

Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology



38(6): 1-9, 2019; Article no.CJAST.47979 ISSN: 2457-1024 (Past name: British Journal of Applied Science & Technology, Past ISSN: 2231-0843, NLM ID: 101664541)

Effect of Integrated Nitrogen Management on Growth Performance of French Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) Var. Contendor under Temperate Conditions of Kashmir Valley

Rehana Jan^{1*}, Tahir Ali¹, Nighat Mushtaq², Shakeel Ahmad Bhat³, Baseerat Binte Nabi¹ and Khushboo Farooq¹

¹Division of Soil Science, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Jammu and Kashmir, 190025, India. ²Division of Vegetable Science, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Jammu and Kashmir, 190025, India. ³Division of Agriculture Engineering, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Jammu and Kashmir, 190025, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author RJ designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author TA guided during the whole study. Authors SAB and NM managed the literature searches of the study. Authors BBN and KF managed the analyses of the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/CJAST/2019/v38i630454 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr. Ogunlade, Clement Adesoji Lecturer, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Adeleke University, Ede, Osun State, Nigeria. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Zakaria Fouad Fawzy Hassan, National Research Centre, Egypt. (2) Vikash Kumar, College of Horticulture and Forestry, India. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/47979</u>

> Received 20 May 2019 Accepted 22 July 2019 Published 16 January 2020

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

The present study aims to evaluate the effect of integrated nitrogen management on growth performance characteristics of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) var. contendor under temperate conditions of Kashmir valley during kharif season of 2014 at the research farm of SKUAST-K, Shalimar, Srinagar. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block (RDF) design with 13

*Corresponding author: E-mail: rehanabhat786@gmail.com;

treatments and 3 replications. The combination of dalweed compost and vermicompost with biofertilizer (Rhizobium) and reduced dose of chemical fertilizers were tested in comparison with RDF [1]. The soil under study was clay loam in texture, medium in available nitrogen (310.10 kgha⁻¹), phosphorus (22.92 kgha⁻¹) and potassium (249.10 kgha⁻¹) with neutral pH (7.2). The physical, chemical and biological parameters of soil were found to be significantly improved under INM practices than organic and chemical management practices. It was observed that the integrated application of nutrient levels had a significant influence on growth, yield and yield parameters in French bean. The results revealed that application of 75% N through urea + 25% N through vermicompost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (22.5 kg N + 0.55 t ha-1 + 20 g kg-1 seed) recorded maximum growth plant height (29.13 cm), plant spread (29.17 cm), maximum number of branches per plant (5.70) and maximum plant biomass (25.70 q ha⁻¹).and yield characters like Maximum seeds per pod (5.50), Maximum 100-seed weight (40.02 g), Maximum seed yield (23.96 q ha⁻¹) and stover yield (29.20 q ha⁻¹). Thus, it may be concluded that integrated nitrogen management (INM) improved the growth and yield of French bean.

Keywords: Nitrogen; french bean; vermincompost; growth; yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

French bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) is a short duration, non-traditional legume and one of the precious and highly relished pulse crop of North India with a high yield potential of 18-20 g/ha [2]. It is commonly known by various names viz., rajmash, rajma, haricot bean, field bean, kidney bean, snap bean, pole bean etc. It is a cheap source of vegetable protein, vitamins and minerals like calcium and iron. With population explosion, the demand for the crop has increased significantly, leading to the extensive use of chemical fertilizers without any consideration for soil health and guality, which is a critical factor for realizing sustainable yield. Besides, the residual effects of chemical fertilizers on the environment, underground water, soil microbes and crop products is a matter of concern. Inadequate use of the organic manures has also rendered Indian soils deficient in macro and micronutrients [3]. The use of chemical fertilizers boosted the agricultural production but the farming communities are not using it judiciously and hence it results in the loss of soil productivity. In spite of the importance of urgent step up, very little attention has been paid so far to nutrient management in varioussoils and climatic conditions. Unlike other pulses, Rajmash is inefficient in symbiotic nitrogen fixation as it lacks modulation due to the absence of NOD gene regulator [4] even with native Rhizobia and commercially produced cultures. Hence, the nitrogen requirement of Rajmash is different from other pulse crops and application of nitrogen through fertilizers is imperative for exploiting its vield potential. Inoculation of French bean seed with biofertilizers helps in increasing all

