International Journal of Plant & Soil Science



31(2): 1-13, 2019; Article no.IJPSS.52869 ISSN: 2320-7035

## Growth Response of Cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.) Seedlings to Application of Cocoa Pod Husk-based Compost

Jerome A. Dogbatse<sup>1\*</sup>, A. Arthur<sup>1</sup>, I. Amoaku-Attah<sup>1</sup>, A. K. Quaye<sup>1</sup>, S. Konlan<sup>1</sup>, F. Owusu-Ansah<sup>1</sup>, E. Djan<sup>1</sup> and F. Amon-Armah<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cocoa Research Institute of Ghana, P.O.Box 8, New Tafo-Akim, Ghana.

### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

Received 06 October 2019

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2019/v31i230203 <u>Editor(s)</u>: (1) Dr. Davide Neri, Professor, Polytechnic University of Marche - Via Brecce White, Ancona, Italy. (2) Dr. Marco Trevisan, Professor, Institute of Agricultural Chemistry and Environmental Research Centre BIOMASS, Faculty of Agriculture, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Italy. (3) Dr. Abigail Ogbonna, Department of Plant Science and Technology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Jos, Nigeria. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Cristiane Ramos Vieira, University of Cuiabá, Brazil. (2) Chemutai Roseline, Bukalasa Agricultural College, Uganda. (3) Benjawan Chutichudet, Mahasarakham University, Thailand. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/52869</u>

> Accepted 14 December 2019 Published 20 December 2019

Original Research Article

## ABSTRACT

**Aim:** To study the effects of cocoa pod husk based compost: Soil mixtures on growth of cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.) seedlings.

**Study Design:** The experiment was laid out in a Complete Randomized Design with four replications.

**Place and Duration of Study:** The experiment was conducted at the main nursery of Cocoa Research Institute of Ghana at New Tafo - Akim (06°13' N and 00°22' W) in the Eastern Region of Ghana, between November, 2016 and December 2017.

**Methodology:** Surface soil classified as Ferric Lixisols together with four compost types produced from cocoa pod husk, poultry manure and *Panicum maximum* was used to fill polythene bags. A Soil alone (T1) and Soil + standard foliar fertilizer (T2) with a four compost:soil mixture treatments namely T3 - 1:1 w/w, T4 - 1:2 w/w, T5 -1:3 w/w and T6 - 2:1 w/w were tested. Each of the four compost types was used for T3 to T6. Surface soil, poultry manure, compost and compost-soil

mixtures were carried out using standard laboratory procedures. Mixed hybrid cocoa seedlings were raised and growth evaluated monthly.

**Results:** Interaction effect was observed between compost types and compost-soil mixtures on dry matter yield of cocoa seedlings. Cocoa seedlings grown in T5 of compost 1 had the highest dry matter yield. Higher shoot: root ratio of cocoa seedlings were observed in compost 1. The order of desirability for the four compost types in terms of compost-soil mixtures was compost:soil (1:3 w/w) > compost:soil (1:2 w/w) > compost:soil (2:1 w/w) > compost:soil (1:1 w/w). CMPT1 will ensure more vigorous cocoa seedlings growth after transplanting and subsequently, higher establishment rate.

**Conclusion:** The optimum mixture of compost and soil for growing cocoa seedlings under limited availability of fertile surface soil is compost 1 mixed with surface soil at the ratio of 1:3.

Keywords: Cocoa pod husk; theobroma cacao; poultry manure; compost.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The ability of surface soils to provide adequate and balanced amounts of nutrients is essential for optimum growth and development of seedlings at the nursery. However, surface soil of good fertility status is not readily available due to over exploitation of these soils for nursery activities [1]. At the Cocoa Research Institute of Ghana (CRIG), surface soils used for raising seedlings are usually of low fertility and are often amended with inorganic fertilizer. Amending the surface soil nutrient with inorganic fertilizer is limited by the high cost of fertilizers [2] and also adds to the cost of seedling production due to the additional resources required to apply these fertilizers. Thus, the use of inexpensive potting media, by the use of nutrient-rich surface compost:soil mixtures would possibly result in reducing the amount of supplementary fertilizer application.

Good composts from organic materials which are often regarded as waste and not utilized are a source of macro and micronutrients to plants. They also provide a stabilized form of organic matter that imparts longer lasting residual effects to soil [3]. Organic materials have been recommended for use as renewable resources in growth media production [4]. Poultry manure (PM) is considered a valuable organic resource for providing nutrients to crops [5,6]. It has a higher fertilizing value than other livestock manure, because it is richer in nitrogen [7], helps build soil organic matter and improves structural stability by improving the soil characteristics; such as aeration, water holding capacity, bulk density, aggregation, cation exchange capacity and activity of beneficial microflora [8,9,10]. Likewise, Panicum maximum (Pmax), a high biomass-producing plant considered weeds on most farmers in Ghana could provide the soil with needed macro and micronutrients when

composted and applied to the soil [11]. In Ghana, cocoa pod husk (CPH) which decomposes readily, releasing plant nutrients, is also readily available in large quantities. It is estimated that about 595,000 tonnes of dry CPH residue was generated in Ghana in 2008 [12].

