynamic causal relationship between economic growth and Carbon dioxide emission from 1870 to 2014 using VECM and the robustness of causality approaches. In their study also intend to reveal the impact of tourism, financial development and international trade on CO_2. The results indicate that however the tourism as a leading sector in the region but tends to contribute negatively to CO_2 emission in the long run. Pandey and Mishra [20] employed both dynamic and static framework to examine the causality between CO_2 emissions and economic growth using panel data cover the period between 1972 and 2010. Their results failed to support the EKC hypothesis in SAARC countries. However the results from VECM indicated the unidirectional granger causes from economic growth to carbon dioxide. linh Dinh Hong and Lin Shih-Mo [21] examined the

dynamic relationship between carbon dioxide emission, economic growth, FDI and energy consumption between 1980 and 2010 using granger causality and cointegration approach to verify the existence of EKC in Vietnam. However their empirical results do not support EKC theory. Furthermore, [22] investigated the link between CO_2 and economic growth in West Africa. Using fixed effect model to time series data cover the period between 1970 and 2011. The results indicate the N-shaped relationship between CO_2 and economic growth which do not support the EKC theory in West Africa. Other previous studies failed to admit EKC hypothesis [23,24] and [25]. With respect to related reviews, it have been clearly shown the contradiction in an existence of EKC hypotheses based on different techniques run from individual to cross sectional countries.

To the best of our knowledge, no study has conducted directly to examine the environment-growth nexus in the context of Brunei using ARDL framework, Bound Cointegration, Granger Causality test and Sensitivity Analysis.

3. METHODOLOGY

Refer to the pioneering work of many researchers [8] and [26] who applied the idea of EKC theory that explore the relationship between environmental degradation by means of polynomial equation of per capita income. The standard estimation model can be expressed as follows:

$$E = f(Y, Y^2, Y^3, Z) \qquad (1)$$

Where E represents as environmental degradation as a function of Income (**Y**), Income squared (**Y²**), income cubic (**Y³**) and a set of control variables (Z). In order to provide clear interpretation of the coefficients, all variables converted into logarithm forms and plug into an econometric model and therefore, the estimation model (1) will be:-

$$In(CO2) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 In Y_t + \alpha_2 (In Y_t)^2 + \alpha_3 (In Y_t)^3 + \alpha_4 (In Z_t) + \varepsilon_t \qquad (2)$$

Where CO_2 represents environmental degradation as a proxy of carbon dioxide emission metrics tones per capita, Carbon dioxide has been used widely as explanatory variables [22,27,25] and [28]. Y as a GDP per capita, income squared (Y²), income cubic (Y³)

are indicators of economic growth; and Z refers to others independent variables that may influence environmental pollution, ε stands as disturbance term, *In* represents logarithmic form and *t* is a time series, α_s represent the elasticity of Y, Y^2, Y^3 and Z respectively. This study included urban population and energy consumption as control variables. The inclusion of income (Y) and its exponential values as the determinants of environmental degradation into estimation model, may lead the model to suffer with perfect multicollinearity [29]. In econometric theories, the presence of multicollinearity in the model leads to increase standard errors and further affects the hypothetical decision rules criterion. This circumstance has prompt researchers to test the possibility of presence of multicollinearity problem between income, income squares, income cubic and other control variables.

Table 1 indicates the results of correlation matrix among the proposed variables which intend to be included in the estimation model. The results in the table below depict the perfect correlation (strong correlation) between income (lnY), squared income (lnY^2) and cubic Income (lnY^3), and hence the decision to include them as key variables in the estimation model can creates the multicollinearity problem.