growth characters by enhancing the nutrient supply to the plant [5]. Besides, biofertilizers are capable of mobilizing elements from non-usable form to usable form through biological processes [6,7]. Thus, for increasing the growth and yield of French bean, besides other factors, an adequate quantity of nutrients from organic and inorganic sources is prerequisite. Keeping this in view, the present investigation was planned to study the effect of integrated nitrogen management on growth and yield of French bean.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at the experimental field of Shalimar campus, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir (SKUAST-K) during Kharif season 2014 located at 34.01°N and 74.5°E at an elevation of 1606 meters, to study the influence of Integrated nitrogen management (INM) on growth and yield parameters of French bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). The soil of experimental site was clay loam in texture, medium in available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium with neutral pH (Table 1). The experiment was laid out in a randomised complete block design (RBD) having thirteen treatments combinations (Table 1) comprising different combinations of inorganic fertilizers, organic manure and biofertilizers with three replications. The entire dose of FYM was applied as basal dose and thoroughly incorporated in the soil. The entire dose of phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) was given as basal dose. Moreover, nitrogen (N) was applied through vermicompost. Dalweed and biofertilizer (Rhizobium) to soil as per the treatment

Table 1. Treatments combinations

- T₁ Recommended nitrogen dose (30 kg N ha⁻¹ through inorganic source + 20 tonnes FYM ha⁻¹
- T_2 100% N through vermicompost @ 2.2 t ha⁻¹
- T_3 100% N through Dal weed @ 6 t ha⁻¹
- T_4 75% N through urea + 25% N through vermicompost (22.5 kg N + 0.55 t ha⁻¹)
- T_5 75% N through urea + 25% N through Dal weed (22.5 kg N + 1.5 t ha⁻¹)
- T_6 75% N through urea + 25% N through vermicompost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (22.5 kg N + 0.55 t ha⁻¹ + 20 g kg⁻¹ seed)
- T₇ 75% N through urea + 25% N through Dal weed + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (22.5 kg N + 1.5 t ha⁻¹ + 20 g kg⁻¹ seed)
- T_8 50% N through urea + 50% N through vermicompost (15 kg N + 1.1 t ha⁻¹)
- T_9 50% N through urea + 50% N through Dal weed (15 kg N + 3 t ha⁻¹)
- T_{10} 50% N through urea + 50% N through Dal weed + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (15 kg N + 3 t ha⁻¹ + 20 g kg⁻¹ seed)
- T₁₁ 50% N through urea + 50% N through vermicompost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (15 kg N + 1.1 t ha⁻¹ + 20 g kg⁻¹ seed)
- $T_{12} 75\% \text{ N through urea} + 12.5\% \text{ N through vermicompost} + 12.5\% \text{ through Dal weed compost} + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (22.5 kg N + 0.27 t ha⁻¹ + 0.75 t ha⁻¹ + 20 g kg⁻¹ seed)$
- T₁₃ 50 % N through urea + 25% N through vermicompost + 25% N through Dal weed compost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (15 kg N + 0.55 t ha⁻¹ + 1.50 t ha⁻¹ + 20 g kg⁻¹ seed)

combinations at the time of sowing. French bean (contedor) was sown @ 80 kg/ha during second fortnight of April and harvested in the first fortnight of July. Ten randomly plants were chosen over an area of 0.5 m² and were left undisturbed in each plot for recording observations viz plant height, plant spread, nodule number, yield and yield attributes The data collected were statistically analyzed using the standard procedure and the results were tested at five per cent level of significance [8]. The critical difference was used to compare treatment means. Plant height was measured from the lower cotledonary node to the growing tip during the vegetative period and the mean of 10 plants was taken and expressed in centimetres. Spread of plants was recorded in north-south direction, with the help of metre scale of randomly selected ten plants per plot and their average was calculated. Ten plants from each treatment were randomly uprooted at flowering and the soil adhered to the roots was removed by washing under tap water. Then the healthy nodules which were pinkish in colour (effective nodules) were counted and average number of nodules plant¹ was determined. Also ten plants were uprooted from each treatment and dried in an oven at 60-65°C for 48 hours and the weight of randomly selected plants was recorded in grams and finally converted to quintals per hectare. Number of seeded pods from 10 random plants were counted to work out the average number of seeded pods plant⁻¹. The

number of seeds in randomly selected 10 pods from each treatment was counted and their average was worked out. A random sample of 100 seeds from each treatment was taken and weighed to work out. Seed yield was taken from 10 undisturbed plants (0.5 m² area) of each plot and was converted into seed yield plot⁻¹ (Kg) and finally expressed as q ha⁻¹. Stover yield was also taken from the undisturbed plants (0.5 m² area) after harvesting the crop which was then converted into quintals per hectare.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Plant Height

