European Journal of Medicinal Plants

28(3): 1-10, 2019; Article no.EJMP.45096
ISSN: 2231-0894, NLM ID: 101583475

Preliminary Phytochemical Analysis and *In vitro* Antimicrobial Study of the Root and Stem Bark Extracts of *Ficus sycomorus Linn*

I. Toma[1*], D. Dahiru[2] and M. A. Madusolumou[2]

[1]Department of Biochemistry, Adamawa State University, Mubi, Nigeria.
[2]Department of Biochemistry, Modibbo Adama University of Technology, Yola, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/EJMP/2019/v28i330136
Editor(s):
(1) Ghalem Bachir Raho, PhD in Applied biology, Department of Biology, Sidi Bel Abbes University, Algeria.
(2) Prof. Marcello Iriti, Professor of Plant Biology and Pathology, Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Milan State University, Italy.
Reviewers:
(1) Muhammad Shahzad Aslam, Universiti Malaysia Perlis, Malaysia.
(2) R. Dhivya, Nirmala College for Women, India.
(3) Shibabrata Pattanayak, India.
Complete Peer review History: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/45096

Original Research Article

Received 25 September 2018
Accepted 01 December 2018
Published 27 July 2019

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to carryout preliminary phytochemical analysis and *in vitro* antimicrobial activities of aqueous and ethanolic root and stem bark extracts of *Ficus sycomorus.* Qualitative phytochemical analysis for tannins, saponin, terpenoids, flavonoids, alkaloids, glycosides, steroids, phenols, and reducing sugar was done using standard methods. The antimicrobial activities of the extracts were tested against four micro- organisms; *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus, Shigella dysentrae*, and *Salmonella typhi*. Agar well diffusion method was used for the antimicrobial studies. Phytochemical screening of both root and stem bark aqueous extracts showed the presence of tannin, saponin, terpenoid, flavonoid, alkaloids, glycoside, steroid, reducing sugar, and phenol. Glycoside was not detected in both the aqueous and ethanolic extracts of the root bark. The result of the antimicrobial studies showed that the aqueous root extract have higher antimicrobial activity ranging from (2-12 mm) on the tested microorganisms than aqueous stem bark extract (3-9 mm), while for ethanol extract both stem and root bark extract has almost the same effect or antimicrobial activity on the tested pathogens ranging from (2-15 mm) which is

**Corresponding author: E-mail: dalitoma2014@gmail.com;*

having higher activity compared to the aqueous extracts. The Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and Minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of both the extracts were found to be 50 mg/mL and 100 mg/mL respectively. From this study, it can, therefore, be concluded that the root and stem bark extract is a potential antimicrobial agent which support the claim of the traditional users of this plant in herbal medicine for the treatment of diseases that are of microbial origin.

Keywords: Ficus sycomorus; Escherichia coli; Staphylococcus aureus; Shigella dysentrae; Salmonella typhi; phytochemical screening; antimicrobial activity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Medicinal plants besides therapeutic agents are also a big source of information due to a variety of chemical constituents which could be developed as drugs with precise selectivity. They are reservoirs of potentially useful chemical compounds which could serve as newer leads and clues for modern drug design [1]. Among the most important of these bioactive constituents of plants are alkaloids, tannins, flavonoids and phenolic compounds [2]. Correlation between the phytochemical constituents and the bioactivity of the plant is desirable to know for the synthesis of compounds with specific activities to treat various health ailments and chronic diseases as well [3].

In the developing countries, the use of herbal medicine is drawing the attention of researchers as a result of resistance posed by microbes to synthetic drugs. These synthetic drugs are mostly expensive and with so many adverse side effects. Due to their unlimited therapeutic benefits, the support for the use of medicinal plants by the World Health Organization (WHO) is quite encouraging [4].

Micro-organisms, affect man's life in many ways, from the day of his birth to the day of his death. Micro-organisms are always ready to help us or destroy us and only circumstances decide which it shall be. Therefore, micro-organisms are often classified as useful ones or harmful ones. The latter organisms are the causes of numerous infectious diseases which are great enemies of man and his flocks and crops.