CPH on cocoa farms may serve as abode for insect pests and disease causing organisms. However, composting CPH destroys most of these insect pests and disease causing organisms. In developing a method of composting CPH, [13] found out that increasing the duration of decomposition of compost affected the incidence of Phytophthora and other soil microbial population. The utilization of CPH for cocoa production was first reported by Ahenkorah, et al. [14] and for growing of cocoa seedlings by Ofori-Frimpong, et al. [13]. However, the extent to which CPH based compost could be fully utilized on a large scale as potting media for growing cocoa seedlings has not received much attention in Ghana [13]. There is therefore the potential for the use of CPH and other agricultural wastes to produce compost which together with surface soil can form a good alternative potting medium to the use of sole surface soil for raising cocoa. The objective of this research was to study the effects of cocoa pod husk based compost:soil mixtures on growth of cocoa (Theobroma cacao L.) seedlings.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 2.1 Study Area

The experiment was conducted in the main nursery at the CRIG at New Tafo - Akim (06°13' N and 00°22' W) in the Eastern Region of Ghana. The area is characterized by double rainfall regime with the major season in March to June and the minor season in September to November. The mean annual rainfall ranges between 1,250 mm to 1,750 mm.

## 2.2 Soil and Compost Production

Surface soil (0 - 15 cm) was collected from a 25year old cocoa farm at CRIG. The soil belongs to the WACRI series [15], which is a typical Forest Ochrosols under Ghanaian system [15] or Ferric Lixisols [16]. Old CPH and fresh Pmax were obtained from the CRIG. Poultry manure was collected from a poultry farm at Bunso in Eastern Region. Cocoa pod husk and Pmax were shredded into tiny pieces. Using a weighing scale, approximately 1,454 to 1,938 kg of waste mixtures were prepared separately by mixing the shredded cocoa pod husk, poultry manure and chopped Pmax grass in ratios of 3:1:2, 3:1:1, 3:1/2:1, 3:1:1/2 to produce four different types of composts (Compost 1, Compost 2, Compost 3 and Compost 4) (Table 1).

The weighed composting materials were composted in pits 1.2 m deep, 0.9 m wide and 3.2 m long covered with polythene sheets. At maturity, five subsamples each were taken from five different points at the top, middle (60 cm depth) lower bottom (85 cm depth) and base (110 cm from the bottom of the pile) for each type of compost. The subsamples were thoroughly mixed together, placed in polyethylene bags for subsequent chemical analysis.

#### 2.3 Laboratory Analyses

Samples of surface soil, poultry manure, compost and compost-soil mixtures were airdried and sieved to pass through a 2 mm sieve for analysis. Chemical analyses were done on samples. pН determined the was electrometrically in distilled water at 1:2.5 [17]. Organic carbon (OC) was determined using the wet combustion method of Walklev and Walklev [18]. Total nitrogen (TN) was determined using the Kjeldahl method [19]. Available phosphorus (AP) was determined using the Troug method [20] and colorimetrically on Spectrophotometer. Exchangeable basic cations (K, Ca and Mg) were extracted with 1 M neutral ammonium acetate solution and filtrate analyzed by the atomic absorption spectrophotometer [21]. Particle size analysis was determined by the method of Bouyoucos [22].

### 2.4 Nursery Studies

Polythene bags (17.5 x 25 cm) perforated at the bottom were filled with 4 kg of these soil-compost mixtures. The experiment was laid out in a Complete Randomized Design with four replications. The treatments were four different soil-compost mixtures, a control (soil alone) and soil + standard foliar fertilizer (Table 2). Each of the four compost types was used for Treatment 3 (T3) to Treatment 6 (T6).