The data on plant height is presented in Table-2. It indicated that the plant height increased irrespective of treatment effects. Plant height was significantly influenced by the application of organic, inorganic and biofertilizer at all growth stages.

At harvesting, maximum plant height (32.46 cm) was recorded with 75% N through urea + 25% N through vermicompost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (T_6) while minimum plant height (29.13 cm) was recorded with 100% N through Dal weed (T_3). The increase in plant height might be due to more and quick supply of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium than other treatments. Nitrogen is an essential constituent of chlorophyll, enzymes and proteins, whereas phosphorus is essential

maximum plant spread of 33.17 cm followed by

75% N through urea + 25% N through

vermicompost (T_4) (Table-2). The minimum plant

for phospo-lipids, phospho-proteins, ATP and ADP formation and potassium plays an important role in the promotion of enzymatic activity and enhances the translocation of assimilates and protein synthesis. The increase in plant height is in harmony with the findings of several authors [9,10,11,12,13]. Good response to applied nitrogen in the present study may be attributed to medium initial soil nitrogen status and lack of nodule forming ability of the crop.

3.2 Plant Spread

Among all treatment combinations, 75% N through urea + 25% N through vermicompost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (T_6) recorded the

spread of 29.17 cm was recorded in 100% N through Dal weed (T_3) . The increase in plant spread is due to complementary effect of availability of NPK and its role in increasing cell division which ultimately leads to an increase in plant spread. Similar was also reported by Veeresh [12] and EL-Awadi et al. [14].

3.3 Nodule Number

Nodule number per plant was recorded at flowering stage presented in Table-3. It is evident

 Table 2. Effect of integrated nitrogen management on plant growth parameters of French bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.)

| Trea | tments | Plant height (cm) | Plant spread (cm) | Nodule No. plant ⁻¹ |
|-----------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| T ₁ | Recommended nitrogen dose (30 kg N ha ⁻¹ | 32.10 | 30.14 | 9.33 |
| 1 1 | through inorganic source + 20 tonnes FYM | 32.10 | 50.14 | 9.55 |
| | ha ⁻¹ | | | |
| T_2 | 100% N though vermicompost @ 2.2 t ha ⁻¹ | 30.01 | 29.17 | 16.06 |
| T_3 | 100% N through Dal weed @ 6 t ha ⁻¹ | 29.13 | 28.75 | 15.00 |
| T ₄ | 75% N through urea + 25% N through | 32.46 | 32.17 | 11.00 |
| • 4 | vermicompost (22.5 kg N + 0.55 t ha^{-1}) | 00 | •=··· | |
| T_5 | 75% N through urea + 25% N through Dal | 31.04 | 30.14 | 10.33 |
| 5 | weed (22.5 kg N + 1.5 t ha ⁻¹) | | | |
| T_6 | 75% N through urea + 25% N through | 34.26 | 33.17 | 11.56 |
| Ū | vermicompost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) | | | |
| | (22.5 kg N + 0.55 t ha ⁻¹ + 20 g kg ⁻¹ seed) | | | |
| T ₇ | 75% N through urea + 25% N through Dal | 30.54 | 30.00 | 11.10 |
| | weed + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (22.5 kg N + | | | |
| | 1.5 t ha ⁻¹ + 20 g kg ⁻¹ seed) | | | |
| T ₈ | 50% N through urea + 50% N through | 30.92 | 30.09 | 13.00 |
| | vermicompost (15 kg N + 1.1 t ha ⁻¹) | | | |
| T ₉ | 50% N through urea + 50% N through Dal | 30.11 | 29.51 | 11.66 |
| _ | weed (15 kg N + 3 t ha ⁻¹) | | | |
| T ₁₀ | 50% N through urea + 50% N through Dal | 30.87 | 30.01 | 11.60 |
| | weed + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (15 kg N + 3 | | | |
| - | t ha ⁻¹ + 20 g kg ⁻¹ seed) | 00.00 | 04.47 | 44.05 |
| T ₁₁ | 50% N through urea + 50% N through | 32.33 | 31.17 | 14.35 |
| | vermicompost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (15 | | | |
| т | kg N + 1.1 t ha ⁻¹ + 20 g kg ⁻¹ seed) | 21.04 | 20 57 | 10.01 |
| T ₁₂ | 75% N through urea + 12.5% N through vermicompost + 12.5% through Dal weed | 31.04 | 32.57 | 12.01 |
| | compost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (22.5 kg | | | |
| | $N + 0.27 \text{ t ha}^{-1} + 0.75 \text{ t ha}^{-1} + 20 \text{ g kg}^{-1} \text{ seed}$ | | | |
| T ₁₃ | 50 % N through urea + 25% N through | 31.96 | 30.10 | 12.25 |
| י 13 | vermicompost + 25% N through Dal weed | 01.00 | 00.10 | 12.20 |
| | compost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (15 kg N | | | |
| | $+ 0.55 \text{ t ha}^{-1} + 1.50 \text{ t ha}^{-1} + 20 \text{ g kg}^{-1} \text{ seed}$ | | | |
| | $C.D_{(p \le 0.05)}$ | 0.956 | 0.029 | 2.046 |