Therefore our estimated model will drop all highly correlated variables and remained the rest of other explanatory variables. Therefore, $lnCO_2$, lnENC, lnPOP and lnY will be included in the model 2 and expressed as follow:

$$In(CO2) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 InY_t + \alpha_2(InENC_t) + \alpha_3(InPOP_t) + \varepsilon_t \qquad (3)$$

Hence, in order to avoid the inclusion of multicollinearity problem in the estimation model between income and its polynomial terms. Narayan and Narayan [30] suggested decision that help to compare the magnitude of elasticity of income with respect to CO_2 between long run and short run as an alternative technique used to support or not the existence of EKC curve in developing economies. Moreover, if the results indicate that the long run effects on income elasticity is lower than the short run effects, this depicts that over a given period of time CO_2 falls as the income rises after turning point which will support the existence of EKC Hypotheses.

3.1 Data Sources

We employed the set of time series data collected from the World Development Indicator (WDI) in 2018. The series of data collection that cover 1974 to 2014 and comprised carbon dioxide emission measured in metric tons per capita, Gross Domestic Product per capita as a constant $ in 2010, energy consumption as kg of oil equivalent per capita and population as a percentage of urban population. All variables in this study were transformed into logarithms form namely as $lnCO_2$, lnPOP, lnY and lnENC.

3.2 Estimation Methodology

3.2.1 Unit root test

Generally, the data collected from the large span of time period, the unit root problem would be possible to exist and without strictly careful, the results will be nonsense. In order to check the unit root problem in the data, the stationarity technique of time series should be applied such as "Augmented Dickey Fuller" (ADF) (1979) and Phillips- Perron (PP) (1989) based on the following regression equation.

$$\Delta y_t = \alpha + \delta_1 + \beta y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma \Delta y_{t-1} + \mu_t \quad (4)$$

Where Δy_t indicates the first difference of y, u_t represents the serial correlation errors and α, δ, β and γ are parameters of the estimated model.

Table 1. Correlation matrix

	INCO2	INEC	INPOP	INY	INY2	INY3
INCO2	1.000000	.430549	.232410	-.364343	-.364641	-.364935
INEC	.430549	1.000000	.628180	-.472051	-.472591	-.473123
INPOP	.232410	.628180	1.000000	-.801483	-.802541	-.803587
INY	-.364343	-.472051	-.801483	1.000000	.999992	.999968
INY2	-0.364641	-0.472591	-0.802541	0.999992	1.000000	0.999992
INY3	-0.364935	-0.473123	-0.803587	0.999968	0.999992	1.000000

Table 2. Summary of variables and expected sign

Abbreviation	Variable	Measure	Previous author on the same variable	Sources	Expected sign
CO_2	Carbon dioxide	Environmental pollution	Alam, 2014	WDI	
Y	GDP	Economic growth	Omari, 2013	WDI	(+/-) short run/ long run
ENC	Per capital energy use	Energy Consumption	Ghos et al. 2014	WDI	(+)
POP	Urban Population	Population	Javid and Zulfiqar, 2017	WDI	(+)

Source: Authors Compilation

If this test shows significant value, "it means that the variable series is stationary and does not has a unit root, so the null hypothesis will be rejected, but if the ADF test is not significant, it means that the variable series is non-stationary and has a unit root" [31].

3.2.2 Bound testing approach for co-integration

Bound testing approach for cointegration will be performed once after checking and confirming the stationarity of all variables that are integrated either in I(0) or I(1). This study employed 'Auto-Regressive Distributed Lag Model (ARDL) technique' to check the stability of a long run equilibrium relationship between CO_2 emission, economic growth, energy consumption and urban population. This technique is most powerful since it generates more accurate results particularly for the case of small sample size [13]. The Autoregressive Distribute Lag model can be estimated by using a simple linear transformation technique to generate dynamic 'Unrestricted Error Correction Model (UECM)'. This model tends to present the short run dynamism and an equilibrium position in the long run phenomenon. The following UECM is employed for equation 3 and expressed as follows

$$\Delta InCO2_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_T T + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \varphi_i \Delta InCO2_{t-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{q} \Omega_i InY_t + \sum_{i=0}^{r} \vartheta_i \Delta InENC_t + \sum_{i=0}^{y} \omega_i \Delta InFDI_t + \lambda_c InCo2_t + \lambda_y LYt_1 + \lambda_E InENC_t + \lambda_p InPOP_t + \mu_t \quad (5)$$