Antimicrobial activity is a property of a wide variety of compounds. This activity may be bactericidals, fungicidals or virucidals which is concerned with the killing of bacteria, fungi or viruses respectively. On the other hand, the activity may be only growth inhibiting i.e. bacteriostatic or fungistatic. However, this classification is not sharp since a bacteriostatic agent may only inhibit the organism if it is used in low concentration, or when the exposure time is limited. Therefore, a substance is usually considered germicidal when its effective concentration range is low and its killing rate is rapid. Only antimicrobial substances with a selective action on the parasite would be suitable. The ideal therapeutic agent would be entirely selective, having no action whatsoever on the hosts tissues.

In recent years, an intensive effort has been made to find new antimicrobial agents. The major part of the reported investigations was concerned with lower plants, with special attention being paid to different species of streptomycetes and some fungi. A total of 428 extracts of plants from 43 families, encompassing 100 species and selected on the basis of literature data and medicinal folkloric reports were evaluated for antimicrobial, antiviral, antiparasitic and pharmacological activities.

In Nigeria like many African countries, several plants are still being used for the treatment of various ailments. Nigeria is naturally blessed with both savannah and tropical rainforests vegetation and these offer a wide distribution of plants believed to possess secondary metabolites which are responsible for treating or curing various diseases [4]. Quite a number of plants are used as medicines virtually in all cultures of the world. A good number of these medicinal plants are in common use in African traditional medicine. Most of the plants grow near houses and are easily overlooked, especially by urban dwellers [5].

This research work was carried out to study the Phytochemical screening and *in vitro* anti-microbial activities of root and stem bark extract of *Ficus sycomorus* on some selected microorganisms. *Ficus sycomorus* is a common savannah tree that grows or can be found almost everywhere. It is called in English Language as "Wild fig" "sycamore fig", or common cluster fig. Spanish call it "sicomoro". The Sukur people call it "Dashakwai", Tiv people called it "Tur'', in Hausa it is known as "Baure", Kilba and Marghi people called it "Kamda", in Fali Language is

called “Boduven” and Gude call it “Bodeva”. It grows in high water table areas, it can be found along water courses such as streams, rocky places, swamps and water holes [6]. The sycamore fig is sensitive to frost but can withstand some cold. The relevance of this plant in traditional medicine is as a result of the secondary metabolites such as glycosides, reducing sugar, phenols, saponins, steroids, tannins, alkaloids, terpenoids and flavonoids which they have been screened to contain. Also referred to as phytochemicals, they are reported to possess inhibitory activities against the growth and disease inducing activities of some pathogenic microorganisms [7,8,9,10,11].

The root and stem-bark of *Ficus sycomorus* are said to be used as herb in Northern Nigeria for treatment of diseases like diarrhea, dysentery, cough, sore throat, chest diseases, and infertility and as an antidote for snake. Therefore, this study was conducted to carry out the phytochemical screening and to evaluate antimicrobial activity of root and stem-bark of *Ficus sycomorus* in order to validate the claims of the traditional users of this plant.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sample Collection and Identification of Plant Material

Plant roots and stem-barks of the plant *Ficus Sycomorus* were collected from Sukur Kingdom in Madagali Local Government Area, Adamawa State, Nigeria. It was identified and authenticated by a Botanist from the Department of Biological Sciences, Adamawa State University, Mubi. A voucher number AD170023 was assigned. Sampling was carried out from March to July, 2016.

2.2 Sample Preparation

The root and Stem-barks (cut into small pieces) washed with water and rinsed with distilled water and then dried in the shade for two weeks. The dried samples was grinded by wooden mortar and pestle and sieve using clean Kitchen sieve to obtain a fine powder and was stored in a tight container until required for use.

2.3 Extraction

2.3.1 Aqueous extract

For the water extraction was done by cold maceration method according to the procedure described by several authors [12,13] with little modification. Two hundred grams (200 g) of each of the stem and root barks powder was weighed and soaked in 1000 mL of distilled water in a beaker for 48 h to obtain aqueous extracts. The aqueous extracts were filtered using sterile filter paper (Whatman No. 1) into a clean conical flask. The filtrate was concentrated with a rotary evaporator. The extracts were then stored in a refrigerator.