| Particulars | Value | Method employed |
|------------------------------------|--------|--|
| Mechanical Characteristics | | |
| Coarse sand (%) | 1.50 | International Pipette Method (Piper, 1966) |
| Fine sand (%) | 18.30 | -do- |
| Clay (%) | 37.90 | -do- |
| Silt (%) | 42.40 | -do- |
| pH | 7.2 | 1:2.5 soil water suspension with Beckman's Glass |
| | | Electrode pH Meter (Jackson, 1967) |
| EC (dSm⁻¹) | 0.19 | Solubridge Conductivity Meter (Chopra, 1976) |
| Organic carbon (%) | 0.70 | Walkley and Black Rapid Titration Method (Jackson, |
| | | 1973) |
| Available N (kg ha⁻¹) | 310.10 | Alkaline potassium permanganate method (Subbiah |
| | | and Asija, 1956) |
| Available P (kg ha ⁻¹) | 22.92 | Extraction with 0.5 M NaHCO ₃ (Olsen et al. 1956) |
| Available K (kg ha⁻¹)́ | 249.10 | Extraction with Neutral Normal Ammonium Acetate |
| | | (Jackson, 1967) |
| CEC (cmol _c kg⁻¹) | 14.0 | Ammonium acetate method Peech et al. (1947) |

Table 3. Physio-chemical properties of soil of the experimental field before sowing

from the data that the number of nodules varied significantly. The maximum nodule number (16.06) as recorded in 100% N through vermicompost (T_2) and minimum (9.33) was in recommended nitrogen dose (T1). The data on nodule number indicated that treatment100% N through vermicompost (T₂) gave higher nodule number than other integrated treatments indicating that application of organic fertilizers enhanced the microbial activity, however, the integration of nutrients increased yield and other vield attributes, resulting the enhancement of nutrients availability which influenced the yield and yield attributes. The results are in close proximity with the findings of Kundu et al. [15] who reported that application of farmyard manure incorporation resulted in an increase in nitrogen fixation in soyabean. Application of chemical fertilizers alone suppresses the microbial activity that resulted in poor nodule number [16].

3.4 Yield and Yield Attributes

Yield of a crop is the result of its yield attributes. Yield attributes viz. number of primary branches, number of secondary branches, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, 100 seed weight were markedly influenced by the application of organic and inorganic source of nitrogen fertilizers. The data on primary branches and secondary branches per plant is presented in Table-4. It revealed from the data that the number of primary and secondary branches per plant increased in all the treatments and differed significantly at all growth stages. The maximum number of branches per plant (5.70) was recorded with 75% N through urea + 25% N through vermicompost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (T_6) while minimum number of branches per plant (3.07) was recorded with 100% N through Dal weed (T_3).