Where $\varphi, \Omega, \vartheta$ and ω show the short run relationship in the given equation respectively and the long run relationship among the coefficients variable is presenting by $\lambda_C, \lambda_y, \lambda_E, \lambda_F$ and λ_P. The null hypothesis of no co-integrated among the given variables is stated as $H_0: \lambda_C = \lambda_Y = \lambda_E = \lambda_F = 0$, while alternative hypothesis of co-integrated is given as $H_1: \lambda_C = \lambda_Y = \lambda_E = \lambda_P \neq 0$.

3.2.3 Error correction model specification (ECM)

Once after confirming the existence of co-integration among the variables via bound test, the short and long run relation will be established by using VECM. It is possible to calculate the Error Correction Term (ECT) from the long-run equation by replacing the lagged level variables in the ARDL equation with ECT_{t-1} and estimate the model after impose the same optimal lags [12]. The VECM model can be written as follows;

$$\Delta lCO2_t = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{1i} \Delta InCO2_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \alpha_{2i} \Delta InY_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \alpha_{3i} \Delta InENC_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \alpha_{4i} \Delta InPOP_{t-1} + \lambda_1 ECT_{t-1} + \mu_t \quad (6)$$

The $InCO_2$ is a function of its lagged values, lagged values of other exogenous variable in the model and the lagged value of ECT. The ECT_{t-1} is the one lagged Error Correction Term indicates the co-integrating vectors and the speed of adjustments to equilibrium points presented by the coefficients of α_s. The presence of ECTs term in the model reveals that any change in the dependent variable as a result of the disequilibrium in the long run relationship and the changes in the independent variables. A negative and significant value of ECTs measures how much the error term is corrected itself each time towards the point of equilibrium in the long run.

3.2.4 Granger causality

When the results of bound test supports the existence of long run relationship, there is an enough evidence to indicate that the underlying variables in Eq (3) are granger causes at least in one direction. Engle and Granger (1987) proposed that conducting the Granger causality test through Vector Auto Regressive (VAR) approach when long run relationship confirmed may provide inconsistent results in the presence of cointegration. Therefore, adding new variable

such as the Error Correction Term (ECT) to the VAR model would be helpful to explore the long run relationship [12] and [7].The direction of causality among the studying variables can be identify by the negative sign of one lagged coefficient through ECT of the long run relations. The granger causality test through the framework of VECM techniques is expressed in the following equation:

$$(1\text{-}L) \begin{bmatrix} \Delta lco_t \\ \Delta ly_t \\ \Delta lENC_t \\ \Delta lPOP_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \mu_3 \\ \mu_4 \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{t=1}^{P}(1_L) \begin{bmatrix} \pi_{11} & \pi_{12} & \pi_{13} & \pi_{14} \\ \pi_{21} & \pi_{22} & \pi_{23} & \pi_{24} \\ \pi_{31} & \pi_{32} & \pi_{33} & \pi_{34} \\ \pi_{41} & \pi_{42} & \pi_{43} & \pi_{44} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \varphi \\ \Omega \\ \Phi \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} ECM_{t-1} + \begin{bmatrix} \eta_{1t} \\ \eta_{2t} \\ \eta_{3t} \\ \eta_{4t} \end{bmatrix} \qquad (7)$$

Where the (1-L) indicates lag operator, 'ECM$_{t-1}$ is lagged error-correction term'; Therefore, the main advantage of this model is to capture the causal relationship among the co-integrated parameters and distinguish between short-run and long-run relations. The significance of the lagged error-correction term based on t-test(s) in the VECM, indicates the "long run" causal relationship, whereas the short run causal relationship is depicted through the significance of F-test of the lagged explanatory variables. After estimation of all equations, the next step is to perform diagnostic tests to validate the adequacy of the model. These diagnostic tests include serial correlation, normality distribution tests and Heteroscedasticity. The stability of the ECM performed using the Cumulative Sum (SUMUS) and cumulative sum squared (CUMUSQ) techniques to confirm graphically the stability of the variables estimated in the model [12].