Percentage yield was calculated as: weight of extract/ weight of dried powdered sample × 100

2.3.2 Preparation of ethanol extracts

Maceration method of extraction as described by several authors [12,13] was adopted in this study. Two hundred grams (200 g) each of the root and stem bark powdered material was weighed and soaked in 1000 mL of 70% ethanol and left for 24 h. Thereafter, it was decanted. The procedure was repeated with another 1000 mL to ensure complete extraction of the active ingredient. The extract was filtered and evaporated to dryness wit rotary evaporator. The dried extract was then weighed and stored in tightly closed bottle in a refrigerator until required.

Percentage yield was calculated as: weight of extract/ weight of dried powdered sample × 100

2.4 Qualitative Phytochemical Analysis

The qualitative phytochemical screening of the samples was carried out as described by several authors [14,15,16] it slight modification. The root or stem bark extracts was screened for carbohydrates, alkaloids, flavonoids, steroids, phenols and tannins, saponin, glycosides, and proteins.

2.4.1 Preparation of stock solution

Two grams (2 g) each of root or stem bark extracts were dissolved in 10 mL of water or ethanol to make a concentration of 200 mg/mL.

2.4.2 Test for tannins

One milliliter (1 mL) of the extracts was taken in a test tube and 2 mL of 5% ferric chloride was added. Formation of blue –black, green or blue – green precipitate was taken as evidence for the presence of tannins.

2.4.3 Test for saponins

One milliliter (1 mL) of the extracts was shaken with 5 mL of distilled water in a test tube for 5 min. Frothing which persists on warming was taken as evidence for the presence of Saponins.

2.4.4 Test for terpenoids

Five milliliters (5 mL) of aqueous extract of each plant sample was mixed with 2 mL of $CHCl_3$ in a test tube and then 3 mL of concentrated H_2SO_4 was carefully added to the mixture to form a layer. An interface with a reddish brown colouration was considered as indication for the presence of terpenoids.

2.4.5 Test for flavonoids

A little amount of magnesium powder and a few drops of concentrated hydrochloric acid were added to 3 mL of the extracts. A red or intense colouration indicated the presence of flavonoids.

2.4.6 Test for alkaloids

To 2 mL of plant extracts, 2 mL of concentrated hydrochloric acid was added. The mixture was filtered and then 3 drops of Mayer's reagent was added. Presence of green colour or white precipitate indicated the presence of alkaloids.

2.4.7 Test for glycosides

Two milliliter (2 mL) of the extracts was hydrolysed with HCl solution and neutralised with NaOH solution. A few drops of Fehling's solution A and B were added. Presence of red precipitate indicates the presence of glycosides.

2.4.8 Test for steroids (Salkowski's test)

To 1 mL of plant extract, equal volume of chloroform and 3 drops of concentrated sulphuric acid was carefully added to form a lower layer. Formation of brown ring indicates the presence of steroids.

2.4.9 Test for phenols

Five drops of 10% ferric chloride was added to 1 mL of the extracts in a test tube. Formation of green or dirty green precipitate indicated the presence of phenols.

2.4.10 Test for reducing sugar

To 2 mL of plant extract, 1 mL of Molisch reagent and 4 drops of concentrated sulphuric acid was added. Formation of purple or reddish ring indicates the presence of carbohydrates.

2.5 Antimicrobial Analysis

Escherichia coli, Shigella dysentrae, Salmonella typhi and Staphylococcus aureus were used in this study. The microorganisms were obtained at the Microbiology Laboratory of Modibbo Adama University of Technology, (MAUTECH) Yola, Nigeria.

2.5.1 Standardisation of isolates

Test organisms were sub-cultured onto fresh plates of MacConkey agar and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 h. Colonies from these plates were suspended in Mueller- Hinton broth to a turbidity matching 0.5 McFarland standard (108cfu/ml). Mueller-Hinton agar was then used for antimicrobial assay. All the broth cultures were incubated at 37°C.

2.5.2 Preparation of the extract for antimicrobial study

Two grams (2 g) each of aqueous and ethanol root or stem bark extracts were separately dissolved in 10 mL of dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) to obtain a concentration of 200 mg/mL.