The data revealed a significantly higher seed yield with 75% N through urea+ 25% N through vermicompost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (T_6) than all other treatments. The increase in seed yield might have been due to significantly higher yield attributes viz, number of pods per plant, seeds per pod and 100 seed weight. These results are in line with the findings of Veeresh [12] and Dhanjal et al. [17]. The increase in seed yield might also have been due to an increase in photosynthetic rate and translocation of photosynthates to sink (seed) resulting in better development of yield attributes and produced higher seed yield.

The Stover yield significantly increased with the application of 75% N through urea+ 25% N through vermicompost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (T_6) than all other treatments. This might be due to significantly higher total dry matter production and more vegetative growth. These results are in agreement with Dhanjal et al. [17] and Kundu et al. [15]. Further, higher seed and stover yield by application of inorganic fertilizers in combination with organic manures may be due to its greater availability and uptake of macro and micro nutrients resulting in higher photosynthesis, tissue differentiation and translocation of assimilates etc. leading to higher seed and stover yield [18].

The pods per plant showed a significantly higher number of pods per plant with treatment 75% N

| Trea | atments | Primary branches | Secondary branches | Pods plant ⁻¹ | Seeds pod ⁻¹ | 100-seed weight (g) | Dry plant biomass (q/ha) |
|-----------------|---|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| T ₁ | Recommended nitrogen dose (30 kg N ha ⁻¹ through inorganic source + 20 tonnes FYM ha ⁻¹ | 4.60 | 4.50 | 11.60 | 7.50 | 36.35 | 19.03 |
| T_2 | 100% N though vermicompost @ 2.2 t ha ⁻¹ | 3.80 | 3.70 | 9.60 | 4.50 | 32.00 | 16.18 |
| T₃ | 100% N through Dal weed @ 6 t ha ⁻¹ | 3.07 | 3.05 | 8.50 | 3.50 | 26.30 | 16.10 |
| T ₄ | 75% N through urea + 25% N through vermicompost (22.5 kg N + 0.55 t ha^{-1}) | 5.00 | 4.80 | 12.60 | 8.40 | 37.60 | 23.05 |
| Т ₅ | 75% N through urea + 25% N through Dal weed (22.5 kg N + 1.5 t ha^{-1}) | 4.40 | 4.10 | 10.50 | 6.55 | 35.50 | 18.36 |
| Г ₆ | 75% N through urea + 25% N through vermicompost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (22.5 kg N + 0.55 t ha ⁻¹ + 20 g kg ⁻¹ seed) | 5.70 | 5.20 | 12.95 | 8.75 | 40.02 | 25.40 |
| Г ₇ | 75% N through urea + 25% N through Dal weed + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (22.5 kg N + 1.5 t ha^{-1} + 20 g kg ⁻¹ seed) | 4.00 | 4.00 | 11.30 | 7.30 | 34.60 | 17.89 |
| Г ₈ | 50% N through urea + 50% N through vermicompost (15 kg N + 1.1 t ha ⁻¹) | 4.20 | 4.07 | 10.00 | 6.31 | 35.00 | 18.10 |
| Г ₉ | 50% N through urea + 50% N through Dal weed (15 kg N + 3 t ha^{-1}) | 3.85 | 3.80 | 11.10 | 7.00 | 34.30 | 16.63 |
| Г ₁₀ | 50% N through urea + 50% N through Dal weed + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (15 kg N + 3 t ha ⁻¹ + 20 g kg ⁻¹ seed) | 4.07 | 4.05 | 11.50 | 7.41 | 34.80 | 17.89 |
| Γ ₁₁ | 50% N through urea + 50% N through vermicompost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (15 kg N + 1.1 t ha ⁻¹ + 20 g kg ⁻¹ seed) | 4.80 | 4.60 | 12.10 | 7.77 | 37.00 | 22.68 |
| Γ ₁₂ | 75% N through urea + 12.5% N through vermicompost + 12.5% through Dal weed compost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (22.5 kg N + 0.27 t ha ⁻¹ + 0.75 t ha ⁻¹ + 20 g kg ⁻¹ seed) | 5.20 | 5.00 | 12.40 | 8.00 | 38.90 | 25.70 |
| Г ₁₃ | 50 % N through urea + 25% N through vermicompost + 25% N through Dal weed compost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (15 kg N + 0.55 t ha ⁻¹ + 1.50 t ha ⁻¹ + 20 g kg ⁻¹ seed) | 4.50 | 4.40 | 10.70 | 6.75 | 36.20 | 18.38 |
| | C.D. (p ≤ 0.05) | 0.44 | 0.28 | 1.30 | 0.95 | 3.85 | 2.75 |