4. RESULTS ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Results of Unit Root Test

The bounds test framework is applicable for the variables that are either integrated in I(0) or I(1). Therefore, the unit root tests were performed to determine the order of integration among the variables and avoid any spurious results. The "Augmented Dickey-Fuller (1979) (ADF)" and "Phillips-Peron (1988) (PP)" tests were performed to test the null hypotheses of unit root against the alternative hypotheses of stationarity. The results of unit root tests provided mixed results whereby some variables become stationary at I(0) and other at I(1). These results verify the adoption of ARDL model. The results of these tests are consistent with [28].

4.2 Bounds Test Approach to Cointegration

The results of unit root test among the underlying variables indicate the application of the ARDL model to cointegration will give efficient and realistic estimates. The ARDL bounds test to cointegration investigate the possible existent of long run and short-run relationships among the underlying variables using the bound F-statistics in equation (5). Table (4) demonstrates the outcomes of 'ARDL bounds test to cointegration'. The results of this test confirm the existence of co-integrated equation among the underlying variables, where the value of F-test is greater than the upper boundary of critical value at 5 percent. Therefore, we reject the null hypothesis of no co-integrated among the studying variables when lnCO$_2$ is dependent variables. Based on the results of cointegration using bound test, supports the estimation of VECM model of lnCO$_2$ equation to determine the long and short run relationship.

4.3 Long-run estimation results

The coefficient of economic growth is negative and statistical significant at 5 percent level as shown in table 5 below. If 1 percent increases in the economic growth in the long run is predicted the CO$_2$ emission to decreases by 5.33 percent. This result is consistent with the findings of Khalid Ahmed and Wei Long [32] for Pakistan. The results also indicate one percent increases in the energy consumption holding other variables fixed the pollutants of CO$_2$ emission will increase by 1.531 per cent in the long run. The coefficient of population growth also has significant impact to reduce CO$_2$ emission to more than 6 percent in the long run.

4.4 Short-run Estimation

The results of the short-run relationship between carbon dioxide (CO$_2$), economic growth (GDP), energy consumption (ENC) and population (POP) in Brunei are depicted in table (6). The lagged value of the Error Correction model has a negative and statistical significant at 5 percent level. The coefficient of economic growth is negative and statistical significant. This indicates that, economic growth in Brunei tend to improve the environmental quality in the short run. This implies that a 1 percent increases in economic growth will lead to reduce the level of CO$_2$ emission by 4.84 percent. The energy consumption has the positive effects on the amount of carbon dioxide emissions, this shows

that a 1% increases in consumption of energy will increase CO_2 emission by 0.89 percent. The results of population found to be negative and significant at 5 percent level. This indicates that a percent rises in urban population is predicted to reduce emitted of CO_2 gases by 52.38 percent in Brunei when short run taken into consideration [13].

Moreover, the estimation of long-run and short-run as indicated in table 6 show that the negative elasticity of economic growth on CO_2 emission in the short-run fail to support the existence of EKC whiles the negative elasticity change in the long run tends to support the EKC hypotheses in Brunei. This result consistent with the study of [32].

Table 3. Results of unit root tests

Variable	Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF)		Phillips Perron (PP)	
	Level			
	Constant without trend	**Constant with trend**	**Constant without trend**	**Constant with trend**
lnCO2	-2.8173	-1.3443	-2.7931	-2.6275
lnY	-.6251	-1.4312	-.3241	-1.6125
lnENC	-2.4900	-3.5476*	-3.5475	-3.3981
lnPOP	-2.1149	-1.1755	-9.2480**	-2.6797
	First difference I(1)			
ΔlnCO2	-4.9363**	-5.3291**	-7.3386**	-6.6963**
ΔlnY	-4.7898**	-4.7709**	-4.0141**	-4.1245**
ΔlnENC	-5.8319**	*******	-12.5931**	-8.1187**
ΔlnPOP	-3.1090*	-3.7755*	******	-3.7755*