This was the initial concentration of each of the extracts used.

2.5.3 Antimicrobial test

The method described by the National committee for Clinical Laboratory Standard [17] was used.

Suspensions of the bacteria obtained contained approximately 1 x 108cfu/mL. Each labeled plate was uniformly seeded with a test organism by means of sterile swab stick rolled in the culture medium. Five wells, 4mm each in diameter were created using cork borer. Aliquots were dropped in each well to fullness at various concentrations of 100, 50, 25 and 12.5 mg/mL for both the root and stem bark extracts on different plates. Each plate was kept in the refrigerator for 1 hour to allow the extracts to diffuse into the culture medium while the immediate growth of the organism was stopped from taking place. These plates were then incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The zones of inhibition around the wells were measured in millimeter (mm). Control antibiotic (tetracycline capsule 100 µg/mL) was placed in a well on each plate along with the test extracts as control.

2.5.4 Determination of the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

The minimum inhibitory concentration of the extract was evaluated by the method described by [18].

The extract concentration were serially diluted with distilled water to various concentrations of 100, 50, 25 and 12.5 mg/mL. The extract and the nutrient agar broth were mixed in the sterile test tube; the cultured medium was added to each test tube and incubated for 24hrs at 37°C .The lowest zones of inhibition for all the tested organisms showing no visible growth of bacteria was taken as the MIC.

2.5.5 Minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC)

The minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) was determined after the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was obtained. This was carried out by selecting the test tube that shows no growth during the MIC determination. A loopful from the test tube containing the media and the extract were inoculated into a sterile nutrient broth media. This was further incubated for another 24-48 hrs at 37°C for bacteria, after which was examined for bacteria for any microbial growth. The lowest concentration at which no growth was observed on the plate was taken as the MBC [18].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study was undertaken to investigate the antimicrobial activity and phytochemical screening the aqueous and ethanolic root and stem bark extracts of *Ficus sycomorus* Linn. Due to the side effects of the current drugs and the resistance that pathogenic microorganisms build against antibiotics, much attention has led to the study of biologically active compounds isolated from plant species used in herbal medicine [19]. Different scientific studies provided evidence that medicinal plants might indeed be potential sources of new antibacterial agents even against some antibiotic-resistant strains [20].

The yield of the plant extracts is presented in Table 2. It was observed that Ethanol stem bark extract (ESB) gave the highest yield 16.00g (8.0%) followed by Ethanol root bark extract (ERB) 14.14 g (7.07%) then Aqueous root bark extract (ARB) 12.23 g (6.12%) and the lowest is Aqueous stem bark extract (ASB) 11.16 g (5.58%). From the result it is generally observed that the solvent, ethanol gave higher yield irrespective of the plant part than the aqueous solvent.

The result of this study shows the presence of phytochemicals considered as active medicinal chemical constituents as shown in Table 2. Phytochemicals such as tannins, saponin, terpenoids, flavonoids, alkaloids, glycosides, steroids, phenols and reducing sugars were all found to be present in both the ethanol extracts of roots and stem bark of *Ficus sycomorus.* However, glycosides was the only constituent not detected in Aqueous extracts of the root and stem bark. The result is contrary to the findings of [21] who reported the presence glycoside in the methanolic stem bark extract of *Ficus sycomorus* obtained from Zaria city of Kaduna State, Nigeria. The absence of some of these constituents that have been reported in the previous studies and are reported to be present in this study may be due to geographical location which has been reported to affect the chemical constituents of plant extracts of the same genus found in different environments and also differences is polarity of the solvents used for extraction. This could therefore be the reason why glycoside was not detected in the aqueous root and stem bark extract of *Ficus sycomorus* in this present work. Similar report has also been documented [22], where they reported that phytochemical screening of methanolic stem bark extract showed the presence of tannins, saponins, terpenoids, flavonoids, phenols, steroids, except glycosides and proteins.