Table 4. Effect of integrated nitrogen management on yield attributes and dry plant biomass of French bean

| Treatment | | Yield | | |
|-----------------|---|-------|--------|--|
| | | Seed | Stover | |
| T ₁ | Recommended nitrogen dose (30 kg N ha ⁻¹ through inorganic source + 20 tonnes FYM ha ⁻¹ | 22.50 | 39.28 | |
| T_2 | 100% N though vermicompost @ 2.2 t ha ⁻¹ | 19.40 | 35.57 | |
| T ₃ | 100% N through Dal weed @ 6 t ha ⁻¹ | 18.51 | 29.20 | |
| T ₄ | 75% N through urea + 25% N through vermicompost (22.5 kg N + 0.55 t ha ⁻¹) | 23.70 | 37.37 | |
| Т ₅ | 75% N through urea + 25% N through Dal weed (22.5 kg N + 1.5 t ha ⁻¹) | 21.54 | 35.86 | |
| Т ₆ | 75% N through urea + 25% N through vermicompost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (22.5 kg N + 0.55 t ha ⁻¹ + 20 g kg ⁻¹ seed) | 23.96 | 39.77 | |
| T ₇ | 75% N through urea + 25% N through Dal weed + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (22.5 kg N + 1.5 t ha ⁻¹ + 20 g kg ⁻¹ seed) | 20.75 | 33.44 | |
| Т ₈ | 50% N through urea + 50% N through vermicompost (15 kg N + 1.1 t ha^{-1}) | 21.04 | 35.24 | |
| T ₉ | 50% N through urea + 50% N through Dal weed (15 kg N + 3 t ha^{-1}) | 20.00 | 32.88 | |
| Т ₁₀ | 50% N through urea + 50% N through Dal weed + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (15 kg N + 3 t ha ⁻¹ + 20 g kg ⁻¹ seed) | 20.90 | 30.88 | |
| Т ₁₁ | 50% N through urea + 50% N through vermicompost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (15 kg N + 1.1 t ha ⁻¹ + 20 g kg ⁻¹ seed) | 22.70 | 35.70 | |
| T ₁₂ | 75% N through urea + 12.5% N through vermicompost + 12.5% through Dal weed compost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (22.5 kg N + 0.27 t ha ⁻¹ + 0.75 t ha ⁻¹ + 20 g kg ⁻¹ seed) | 23.30 | 37.48 | |
| Г ₁₃ | 50 % N through urea + 25% N through vermicompost + 25% N through Dal weed compost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (15 kg N + 0.55 t ha ⁻¹ + 1.50 t ha ⁻¹ + 20 g kg ⁻¹ seed) | 21.60 | 35.69 | |
| | $C.D_{(p \le 0.05)}$ | 0.278 | 0.274 | |

Table 5. Influence of integrated nitrogen management on seed and stover yield (q ha⁻¹) of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.)

through urea+ 25% N through vermicompost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (T_6) followed by 75% Nthrough urea+ 25% N through vermicompost (T_4). Similar results were also reported by Datt et al. [19] who found that application of chemical fertilizer alone gave numerically more number of pods per plant than integrated application of chemical fertilizers and FYM in Lauhal valley of Himachal Pradesh.

The data on seeds per pod and 100-seed weight also indicated significantly higher values with 75% N through urea + 25% N through vermicompost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (T_6) than all other treatments. The increase in seeds per pod, 100-seed weight might be due to

significantly increase in growth parameters. These results are in line with the findings of Dhanjal et al. [17].