*Note: ** and * denotes significant at 1%, and 5% significance level, respectively*

Table 4. Results of bounds testing to cointegration

Dep. Var.	Function	F-Statistics	C.V	Coint.	
$lnCO_2$	$F_{lnCO2}(lnCO_2/ lnY, lnENC, lnPOP)$	5.0914	5%	Yes	ECM
lnY	$F_{lnY}(lnY/ lnCO_2, lnENC, lnPOP)$	1.7912	5%	No	ARDL
lnENC	$F_{lnENC}(lnENC/lnCO_2, lnY, lnPOP)$	3.1687	5%	No	ARDL
lnPOP	$F_{lnPOP}(lnPOP/lnCO_2/ lnY, lnENC,)$	2.4405	5%	No	ARDL
	Significant Value	**Critical values**			
		Lower Bound I(0)	Upper Bound I(1)		
	1%	2.72	3.77		
	5%	3.23	4.35		
	10%	4.29	5.61		

Table 5. Long-run estimation results

Long run coefficients				
Variable	**Coefficient**	**Std. Error**	**t-Statistic**	**Prob.**
INEC	1.531756	.602970	2.540350*	.02
INPOP	-6.416853	2.321043	-2.764643**	.01
INY	-5.337163	2.063439	-2.586537*	.02
C	74.570173	27.958662	2.667158*	.02
Diagnostic test statistics			**Test-stats**	**p-value**
Serial correlation			1.686965	.23
Normality			1.305386	.52
Heteroscedasticity			1.141277	.40

*Note: ** and * denotes significant at 1%, and 5% significance level, respectively.*

Table 6. Short-run estimation results (InCO$_2$)

Co-integrating Form				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
D(INEC)	.888452	.263483	3.371953	.005
D(INEC(-1))	.168295	.297546	.565611	.58
D(INEC(-2))	-.399902	.295666	-1.352546	.20
D(INEC(-3))	-.363335	.249568	-1.455857	.17
D(INPOP)	-52.382543	299.7550	-.174751	.86
D(INY)	-4.843530	1.490686	-3.249194	.007
D(INY(-1))	-1.428382	2.900069	-.492534	.63
D(INY(-2))	4.050801	1.864779	2.172268	.05
CointEq(-1)	-1.143057	.309676	-3.691138	.003

Cointeq = INCO2 - (1.5318*INEC -6.4169*INPOP -5.3372*INY + 74.5702)

*Note: ARDL (2, 3, 1, 4) selected on the basis of AIC. ** and *** Represent 5% and 1% level of significance, respectively. Dependent variable is InCO$_2$*

4.5 Stability Test and Sensitivity Analysis

The structural changes of macroeconomic policies in developing countries may likely to causes the multiple structural breaks among the macroeconomic series. Therefore, it is vital to verify the stability of long run and short run coefficients through 'the cumulative Sum (CUSUM)'and 'Cumulative Sum of Squares (CUSUMSQ)' techniques which proposed by [13]. These tests do not require the specification of date where the structural breaks occurs, only suggest that the parameters will be stable if the line passes within the bounds at 5 percent critical bounds. If the plot of these techniques passes outside the critical bound of 5 percent level of significance, reject the null hypothesis of not stable the regression coefficient and this implied that the coefficient in the ECM are not stable [33]. The findings in the Fig. 1 below indicate that the lines within the plots of 'CUSUM' and 'CUSUMSQ' test statistics pass within the critical bound of 5 percent, which confirmed that the movement inside the critical bounds at 5 percent level of significant for all coefficients are suggestive to be stable throughout the years covered in the study. Furthermore, the ARDL model regarded as the best fitted model as the difference between the true observation and predicted value is infinitesimal, therefore, the coefficients are stable and do not suffer the structural change over the given time in the study.