The various phytochemical compounds detected are known to have beneficial importance in industrial and medicinal sciences. These secondary metabolites exert antimicrobial activity through different mechanisms. Plant phenolic compounds especially flavonoids are currently of growing interest owning to their supposed properties in promoting health (anti-oxidants) [23]. Flavonoids have been demonstrated to have antiinflammatory, antiallergenic, anti-viral, anti-aging, and anti-carcinogenic activity. The broad therapeutic effects of flavonoids can be largely attributed to their antioxidant properties. In addition to an antioxidant effect, flavonoid compounds may exert protection against heart disease through the inhibition of cyclooxygenase and lipoxygenase activities in platelets and macrophages [24]. Tannins are reported to possess physiological astringent and haemostatic properties, which hasten wound healing and ameliorate inflamed mucus

membrane and also inhibit the growth of microorganisms by precipitating microbial proteins and making nutritional proteins unavailable for them; they form irreversible complexes with proline rich proteins, resulting in the inhibition of the cell protein synthesis. They have important roles such as stable and potent antioxidants [24,25]. They act as binders and for treatment of diarrhea and dysentery [26] Tannins also reported to exhibit antiviral, antibacterial, anti-tumor activities. It was also reported that certain tannins are able to inhibit HIV replication selectivity and is also used as diuretic [24].

Table 1. Percentage yield of the root and stem extracts

Extract	Initial weight	Yield (g)	%
ERB	200.00 g	14.14 g	7.07
ESB	200.00 g	16.00 g	8.00
ARB	200.00 g	12.23 g	6.12
ASB	200.00 g	11.16 g	5.58

Key: ERB-Ethanol root extract, ESB-Ethanol stem bark extract, ARB-Aqueous root extract, ASB-Aqueous stem bark extract

Table 2. Qualitative phytochemical analysis of the root and stem bark extract of *Ficus sycomorus*

Test	Aqueous extract		Ethanol extract	
	Root	Stem bark	Root	Stem bark
Tannins	+	+	+	+
Saponin	+	+	+	+
Terpenoid	+	+	+	+
Flavonoids	+	+	+	+
Alkaloids	+	+	+	+
Glycosides	-	-	+	+
Steroids	+	+	+	+
Phenols	+	+	+	+
Reducing sugar	+	+	+	+

+ = Present; - = Absent

The results of the zones of inhibition of the different extracts (ARB, ASB, ESB and ERB) against the tested pathogens are exhibited in Tables 3 – 6. It showed that the extracts have dose dependent antimicrobial activities against the pathogens at various concentrations used in this study. It was noticed that the extract was more effective at concentration of 100 mg/mL, but the effectiveness increases as the concentration increases. The highest activity was shown by the ESB and ERB at 100 mg/mL (15mm) against *E. coli.* Although most of the extracts at the various concentrations used showed activity against the pathogens, it was observed on the general that the extracts are more effective at 100 mg/mL on *E. coli,* which showed similar activity with the standard drug (Tetracycline at 100 µg/mL) used. At lower concentrations, the extracts seem to show more activity against shigella dysenteriae as seen in Tables 3 - 6.

From Table 3, it is revealed that the zones of inhibitions of the extract (ARB) against the tested pathogens showed that the extract has antimicrobial activities against the pathogens at various concentrations respectively. It was noticed that the extract was very effective at a concentration of 100 mg/mL, the effectiveness increases as the concentration increases. The control was more effective on *E. coli* with zone of inhibition up to 20 mm. Table 4 shows the zones of inhibitions of the aqueous stem bark extract (ASB) on the microorganisms. The result shows that the extract was effective at different concentrations with various zones of inhibitions as the concentration increases. However, *E. coli* was resistant against the extract at higher concentration of 100 mg/mL and 50 mg/mL but effective at lower concentration 25 mg/mL and also the control which has the highest zone of inhibition (11mm) on *E. coli*. From Table 5, the ethanol stem bark extract (ESB) also showed considerable antimicrobial activities on the tested clinical isolates at various concentrations used. The result shows that at a higher concentration the extract was active against the clinical isolates or pathogens but more effective on *Shigella* at lower concentration (25 mg/mL) with zone of inhibition 10 mm, also the control was more effective with the highest zone of inhibition 16 mm. This extract show more activity against *E. coli* than the control drug at 100 mg/mL with 15 mm zone of inhibition. From Table 6 the results of ethanol root extract (ERB) against the pathogens also shows that the antimicrobial potential of the extract increases considerably as the concentration increases.