4. CONCLUSION

From the study it is concluded that in context of sustainable agriculture, growth and yield may be improved by integrated use of organic and inorganic sources of nutrient under temperate conditions of Kashmir, and the nutrient management of French bean may involve substitution of 75% N through urea + 25% N through vermicompost + biofertilizer (Rhizobium) (22.5 kg N + 0.55 t ha⁻¹ + 20 g kg⁻¹ seed) Further, integrated nutrient management is

required for sustaining the desired crop productivity by optimizing the benefits from all the sources of plant nutrients in an integrated manner.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Rehana Jan, Tahir Ali, Nighat Mushtaq, Shazia Ramzan, Baseerat Binte Nabi andJehangir Muzzafar Matto Influence of integrated nitrogen management on French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) var. Contendor under temperate conditions of Kashmir Valley, JCS. 2017;5(5):1741-1745.
- Zahida R, Shahid Dar B, Mudasir R, Suhail Inamullah, Rakshanda A. Morphological, yield and soil quality studies of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L) as influenced by integrating various organic and inorganic fertilizers. The Bioscan. 2016; 11(2):573-577.
- Acharya CL, Mandal KG. Integrated plant nutrient supply in vegetable crops In: Compendium: Recent advance in vegetable production technology proceedings of winter school, (Varanasi India Institute of Vegetable Research, Varanasi, UP). 2002;79-104.
- Kushwaha BL. Response of french bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) to nitrogen application in north Indian plains. 1985; 39:34-37.
- Thakur RN, Arya PS, Thakur SK. Response of french bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Varieties to fertilizer levels, Rhizobium Inoculation and their residual effect on onion (*Allium cepa*) in mid hill of north western Himalaya. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1999;169(60):416-418.
- Sharma AK. Biofertilizers for sustainable Agriculture. Pub. Agrobios (India). 2002; 234.
- Devlin RM, Witham FH. Plant physiology, (4thedn.) CBS Publishers and Distributors. Delhi, India. 1986;54.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research, 2nd edition (John Wiley and Sons, New York). 1984;680.

- Singer SM, Ali AH, EL-Desuki M, Gomaa AM, Khalafallah MA. Synergestic effect of bio and chemical fertilizers to improve the quality and yield of snap bean grown in sandy soil. Acta Horticulturae. 2000;513: 213-220.
- Abd El-Mawgoud AMR, El Desuki M, Salman SR, Abou Hussaein SD. Performance of some Snap bean varieties as affected by different levels of mineral fertilizers. Agronomy Journal. 2005;4(3): 242-247.
- 11. Shubhashree KS, Alagundagi SC, Hiremath SM, Chittapur BM, Hebsur NS, Patil BC. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels on groth, yield and economics of Rajmash (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2011;24(3):283-285.
- Veeresh NK. Response of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L) to fertilizer levels in Northern Transitional Zone of Karnataka M. Sc. (Agriculture) Thesis, University of Agricultural Science, Dharwad. 2003;37-39.
- Jagdale RB, Khawale VS, Baviskar PK, Doshinge BB and Kore MS. Effect of inorganic and organic nutrients on growth and yield of French bean (*Phaseolus Vulgaris* L) Journal of Soil and Crops. 2005;15(2):401-405.
- 14. EL-Awadi ME, El-Bassiony AM, Fawzy ZF, El-Nemr MA. Response of Snap bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) plants to nitrogen fertilizer and foliar application with methionine and tryptophan. Nature and Science. 2011;9(5):87-94.
- 15. Kundu S, Singh M, Meena MC, Tripathi AK, Takkar PN. Effect of farmyard manure on nitrogen fixation in soybean (*Glycine max*) and its net potential contribution to N balance as measured by 15N tracer methodology. Journal of Agriculture Science. 1996;66:509-513.
- Pathak DV, Kurana AL, Dudaja SS. Effectiveness and competitiveness of Tsr phenotypes of Rhizobium *leguminosarum* Bv *phaseoli* in common beans *Phaeolus vulgaris*. Legume Research. 1999;22:41-45.
- 17. Dhanjal RM, Prakash O, Ahlawat IPS. Response of French bean (*Phaseolus Vulgaris*) varieties to plant density and nitrogen application. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2001;46:277-281.
- 18. Sen S, Mondal CK, Mandal AR, Paria NC. Effect of Rhizobium culture and different

levels of nitrogen on growth, yield and nodulation of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L). The Horticulture Journals. 2006;19(3):268-272.

19. Datt N, Dubey YP, Chaudhary R. Studies on the impact of organic, inorganic and

integrated use of nutrients on symbiotic parameters, yield, quality of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) vis-a vis soil properties of an acid alfisol. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013; 8(22):2645-2654.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/47979

^{© 2019} Jan et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.