Fig. 1. Stability test

Table 7. The results of granger-causality (Wald F-statistic test)

	Short-run (Weak) Causality				Joint/Long-run (Strong) Causality				Long-nun
	DICO2	DLY	DLENC	DLPOP	DICO$_2$	DLY	DLENC	DLPOP	
DICO$_2$	----	1.97	1.77	7.65*	----	5.53*	3.24#	4.47*	-.480*
DLY	3.62*	----	.08	0.14	----	----	----	----	-.017#
DLENC	2.92	3.32	----	1.12	----	----	----	---	.452*
DLPOP	2.05	.08	.09	----	----	---	----	----	-.001#

*Notes: the null hypothesis is that there is no Granger causality between variables, *, **, # denote significant level at 1% and 5% and insignificant respectively*

4.6 VECM Granger Causality Analysis

The short-run co-integrated relations between CO_2, economic growth, energy consumption and population have shown the existence of causal relationship among the underlying variables. The short run causality has shown that there is unidirectional significant causal relationship which runs from CO_2 to population. Similarly, there is also unidirectional causal relationship which runs from economic growth to carbon dioxide emission in the short run. The t-statistic of the ECT in the same table when carbon dioxide emission as a dependent variable reveals the existence of long run causal relationship which run from energy consumption, economic growth and population to CO_2 emission since the value of CO_2 emission is negative and statistical significance. There is no evidence of long run-relationships for DInENC; DInY and InPOP, since soon after has a positive but insignificant Error correction term, while the two later have failed to pass the bounds of cointegration equation. The t-statistics of the ECT in the same table reveals the existence of long-run causal relationship among the mentioned variables. It is obvious to say that there is a bidirectional long-run causal relationship (equilibrium is corrected) between CO_2, InY, INPOP and InENC.

5. CONCLUSION

The short run and long run dynamic relationships between CO_2, energy consumption, urban population and economic growth in Brunei have been examined in this study. It is one among the crucial topic that deserves a special attention, since in most cases the economic growth in developing economy is associated with the environmental degradation. This happened due to the fact that, most of the heavy industries and means of transportation depend on consumption of pollutant substances as sources of energy which contribute to the large extent the emission of CO_2 gases in the atmosphere. The stability of long and short run among the coefficients are undertaken using the cumulative Sum (CUSUM)'and 'Cumulative Sum of Squares (CUSUMSQ)' techniques for the purpose of examine whether or not the coefficient suffer with structural change over the given time. The results of this test revealed that there is no structural change among the studying variable and therefore the coefficients are stable. Furthermore, the empirical results of this study revealed that the existence of long-run relationship among the Co_2, energy consumption, urban population and economic growth. The estimation of long-run and short-run show that the negative elasticity of economic growth on CO_2 emission in the short-run fail to support the existence of EKC whiles the negative elasticity change in the long run support the EKC hypotheses in Brunei. Moreover, the analysis of granger causality indicates that the growth rate of economy granger causes the emission of the CO_2 in the short-run. When carbon dioxide emission takes as a dependent variable the result reveals the existence of long run causal relationship which runs from energy consumption, economic growth and population to CO_2 emission.

Therefore, the government of Brunei Darussalam should continue to target the sustainable means of production and transportation, apply the efficient technology of extracting renewable resources such as oil from the ground which is environmental friendly and consume less energy to mitigate the adverse impact of CO_2 and other green house gases in the country.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Abdouli Hammami. The impact of FDI inflows and environmental quality on economic growth: An empirical study for the mena countries; 2017.
    DOI: 10.1007/s13132-015-0323-y
2. Salahuddin Mohammad, Gow Jeff, Ozturk Ilham. Is the long-run relationship between economic growth, electricity consumption, carbon dioxide emissions and financial development in Gulf Cooperation Council Countries robust; 2015.
    DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.06.005
3. Islam Zahidul, Ahmed Zaima, Saifullah Khaled, Huda Syed Nayeemul, Al-Islam Shamil M. CO2 emission, energy consumption and economic development: A case of Bangladesh. The journal of Asian Finance, Economics and business. 2017; 4(4):61-66
    DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2017.vol4.no4.61
4. OECD/Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (2018), "Brunei Darussalam", in SME Policy Index: ASEAN: Boosting Competitiveness and

Inclusive Growth, OECD Publishing, Paris/Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia, Jakarta; 2018. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1787/978926430532 8-16-en page 205 to 229.