The result of the antimicrobial activity of root and stem bark extracts in this study is similar to that of [27,28,29,30] who asserted that many plants have been reported for therapeutic purposes because of the chemical compounds synthesised in these plants. The antibacterial activities of the ethanolic extracts of the leaves and stem bark of *F. sycomorus* have been previously reported [28]. The present study suggests that *F. sycomorus* may serve as a potential source of antibacterial and/or antimicrobial agents of plants

origin. Hence, the observed antimicrobial activity of the root and stem bark extracts against the test organisms in this study may be due to the presence of phytochemical components. The findings demonstrated that the stem and root bark extract were sensitive to all the tested organisms and thus showed that the extract contained potential antimicrobial agents such as tannin, saponin, alkaloid, glycosides as secondary metabolite responsible for curing various sicknesses. The presence of tannin in all the extract could be probably responsible for the observed antimicrobial activity. The claim of literature that *F. sycomorus* has antimicrobial activity is hereby verified. The anti-microbial activity of the extracts, both the ethanol and aqueous of root and stem have shown a reasonable zone of inhibition to the concentration from 12.5 – 100 mg/mL and the control drug (Tetracycline) at 100 µg/mL concentration. However, the ASB extracts of *F. sycomorus* was observed to be less potent against the tested clinical isolate respectively.

The Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Bactericidal Concentartion (MBC) of the extracts are shown in Tables 7 and 8. The result has shown that the MIC for all extracts of root and stem bark was 50 mg/mL. At this concentration, the extract was able to inhibit the growth of microorganisms. The result also revealed that the MBC was at 100 mg/mL these means that at this concentration the extract was able to kill the bacteria completely. This result is similar to the work of [27] who reported that the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) of the aqueous and ethanolic root and stem bark extracts of *Ficus sycomorus* extracts ranged from 3.125 mg/mL to 100 mg/mL. [21]. also reorted that the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of methanol root bark extract of *F. sycomorus* was observed within the range of 2.5 – 5.0 mg/ml against *E. faecalis*, *E. coli, S. typhi*, *S. dysenteriae* and *C. albicans*. This result therefore suggests that the extracts are more of bacteriostatic.

Table 3. Zone of inhibition in (mm) aqueous root bark extract (ARB) against opportunistic pathogens

S/No.	Name of organism	Concentration mg/mL				
		100	50	25	12.5	Tetracyline (Control)
	S. aureus	7	6	5	2	13
	Escherichia coli	10	8	7	4	20
	Salmonella spp	12	7	5	R	13
	Shigella spp	10	9	7	4	13

Key: Resistant – R; Aqueous Root bark Extract - ARB

Table 4. Zone of inhibition in (mm) of aqueous stem bark extract (ASB) against opportunistic pathogen

S/No.	Name of organism	Concentration mg/mL				
		100	50	25	12.5	Tetracycline (Control)
	S. aureus	9	6	4	3	7
	Escherichia coli	R	R	10	4	11
	Salmonella spp	7	5	4	3	8
	Shigella spp	9	6	5	4	10

Key: Resistant – R; Aqueous stem bark extract - ASB

Table 5. Zone of inhibition in (mm) of ethanol stem bark extract (ESB) against opportunistic pathogens

S/No.	Name of organism	Concentration mg/mL				
		100	50	25	12.5	Tetracycline (Control)
	S. aureus	6	5	4	2	10
	Escherichia coli	15	9	3	2	12
	Salmonella spp	10	6	5	3	11
	Shigella spp	5	4	10	5	16

Key: Ethanol stems bark extract - ESB

Table 6. Zone of inhibition (mm) of ethanol root bark extract (ERB) against opportunistic pathogens

S/No.	Name of organism	Concentration mg/Ml				
		100	50	25	12.5	Tetracycline (Control)
	S. aureus	6	5	4	2	10
	Escherichia coli	15	9	3	2	12
	Salmonella spp	10	5	6	3	11
	Shigella spp	10	5	5	4	16

Key: Ethanolic root bark extract - ERB

Table 7. The result of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of both aqueous and ethanol extracts of root and stem bark of *Ficus sycomorus*