The standard foliar fertilizer (NPK 10:10:10) was applied to the seedlings at a rate of 10 mL per 11 L of water at bimonthly intervals using a pneumatic knapsack sprayer. All the polythene bags were then arranged on thick polythene sheet to prevent the growth of the roots into the ground for possible uptake of nutrients. Each polythene bag was sown with two mixed hybrid cocoa seeds which were thinned out to one seedling per polythene bag at 20 days after sowing. There were 30 seedlings per treatment. The seedlings were kept under a shade and watered as and when necessary to keep the moisture content of the soil at field capacity. Weeds were removed by hand picking. Confidor 200 O-TEQ, a systemic insecticide was applied quarterly to the seedlings at a rate of 30 mL in 15 L of water using a pneumatic knapsack sprayer to prevent damage by insect pests. Sampling started one month after seedling emergence and continued at monthly interval for 6 months. Seedling height and stem diameter were taken with the aid of a standard meter ruler and digital calipers respectively. At each sampling, three seedlings with the roots intact in each treatment were thoroughly washed with distilled water, partitioned into shoots and roots and their fresh weights determined. The plant parts were dried to a constant weight at 80°C for 48 hours and dry weights determined. Absolute growth rate (AGR) for dry matter variable was determined according to Radfords [23]:

AGR (g day<sup>-1</sup>) = 
$$\frac{W_2 - W_1}{t_2 - t_1}$$

Where: W1 and W2 refer to dry weight of plant at sampling time t1 and t2, respectively.

Shoot-root ratio and root-shoot ratio were calculated from the dry weight of shoots and roots.

## 2.5 Data Analysis

Data collected were subjected to analysis of variance. Treatment means were compared

Compost type	Ratio	Quantity (kg)			
		СРН	PM	Pmax	
CMPT1	3: 1: 2	969	323	646	
CMPT2	3: 1: 1	969	323	323	
CMPT3	3: ½ :1	969	162	323	
CMPT4	3: 1: ½	969	323	162	
CMPT1 = Compost 1, CMPT2 = Compost 2, CMPT3 = Compost 3 and CMPT4 = Compost 4					

Table 1. Quantity of raw materials used for compost production

using the standard error of difference (SED). All statistics were performed using GenStat statistical package [24].

Table 2. Treatment combinations used in the<br/>study

Treatment	Description	
T1	Soil alone (Control)	
T2	Soil + Standard foliar fertilizer	
Т3	Compost : Soil (1:1 w/w)	
T4	Compost : Soil (1:2 w/w)	
T5	Compost : Soil (1:3 w/w)	
T6	Compost : Soil (2:1 w/w)	

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Properties of Soil, Raw Materials and Compost

The texture of the soil was sandy clay loam with 63.2, 12.0, and 24.8% of sand, silt and clay, respectively (Table 3). The silt and clay contents of the soil were found to be adequate to hold sufficient soil moisture for cocoa seedling growth. The ratio of silt to clay was wide indicating that, the soil was highly weathered [25].

The pH of the soil was 5.5, indicating slight acidity typical of a WACRI Series or Forest Ochrosols or Ferric Lixisols and may be responsible for the low exchangeable cations (EC) level in the soil. The percent carbon content of 0.43% was below the critical minimum of 3% found suitable for cocoa cultivation in Ghana [26]. This suggests the need to increase the soil organic matter (SOM) content to give opportunity for optimal growth of cocoa on the soil. Total nitrogen (TN) of 0.06% was below the critical minimum of 0.09% required for cocoa cultivation reported by Ahenkorah, et al. [26]. As regards the available phosphorus (AP), the value for the soil was found to be higher than the critical value of 20 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> considered suitable for cocoa cultivation [26]. The soil has exchangeable potassium (EK) that was higher than the critical value of 0.25 cmol kg<sup>-1</sup> considered ideal for cocoa cultivation [26]. The K content was

probably due to the high levels of kaolinitic clay minerals in the soil. Exchangeable Ca and Mg contents of the soil were below the range suitable for the growth of cocoa [26]. These low levels of organic carbon (OC), N, Ca and Mg show that the soil used was intensely leached and of low fertility, hence, the soil would benefit positively from OM addition.

Cocoa pod husk had the highest K content and C/N ratio compared to PM and *Pmax* (Table 3). The high K content of CPH was consistent with the observations made by Adu-Dapaah and Asare [27,28], that CPH contains high K. The poultry manure used in the study had properties similar to those obtained from other countries [29-32]. The levels of the major nutrients were generally high. The nutrient content of *Pmax* especially K, Ca and Mg were lower than that of PM and CPH.

The pH of the different composts ranged from 7.1 to 8.3 (Table 4). Apart from CMPT2, the pH of CMPT1, CMPT3 and CMPT4 were within the recommended level of 5.5 to 8.0 for compost [33,34].

The optimum value of OC in compost has been reported be higher than 10% [34]. However, in this study, the OC in the different composts were generally low (ranging between 3.74 and 3.83%) but greater than the critical value of 3% reported to be ideal in soils for cocoa cultivation in Ghana [26]. The low OC observed also indicates that, part of the carbon in the decomposing residues was either probably assimilated by the microbial biomass [35,36] or lost as carbon dioxide (Table 4).

TN of the compost samples varied from 0.65 to 0.67% (Table 4) and was within the range of 0.5 to 2.7% reported by Kulhman [37]. The C/N ratio is an important parameter that determines the extent of composting and degree of compost maturity. Ideal ready-to use compost has a C/N ratio range of 15:1 to 20:1 [38]. However, the C:N ratio values obtained in this study were generally greater than 30:1 with the exception of CMPT1.