5.   Asia Pacific Energy Research Centre, "APEC energy overview", The institute of energy Economics, Japan, Chuo_Ku, Tokyo 104,0054, Japan; 2017.

6.   UNFCCC, Brunei Darussalam's Second National Communication, Under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change Energy and Industry Department. The Prime Minister's Office, Jalan Perdana Menteri Bandar Seri Begawan BB3913 Negara Brunei Darussalam; 2017.

7.   Shahbaz Muhammad, Nasreen Samia, Ling Chong Hui, Sbia Rashid, Causality between Trade Openness and Energy Consumption: What causes what in high, middle and low income countries. The Pakistan Development Review. 2014;4: 423–459
Available:http://www.pide.org.pk/pdf/PDR/2 014/Volume4/423-459.pdf

8.   Saboori Behnaz, Sulaiman Jamalludin, Mohd Saidatulakmal, Economic growth and CO2 emissions in Malaysia: A cointegration analysis of the Environmental Kuznets Curve. Journal of Energy Policy, Elsevier; 2012.
Available:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol. 2012.08.065

9.   Palamalai S, Ravindra I, Prakasam K. Relationship between energy consumption, CO2 emissions, economic growth and trade in India. Journal of Economic & Financial Studies. 2015;03(02):1-17.
DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.18533/jefs.v3i02.9 3

10. Işık C, Ongan S, Özdemir D. Testing the EKC hypothesis for ten US states: An application of heterogeneous panel estimation method. Environmental Science and Pollution Research; 2019.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-04514-6.

11. Manuel A, Zambrano-Monserrate, Christopher Carvajal-Lara, Roberto Urgiles-Sanchez. Is there an inverted U-shaped curve? Empirical analysis of the environmental Kuznets curve in Singapore. Asia-Pacific Journal of Accounting & Economics. 2018;25(1-2)145-162.
DOI: 10.1080/16081625.2016.1245625

12. Alabdulrazag, Alrajhi. The validity of Environmental Kuznets Curve Hypothesis

in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: ARDL Bounds testing Approach to Cointegration", International Review of Management and Business Research. 2016;5(4):1450-1464.
Available:www.irmbrjournal.com

13. Javaid W, Zulfiqar H. Environmental kuznets curve: A times series evidence from Pakistan Journal of Quantitative Methods. 2017;1(1):79-98.
DOI: 10.29145/2017/jqm/010105
Available:https://journals.umt.edu.pk/sbe/jq m/volume1issue1.aspx

14. Xiongling T. The relationship between carbon dioxide emission intensity and economic growth in china: Cointegration, Linear and Nonlinear Granger Causality. Journal of Resources and Ecology. 2016 7(2):122–129.
DOI: 10.5814/j.issn.1674-764x.2016.02.007

15. Kebede, Shemelis. Modeling energy consumption, CO2 emissions and economic growth nexus in ethiopia: Evidence from ardl approach to cointegration and causality analysis. MPRA Paper; 2017.
Available:https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/id/eprint/83000

16. Aye and Edoja. Effect of economic growth on CO2 emission in developing countries: Evidence from a dynamic panel threshold model. Cogent OA, part of Taylor &Francis Group; 2017.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039 .2017.1379239

17. Bildiric M. CO_2 emission, oil consumption and production, economic growth in MENAP countries: ARDL and ANOVA methods. International Journal of Oil, Gas and Coal Technology. 2017.