Microorganism	MIC (mg/mL)			
	100	50	25	12.5
Staphylococcus aureus	-	-	+	+
Escherichia coli	-	-	+	+
Salmonella spp	-	-	+	+
Shigella spp	-	-	+	+

+ = Growth; - = No growth

Table 8. The result of minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of both aqueous and ethanol extracts of root and stem bark of *Ficus sycomorus*

Microorganism	MBC (mg/mL)			
	100	50	25	12.5
Staphylococcus aureus	-	+	+	+
Escherichia coli	-	+	+	+
Salmonella spp	-	+	+	+
Shigella spp	-	+	+	+

+ = Growth; - = No growth

4. CONCLUSION

Phytochemicals such as tannins, saponin, terpenoids, flavonoids, alkaloids, glycosides, steroids, phenols and reducing sugars were all found to be present in both the aqueous extracts of roots and stem bark of *Ficus sycomorus.*

From the studies of the antimicrobial activities, the research revealed that, for aqueous stem and root bark, ARB had more antimicrobial potentials against the selected pathogens than the ASB, but for ethanol stem and root bark both have almost the same inhibitory activities on the tested pathogens.

From the research, it was noticed that both the root and stem bark may serve as potential antimicrobial agents. This validates the claim of the traditional users who used it to treat diseases of microbial origin. Therefore, it can be used for therapeutic purposes.

CONSENT

It is not applicable.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to Laboratory Technologists, in person of Mr. Umar, Mallam Sani, Esther Dauda, all from Microbiology Department, Modibbo Adama University of Technology Yola, for their contributions for the success of the research.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Vijyalakshmi R, Ravindran R. Preliminary comparative phytochemical Screening of root extracts of *Diospyros ferrea* (Wild.) Bakh and Arvalanata (L.) Juss. Ex Schultes. Asian Journal of Plant Science Research. 2012;2:581-587.
2. Doss A. Preliminary phytochemical screening of some Indian medicinal plants. Anc Sci Life. 2009;29:12-1.
3. Pandey P, Mehta R, Upadhyay R. Physico-chemical and preliminary phytochemical screening of *Psoralea corylifolia*. Journal of Arch Applied Science Research. 2013;5: 261-265.
4. World Health Origination (WHO). The world health report. Bridging the gap. WHO general I. 1995;118.
5. Rabiu MK, Safiyya A, Sani AK, Gambo C. Phytochemical compositions in some

Nigerian medicinal plants and their pharmacological properties: A review. Journal of Anesthesiology. 2018;6(1):15-25.