This implies that there is a possibility of initial N immobilization when these composts are applied to the soil.

Available P concentration ranged from 0.22% for CMPT2 to 0.24% for both CMPT3 and CMPT4 (Table 4). The addition of composts resulting in initial immobilization of soil P has been shown to depend on the carbon:phosphorous ratio (C/P) of the OM added. Immobilization is likely to occur with a C/P ratio of >200 [39]. The C/P ratio varied from 8.15 to 10.03 for the different compost types, suggesting that none of the composts used would immobilize P, but rather, P would be released for the growth of the cocoa seedlings. On this basis, maximum P availability is likely to be from CMPT3 treatment.

For the exchangeable bases, K concentration ranged from a low value of 0.89% on CMPT1 to a highest of 1.06% for CMPT4 (Table 4). Calcium and Mg concentrations of the compost samples were generally low, and ranged from 0.19% to 0.33% while Mg level ranged from 0.17 to 0.27%. The recommended levels of Ca and Mg for optimum plant growth is 1 .0 to 4.0% and 0.2 to 0.4% respectively [40]. This implies the composts are not supplying adequate concentration of exchangeable bases for the plants.

#### 3.2 Growth Parameters

In Table 5, the standard treatment plants were (P = .05) taller than those of the control and the compost-soil mixtures. This indicates that, the foliar fertilizer addition did satisfy the nutritional demands of the seedlings, and therefore, supported their optimal growth. However, cocoa seedlings in the compost-soil mixtures were taller than the control treatment. The main effect of compost showed that, CMPT1 had the greatest influence on height increase of cocoa seedlings leading to taller plants. Higher exchangeable bases required for good seedling growth present in CMPT1 compared to the other composts might have been responsible for the tall plants. T5 supported the tallest plants among the compostsoil mixtures, while T3 failed to support height gain, leading to shortest plants. CMPT2 was the worst performing compost treatment.

The main effect of compost indicated that, CMPT1 recorded stem diameter increase (Table 5). Stem diameter increase of cocoa seedlings in the standard was (P = .05) higher than the increase in the control and the compost-soil mixtures. The increases in height and stem diameter of cocoa seedlings raised under compost-soil mixtures compared to the control could be attributed to the fact that the composts provided the plants more nutrients which supported seedling growth. This agrees with the earlier results of Akanbi, et al. [41,42] which stated that the addition of organic materials such as cocoa pod husk as nutrient sources supports crop performance. Plant growth generally tended to be better in CMPT1 than in the other compost types and the control. This trend may be attributed to differences in the nutrient status of the compost types and the soil. However, the interaction effects of compost and compost-soil mixtures on growth rates of cocoa seedlings were not different (Table 5).

## 3.3 Dry Matter Production and Distribution

Dry matter yield (DMY) of the cocoa seedlings generally increased with time. Interaction (P =.05) effect was observed between composts and compost-soil ratio on DMY of cocoa seedlings (Table 6). Dry matter yield of cocoa seedlings in T4, T5 and T6 were (P = .05) higher than T3 for CMPT1 but not for CMPT2 and CMPT4 (Table 6). For CMPT2, T6 had the lowest DMY. CMPT1 had the highest DMY in T4, T5 and T6. Dry matter yield of T5 in CMPT1 and CMPT3 was higher than standard (T2). However, T5 of CMPT1 had the highest DMY among all the treatments in the various compost-soil mixtures.

Generally, T3 and T6 which had higher levels of compost in the various compost-soil mixtures depressed growth of cocoa seedlings compared to T4 and T5 which had lower compost levels. The depression in growth of cocoa seedlings might be due to the fact that some of the nutrients in the compost applied to the soil for these treatments (T3 and T6) were immobilized by soil microorganisms, their high SOM and other edaphic factors thereby making these nutrients unavailable to the cocoa seedlings. This observation is consistent with the findings of Ibiremo, et al. [43] and Akanbi et al. [41] who observed a depression in the growth of cocoa seedlings when organic fertilizer material such as cocoa pod husk ash was used on cocoa seedlings.

There was a positive linear relationship between height and dry matter production under conditions of varied combinations of compost and soil mixtures in the nursery (Fig. 1). Stem diameters of seedlings also had strong linear and positive relationship with dry matter (Fig. 2). This relationship explained dry matter yield up to 62

per cent ( $R^2 = 0.6231$ ). This relationship matter to vegetative growth at this stage of the emphasizes a disproportionate allocation of dry seedling growth.