18. Naminse, Zhuang. Economic growth, energy intensity, and carbon dioxide emissions in china. Pol. J. Environ. Stud. 2018;27(5):2193-2201.
DOI: 10.15244/pjoes/78619

19. Işik C, Doğan E, Ongan S. Analyzing the tourism–energy–growth nexus for the top 10 most-visited countries. Economies. 2017;5(4):1-13.
Available:https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/ abs/10.1080/15567249.2016.1263251

20. Pandey Mishra. CO2 emissions and economic growth of SAARC Countries: Evidence from a panel var analysis. World Journal of Applied Economics. 2015;1(2): 23-33.

DOI:10.22440/EconWorld.J.2015.1.2.SP.0 009

21. linh Dinh Hong, Lin Shih-Mo. CO2 emissions, energy consumption, economic growth and FDI in Vietnam. EconPapers, Economics at your fingertips; 2014. Available:https://econpapers.repec.org/arti cle/mgtyoumgt/v_3a12_3ay_3a2014_3ai_3 a3_3ap_3a219-232.htm

22. Muftau O, Iyoboyi M, Ademola Abdulsalam S. An empirical analysis of the relationship between co2 emission and economic growth in West Africa. American Journal of Economics 2014;4(1):1-17. DOI: 10.5923/j.economics.20140401.01.

23. Alam Janifar. The relationship between Economic Growth and CO2 Emissions: The Bangladesh Experience. IOSR Journal of Economics and Finance (IOSR-JEF); 2014. [E-ISSN: 2321-5933, P-ISSN: 2321-5925] Available:www.iosrjournals.org

24. Marsiglio S, Ansuategi A, Gallastegui Carmen M. The environmental kuznets curve and the structural change hypothesis. Environmental and Resource Economics. 2016;63(2):265-288.

25. Jardón Acel, Kuik Onno, Tol Richard S J. Economic growth and carbon dioxide emissions. An analysis of Latin America and the Caribbean. Atmósfera. 2017;30(2): 87-100. [ISSN: 0187-6236] Available:http://sro.sussex.ac.uk/id/eprint/6 7243/2/52386-148453-1-PB.pdf

26. Lacheheb Miloud, Rahim Abdul AS, Sirag Abdalla, Economic growth and carbon dioxide emissions: investigating the environmental kuznets curve hypothesis in Algeria. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy. 2015;5(4):1125-1132. Available:http://dergipark.gov.tr/download/ article-file/361580

27. Perg, Chen. Application of the bootstrap panel method an empirical study of the granger causality between carbon dioxide emissions and economic growth in emerging countries. Department of Environmental Engineering Dayeh University 168 University Rd. Dacun Changhua 51591 Taiwan; 2017.

28. Shaari Mohd Shahidan, Abdul Razak Nor azam, Basri Bakti Hasan, "The Effects of Electricity Consumption and Economic Growth on Carbon Dioxide Emission. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy. 2017;7(4):287-290. Available:https://www.econjournals.com/in dex.php/ijeep/article/viewFile/5279/3262

29. Usama Al-Mulali, Sakiru AS, Ilhan O. Investigating the Presence of the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) Hypothesis in Kenya: An Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) Approach. Nat Hazards, 2016; 80:1729 –1747.

30. Narayan PK, Narayan S. Carbon dioxide emissions and economic growth: panel data evidence from developing countries; 2010. Available:https://www.sciencedirect.com/sc ience/article/pii/S0301421509006880

31. Shaari Shahidan M, Hong Ho T, Shukeri Norwahida S. Foreign direct investment and economic growth: Evidence from Malaysia. International Business Research, Malaysia. 2012;5(10).

32. Khalid Ahmed, Wei Long, An empirical analysis of CO2 emission in Pakistan using EKC hypothesis. Journal of International Trade Law and Policy. 2013;12(2):188-200. Available:https://doi.org/10.1108/JITLP-10-2012-0015

33. Bekhet HA, Matar A. Co-integration and Causality Analysis between Stock Prices and Their Determinants in Jordan. Economic Modeling. 2013;35: 508–514.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/47816