6. Sofowora A. Medicinal plants and traditional medicine in Africa, 3rd Edition, Spectrum Books Ltd., Ibadan, Nigeria. 2008;23-25.
7. Orwa C, Mutua A, Kindt R, Jamnadass R, Simons A. Agroforestree Database:a tree reference and selection guide version 4.0 [Online]; 2009. Available:http://wwwWorldagroforestry.org/treedb2/speciesprofile.php?Spid=620# [Accessed 19 August, 2017]
8. Hassan SW, Lawal M, Muhammad BY, Umar RA. Antifungal activity and phytochemical analysis of Column Chromatographic fractions of stem bark extracts of *Ficus syncomorus* L (Muraceae). Journal of Plant Sciences. 2007;2(2):209-215.
9. Oyeleke SB, Dauda BEN, Boye OA. Antibacterial activity of *Ficus capensis*. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 2008;7:1414-1417.
10. Sandabe UK, Onyeyili PA, Chibuzo GA. Phytochemical screening and effect of aqueous extract of *Ficus sycomorus* L (moraceae) stem bark on muscularActivity in laboratory animals. Journal of Ethnopharmacology. 2006;104:203-285.
11. Solomon-Wisdom GO, Shittu GA, Agboola YA. Antimicrobial and phytochemical screening activities of *Ficus sur* (Forssk). New York Science Journal. 2011;4(1):15-18.
12. Udobi CE, Onaolapo JA, Agunu A. Antibacterial activities and bioactive Components of the aqueous fraction of the stem bark of *Parkia bigblobosa* (JACQ) (Mimosaceae). Nigerian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2008;7(1):49-55.
13. Fatope MO, Ibrahim H, Takada Y. Screening for higher plants reported as Pesticides using the brine shrimp lethally Assay. International Journal of Pharmacology. 1993;11(6):250-254.
14. Nguta JM, Mbaria JM, Gakuya DW, Gathumbi PK, Kabasa JD, Kiama SG. Biological screening of Kenya Medicial plants using *Artemia salina* L. (Artemiidae). Pharmacology Online. 2011;2:458-478.
15. Trease GE, Evans WC. Pharmacognosy. 15th Ed. Saunders Publishers, London. 2002;42-44,221-229,246-249,304-306, 331-332,391-393.
16. Nweze ET, Okafor JI, Njoku O. Antimicrobial activities of methanolic extract of *Trumeguineesis* (Schumm and Thorn) and *Morinda lucinda* Benth used in Nigerian herb medicinal practice. Journal of Biological Research and Biotechnology. 2004;2(1):34-46.
17. Senthilkumar PK, Reetha D. Screening of antimicrobial properties of certain Indian medicinal plants. J. Phytol. 2009;1(3):193-198.
18. National Committee for Clinical Standards. Reference method for both dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of yeast Approved Standard M27-APA: National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards Wayne; 2000.
19. Ibekwe VI, Nnanyere NF, Akujobi CO. Studies of antibacterial activity and phytochemical qualities of extracts of orange peels. International Journal of Environment and Human Health. 2001;2(1):41-46.
20. Essawi T, Srour M. Screening of some Palestinian medicinal plants for antibacterial activity. Journal of Ethnopharmacology. 2000;70:343-349.
21. Kone WM, Atindehou KK, Terreaux C, Hostettmann K, Traore D, Dosso M. Traditional medicine in North Cote-d'Ivoire: Screening of 50 medicinal plants for antibacterial activity. Journal of Ethnopharmacology. 2004;93:43-49.
22. Abubakar US, Danmalam UH, Musa KY, Banni Z, Yahaya I, Abba A, Sani A. Phytochemical and antimicrobial screening of methanol root bark extract of *Ficus sycomorus* linn. (moraceae). Nigerian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2015; 14(2):1-6.
23. Dahiru D, Thagriki D. *In vitro* biochemical assessments of methanol stem bark extracts of *Ficus sycomorus* plant. Jordan J Biol Sci. 2016;9(1):63–8.
24. Rauha JP, Remes S, Herinonen W, Hopia M, Kgjala T, Pitinlaja K. Antimicrobial effects of finished plant extract containing flavanoids and other phenolic compounds. Int. J Food Microbiol. 2000;56:3-12.
25. Fateh AL, Rahman F, Magbool, Elamin IE, Shayoub ME, Salah EOH. Phytochemical and antimicrobial screening of stem bark and leaves extracts from *Ficus sycomorus*. World Journal of Pharmaceutical and Medical Research. 2017;3(11):234-239.
26. Ogunleye DS, Ibitoye SF. Studies of antimicrobial activity and chemical

constituents of *Ximenia Americana*. Trop. J Pharm Res. 2003;2:239-241.
27. Dharmananda S. Gallnuts and the uses of tannins in Chinese medicine. A paper Delivered at the Institute for Traditional Medicine, Portland, Oregon; 2003.
28. Abdullahi M. Susceptibility profiles of some bacteria isolated from stool of diarrhoeal patients to the stem and root barks extracts of *Ficus sycomorus* Linn (Moracae). M.Sc. Thesis Submitted to the School of Postgraduate Studies (unpublished), Ahmadu Bello, University, Zaria; 2014
29. Adeshina GO, Okeke CLE, Osuagwu NO, Ehinmidu JO. Preliminary *in vitro* antibacterial activities of ethanolic extracts of *Ficus sycomorus* Linn and *Ficu platyphylla* (Moraceae). African Journal of Microbiology Research. 2010;4(8):598-601.
30. Bello OM, Ojediran OJ, Dada OA, Olatunya AM, Awakan OJ. *In vivo* toxicity studies and phytochemical screening of stem bark of *Ficus sycomorus* Linn (Moraceae). Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology. 2015;9(3):72-74.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/45096