Properties	Raw materials					
	Soil	СРН	РМ	Pmax		
Organic carbon (%)	0.43	2.75	2.77	1.08		
Total nitrogen (%)	0.06	1.99	2.68	1.90		
Available phosphorus (%)	22.05	0.05	0.05	0.03		
Potassium (%)	0.29	3.49	2.74	0.89		
Calcium (%)	3.00	0.11	0.20	0.03		
Magnesium (%)	0.92	0.16	0.15	0.08		
рН	5.50					
Sand (%)	63.20					
Silt (%)	12.00					
Clay (%)	24.80					
Carbon/Nitrogen ratio		1.38	1.03	0.57		

## Table 3. Chemical properties of soil and raw materials

## Table 4. Chemical properties of the compost types

Property	Compost types					
	CMPT1	CMPT2	CMPT3	CMPT4		
рН	7.45	8.28	7.59	7.11		
Organic carbon (%)	3.74	3.80	3.81	3.83		
Total nitrogen (%)	0.67	0.67	0.65	0.66		
Available phosphorus (%)	0.23	0.22	0.24	0.24		
Total phosphorus (%)	0.41	0.45	0.38	0.47		
Potassium (%)	0.89	0.96	0.93	1.06		
Calcium (%)	0.33	0.19	0.25	0.26		
Magnesium (%)	0.27	0.17	0.20	0.23		
C/N ratio	5.58	5.67	5.86	5.80		
C/P ratio	9.12	8.44	10.03	8.15		

## Table 5. Compost and compost-soil mixtures effect on height and stem diameter of cocoa seedlings at 6 months after planting

Factor	Height (cm)	Stem diameter (mm)
Control	32.60	5.25
Standard	39.14	5.98
Compost-soil ratio	33.43	5.42
Compost *		
CMPT1	37.33	5.90
CMPT2	29.75	5.00
CMPT3	32.56	5.33
CMPT4	34.08	5.50
Compost-soil ratio <sup>†</sup>		
Т3	32.77	5.39
Τ4	33.39	5.50
Т5	34.60	5.44
Т6	32.95	5.36
SED min rep	1.78 *	0.20 *
max-min	1.41	0.16
max rep	0.89 <sup>†</sup>	0.10 <sup>†</sup>

\* 12 reps; <sup>†</sup> 54 reps

SED = Standard errors of differences of means

The balance between carbohydrates and mineral nutrients in plant tissues plays a key role in determining the magnitude of above and below ground growth according to Brouwer [44]. The effect of compost application on SRR of cocoa grown in CMPT1 and the standard was (P = .05) higher than those grown in CMPT3 (Table 7). This may be due to the low level of N and P content of CMPT3, therefore, cocoa grown in CMPT3 may have diverted more photosynthate to the roots for better growth and the need to exploit larger volumes of the potting media for N. It has also been reported that plants growing in N deficient medium diverts more photosynthate to the roots, thus, greater root development [45]. Deficiencies of mineral elements can decrease the shoot:root ratio (SRR) of plants [44]. The higher SRR obtained for the standard was as a result of its high N content compared to the other treatments. High N supply increases the SRR owing to increasing shoot growth with only

small differences in root growth at the same time [44]. These results imply that the composts did change the proportion of shoot to the root in the treatments. The interaction effects of compost and compost-soil mixtures on SRR of cocoa seedlings were not different (Table 7).

The total dry matter accumulation per cocoa seedling per day was very slow (Table 8) during 0-30 days after sowing (DAS). With the exception of 0-30 DAS, the growth rate of cocoa seedlings at the various periods showed (P = .05) differences among the composts and compostsoil mixtures (Table 8). Generally, the minimum and maximum growth rates were observed on the control and standard treatments respectively. Thus, foliar fertilizer added to the soil in the standard treatment had a profound effect on increasing plant growth than the control (soil alone treatment).

 Table 6. Compost and compost-soil mixtures effect on dry matter (g) yield of cocoa seedlings at 6 months after planting

Factor		Compost-soil ratio <sup>†</sup>						
		T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	Т6	
Control		14.63						
Standard			16.56					
Compost <sup>3</sup>	*							
	CMPT1			14.13	15.67	16.93	15.27	
	CMPT2			15.90	15.13	15.17	14.83	
	CMPT3			14.60	14.67	16.77	14.83	
	CMPT4			14.73	14.97	15.67	14.97	
SED	0.53 *	min rep						
	0.42	max-min						
	0.27 †	max rep						

\* 12 reps; <sup>†</sup> 54 reps

SED = Standard errors of differences of means



Fig. 1. Relationship between height and dry matter of 6 months old cocoa seedlings under different compost-soil mixtures



Fig. 2. Relationship between stem diameter and dry matter of 6 months old cocoa seedlings under different compost-soil mixtures

Shoot:Root ratio
2.74
3.25
3.23
2.69
2.14
2.52
0.50
2.29
2.37
2.48
2.76
0.62

Table 7. Compost application on Shoot:Root ratio of cocoa s	seedlings 6 months after planting
---	-----------------------------------

SED = Standard errors of differences of means

# Table 8. Absolute growth rate (g/day) based on dry matter as influenced by different treatments

Factor	Days after sowing					
	0-30	30-60	60-90	90-120	120-150	150-180
Control	0.030	0.028	0.043	0.120	0.213	0.268
Standard	0.033	0.043	0.035	0.123	0.225	0.430
Compost						
CMPT1	0.028	0.028	0.053	0.120	0.190	0.310
CMPT2	0.027	0.022	0.043	0.120	0.265	0.312
CMPT3	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.135	0.237	0.345
CMPT4	0.027	0.032	0.032	0.122	0.260	0.302
Compost-soil r	atio					
Т3	0.025	0.0150	0.038	0.120	0.250	0.300
T4	0.023	0.0250	0.030	0.128	0.258	0.285
T5	0.028	0.0250	0.058	0.120	0.228	0.375
T6	0.023	0.0250	0.028	0.135	0.255	0.245
SED <sub>df = 48</sub>						

SED = Standard errors of differences of means

## 3.4 Nutrient Uptake

The nutrient concentrations were higher in the shoots than in the roots (Fig. 3). The concentrations of N in the seedlings were similar, with T3 of CMPT2 recording the highest N concentration compared to the other treatments.

A similar trend was observed for P (Fig. 3). However, T5 of CMPT4 had the highest P concentration. Potassium concentration was highest in T6 of CMPT3. The uptake of K was (P = .05) higher for seedlings of compost:soil mixtures of CMPT4 than for those of the standard (T2) and control (T1).



Fig. 3. Nutrient element concentrations in the cocoa seedlings at 6 months after planting

Treatment	N (%)	P (%)	K (%)
Control - T1	4.61	0.53	4.75
Standard - T2	4.89	0.63	4.67
CMPT1 - T3	6.20	1.51	10.84
CMPT1 - T4	5.05	1.18	8.51
CMPT1 - T5	5.08	1.05	8.96
CMPT1 - T6	5.98	1.30	10.78
CMPT2 - T3	6.27	1.08	8.57
CMPT2 - T4	4.57	1.03	8.44
CMPT2 - T5	4.94	1.28	9.51
CMPT2 - T6	4.81	0.95	5.43
CMPT3 - T3	5.01	0.76	6.57
CMPT3 - T4	5.71	0.52	8.60
CMPT3 - T5	5.67	1.11	4.72
CMPT3 - T6	5.43	1.00	11.37
CMPT4 - T3	5.66	1.16	10.26
CMPT4 - T4	6.24	1.40	10.41
CMPT4 - T5	6.42	1.60	10.71
CMPT4 - T6	5.69	1.30	10.21
SED	0.49	0.20	0.30

Table 9. Total nutrient uptake (%) by cocoa seedlings at 6 months after planting

SED = Standard errors of differences of means

A possible reason for this trend may be the K content of CMPT4, which was the highest. The uptake of nutrients by the cocoa seedlings was highest for K, followed by N and P in that order (Table 9). The high uptake of K can be attributed to the high levels of K in the cocoa pod husk used for the production of the composts. The low uptake of P was due to the low P content of the compost types. Although P uptake by the crop was lowest in relation to the other major plant nutrient elements, it's the most important nutrient element limiting cocoa production in Ghana [46].

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The addition of CPH based compost as nutrient sources to surface soil produced promising effects on cocoa seedlings comparable to standard treatment. There were differences among the composts and compost-soil mixtures with regards to the growth rate of cocoa seedlings at 6 months after planting. The order of desirability in terms of compost-soil mixtures was compost:soil (1:3 w/w) > compost:soil (1:2 w/w) > compost:soil (2:1 w/w) > compost:soil (1:1 w/w). In the case of DMY, cocoa seedlings grown in T5 of CMPT1 were the best compared to the other compost-soil mixtures, standard and control treatments. From its superiority over the other composts, CMPT1 may ensure more vigorous growth of the cocoa seedlings after transplanting.

Nevertheless, the optimum mixture of CPH based compost and soil recommended for growing cocoa seedlings under limited availability of fertile surface soil is CMPT1 mixed with surface soil at the ratio of 1:3 (T5).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to Messrs Eric Asare, Moses Sarpong, and Afari Gyimah of the Cocoa Research Institute of Ghana for providing technical support. This paper is published with the kind permission of the Executive Director, Cocoa Research Institute of Ghana, New Tafo - Akim as manuscript number CRIG/01/2019/053/001.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

 Alvim P. de T, Alvim R. Environmental requirements of cocoa with emphasis on response to shade and moisture. Proceeding in: International Conference on Cocoa and Coconuts. The Incorporated Society of Planters. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. 1980;93-111.

- Yawson DO, Armah FA, Afrifa EKA, Dadzie SKN. Ghana's fertilizer subsidy policy: Early field lessons from farmers in the Central Region. Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa. 2010;12:191-203.
- 3. Preusch PL, Adler PR, Sikora LJ, Tworkoski TJ. Nitrogen and phosphorus availability in composted and uncomposted poultry litter. Journal of Environmental Quality. 2002;31:2051-2077.
- Mehmood T, Ahmad W, Ahmad KS, Shafi J, Shehzad MA, Sarwar MA. Comparative effect of different potting media on vegetative and reproductive growth of floral shower (*Antirrhinum majus* L.). Universal Journal of Plant Science. 2013;1(3):104-111.
- Singh A, Bicudo JR, Tinoco AL, Tinoco IF, Gates RS, Casey KD, Pescatore AJ. Characterization of nutrients in built-up broiler litter using trench and random walk sampling methods. Journal of Applied Poultry Research. 2004;13:426-432.
- Evers GW. Ryegrass-bermuda grass production and nutrient uptake when combining nitrogen fertilizer with broiler litter. Agronomy Journal. 2002;94(4):905-910.
- Hirzel J, Matus I, Novoa F, Walter I. Effect of poultry litter on silage maize (*Zea mays* L.) production and nutrient uptake. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research. 2007;5(1):102-109.
- Roe NE, Stofella PJ, Graetz DA. Compost from various municipal waste feed stocks affects crops growth, yield and fruit quality. Journal the American Society of Horticultural Science. 1997;122:433-437.
- Jilani G, Akram A, Ali RM, Hafeez FY, Shamsi IH, Chaudhry AN, Chaudhry AG. Enhancing crop growth, nutrients availability, economics and beneficial rhizosphere microflora through organic and biofertilizers. Annals of Microbiology. 2007;57(2):177-183.
- 10. Deksissa T, Wyche-Moore GS, Hare WW. Occurrence, fate and transport of <sup>17</sup>betaestradiol and testosterone in the environment. In: Proc. of 2007 AWRA Summer Specialty Conf., Vail, CO, June 25-27; 2007.
- 11. Quansah C, Fening JO, Ampontuah EO, Afreh D, Amin A. Potential of *Chromolaena odorata, Panicum maximum* and *Pueraria phaseoloides* as nutrient sources and organic matter amendments for soil fertility

maintenance in Ghana. Biological Agriculture & Horticulture. 2001;19(2):101-113.

- 12. Dukua MH, Gua S, Hagan EB. Biochar production potential in Ghana - A review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2011;15:3539-3551.
- Ofori-Frimpong K, Afrifa AA, Acquaye S. Relative efficacy of cocoa pod husk-based compost on growth and nutrient uptake of cocoa seedlings in the nursery. Ghana Journal of Agricultural Science. 2010;43: 55-59.
- 14. Ahenkorah Y, Halm BJ, Amonoo RS. Cocoa pod husk as source of potash fertilizer. Turrialba. 1981;31(4):287-292.
- Brammer H. Soils of Ghana. In Agriculture and Land Use of Ghana. (J. B. Wills, Ed.), London, Oxford Univ. Press. 1962;88–126.
- FAO/UNESCO. Soil map of the World Revised Legend. World Resources Report 609. Rome, FAO; 1990.
- McLean EO. Soil pH and lime requirement. In: Page AL, Miller RH, Keeney DR, Editors. Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties. Second Edition. American Society of Agronomy and Soil Science Society of America, Madison, Wisconsin USA; 1982.
- Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining organic carbon in soils: Effect of variations in digestion conditions and of inorganic soil constituents. Soil Sci. 1934;63:251-263.
- Bremner JM, Mulvaney CS. Total nitrogen. In: Page, A. L., Miller, R.H. and Keeney, D.R. (Eds.). Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. Chemical and microbiological properties. American Society of Agronomy and Soil Science Society of America, Madison Wisconsin Inc. 1982;593-624.
- 20. Truog E. The determination of the readily available phosphorus in soils. Journal of American Society of Agronomy. 1930;22: 874-882.
- Thomas GW. Exchangeable cations. In Page AL, Miller RH, Keeney DR, Editors. Methods of soil analysis, Part 2; Chemical and microbiological properties. Madison, WL; Soil Science Society of America, Madison Wisconsin USA; 1982.
- 22. Bouyoucos GJ. Hydrometer method improved for making particle size analysis of soils. Agronomy Journal. 1962;54:464-465.

- 23. Radfords PJ. Growth analysis formulae, their use and abuse. Crop Science. 1967;7:171-175.
- 24. GenStat. GenStat release 11.1. Eleventh Edition. Lawes Agricultural Trust (Rothamsted Experimental Station); 2008. Available:http://www.vsni.co.uk
- 25. Pagel H, Enzmann J, Mutscher H. Plant nutrients in tropical soils - their determination and evaluation. VEB German Agricultural Publishing, Berlin; 1982.
- Ahenkorah Y, Halm B, Appiah MR, Akrofi G. Fertilizer use on cacao rehabilitation projects in Ghana. In: Proceedings of the 8<sup>th</sup> International Cocoa Research Conference, Cartagena, Colombia, 18 23 Oct 1981. Cocoa Producers' Alliance. 1982;165–170.
- Adu-Dapaah HK, Cobbina J, Asare EO. Effect of cocoa pod ash on the growth of maize. Journal of Agricultural Science. 1994;122(01):31-33.
- Onwuka MI, Osedeke VE, Okolo NA. Amelioration of soil acidity using cocoa husk ash for maize production in Umudike area of South East Nigeria. Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems. 2007;7:41-45.
- Perkins HF, Parker MB. Chemical composition of broiler and hen manures. Georgian Agric. Expl Sta. Pres. Bull. 1971;90.
- Yagodin BA. Manure. In Agricultural Chemistry 2. English Translation, Mir. Publ., Moscow; 1984.
- Archer J. Crop nutrition and fertilizer use. Farming Press Ltd. Ipswich Suffolk. 1985;124-130.
- Mitchell CC, Donald JO. The value and use of poultry manures as fertilizer. Circular ANR – 244, Alabama, A & M and Auburn Universities. 1995;3.
- Bustamante MA, Paredes C, Marhuenda-Egea FC, Pérez-Espinosa A, Bernal MP, Moral R. Co-composting of distillery wastes with animal manures: Carbon and nitrogen transformations in the evaluation of compost stability. Chemosphere. 2008;72:551-557.
- Troy SM, Nolan T, Kwapinski W, Leahy JJ, Healy MG. Effect of sawdust addition on composting of separated raw and anaerobically digested pig manure. Journal

of Environmental Management. 2012;111: 70-77.

- Cabrera ML, Kissel DE, Vigil MF. Nitrogen mineralization from organic residues: Research opportunities. Journal of Environmental Quality. 2005;34:75-79.
- Fang JY, Chen AP, Peng CH, Zhao SQ, Ci LJ. Changes in forest biomass carbon storage in China between 1949 and 1998. Science. 2001;292:2320-2322.
- Kulhman LR, Dale B, Groenhof AC, Young TFE. Windrow composting of sewage sludge, garbage and other wastes. Presented at the International Recycling Congress, Geneva, Switzerland, January 19-22; 1993.
- Rosen CJ, Halbach TR, Swanson BT. Horticultural uses of municipal solid waste components. Hort Technology. 1993;3: 167-173.
- Hannapel RJ, Fuller WH, Fox RH. Phosphorus movement in a calcareous soil: II. Soil microbial activity and organic phosphorus movement. Soil Science. 1964;97:421-427.
- Barker AV. Composition and uses of compost. In: J. E. Rechcigl and H. C. MacKinnon, Editors, Agricultural Uses of By-Products and Wastes. American Chemical Society, Washington DC. 1997;40-162.
- Akanbi OSO, Ojeniyi SO, Famaye AO, Ipinmoroti RR, Ibiremo OS, Oloyede AA, Taiwo N, Aderolu IA, Orisasona TM. Utilization of ashed cocoa pod husk and urea fertilizer on growth and dry matter yield of coffee on alfisol in Ibadan. Nigerian Journal of Soil Science. 2013;23:10-16.
- 42. Adejobi KB, Famaye AO, Akanbi OSO, Adeosun SA, Nduka AB, Adeniyi DO. Potentials of cocoa pod husk ash as fertilizer and liming materials on nutrient uptake and growth performance of cocoa. Research Journal of Agriculture and Environment Management. 2013;2(2):243-251.
- Ibiremo OS, Akanbi OSO, Oloyede AA, Adebowale LA. Evaluation of NPK fertilizer formulations on the growth and dry matter yield of coffee seedlings in Ibadan, South-Western, Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Soil Science. 2012;23:22-26.
- 44. Brouwer R. Nutritive influences on the distribution of dry matter in the plant.

Netherlands Journal of Agricultural Science. 1962;10:399-408.

- 45. Barber SA. Soil nutrient bioavailability: A mechanistic approach. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edn. New York: John Wiley. 1994;49-84.
- 46. Smith RW, Acquaye DK. Fertilizer responses on peasant cocoa farms in Ghana. A factorial experiment. Empire Journal of Experimental Agriculture. 1963;31:115-123.

© 2019 Dogbatse et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/52869