



Characterization of Litchi Genotypes Based on Flowering and Fruit Characters

**Vinod Kumar^{a++*}, Sant Ram Verma^{b#},
Satendra Kumar Singh^{c#}, Ankita Tiwari^{d++}
and Gaurav Singh Vishen^{e++}**

^a Department of Horticulture, National P.G. College, Barhalganj, D.D.U. Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur (U.P.), India.

^b Department of Horticulture, National P.G. College, Barhalganj, Gorakhpur (U.P.), India.

^c Department of Horticulture, B.R.D.P.G. College, Deoria (U.P.), India.

^d Department of Horticulture, College of Horticulture, CSAUA&T Kanpur (U.P.), India.

^e Department of Horticulture, SHUATS, Nani, Prayaraj (U.P.), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i102448>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/124021>

Original Research Article

Received: 21/07/2024

Accepted: 23/09/2024

Published: 24/09/2024

ABSTRACT

Twenty genotypes of litchi were selected from three district of Uttar Pradesh viz., Khushinagar, Gorakhpur and Deoria, and assessed based on traits related to fruit and flowering during 2023 and 2024 with following three replications. The flower disc colour was light yellow for all genotypes. Shahi took the longest (26 days) to flower, while GG-2 took the lowest (20.75 days). Panicle length ranged from a minimum of 38.95 cm in genotype DP-2 to a maximum of 48.20 cm in genotype KD-

⁺⁺ Research Scholar;

[#] Associate Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: vknrcl@gmail.com;

Cite as: Kumar, Vinod, Sant Ram Verma, Satendra Kumar Singh, Ankita Tiwari, and Gaurav Singh Vishen. 2024.

"Characterization of Litchi Genotypes Based on Flowering and Fruit Characters". *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports* 30 (10):219-28. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i102448>.

2. Panicles with maximum width of 30.34 cm and a minimum width of 19.98 cm were produced by the genotype KS-1 and GC-2, respectively. Eleven genotypes showed red colour, whereas nine genotypes showed the colour of crimson fruit. The genotype DP-2 (11.84) had the fewest fruits per cluster, whereas genotype DB-2 (14.03) had the most fruits per cluster. Fruit lengths varied, with GC-1 having the longest at 38.44 mm and DP-2 having the shortest at 34.26 mm. With a fruit diameter of 33.13 mm, the genotype GC-1 displayed the highest size, while DP-2 displayed the smallest (30.11 mm). Fruit weight varied with DB-2 having the highest weight (23.38 g) and DP-2 having the lowest (20.52 g). Genotype DP-2 had the lowest aril weight (13.43 g) and GP-2 had the greatest aril weight (15.28 g). Total soluble solids (TSS) varied by genotype; genotype KT-1 displayed the highest TSS (19.99 °Brix) and genotype DT-2 the lowest (18.40 °Brix). Based on a quick review of the data, China (0.51 %) had the highest titratable acidity, and KS-1 (0.34 %) had the lowest. The longest seeds (23.66 mm) were found in fruit of genotype GP-2, whilst the shortest seeds (21.17 mm) were found in genotype DP-2. Analogously, genotype DT-1 exhibited the smallest seed breadth, measuring 11.94 mm, whereas genotype DB-2 demonstrated the biggest seed breadth, measuring 13.88 mm. Genotypes GC-1 had the lowest seed weights (3.24 and 3240 g), while DB-2 and DP-1 had the highest seed and test weights (4.15 and 4150 g). The genotype DB-2 produced big fruit with more number of fruit per cluster. This genotype can be promoted for further evaluation.

Keywords: *Litchi*; flower disc colour; panicle length; fruit weight; seed length.

1. INTRODUCTION

Litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.), a prominent member of the Sapindaceae family, is an evergreen subtropical fruit tree with notable mycorrhizal relationships [1,2,3,4]. The fruit crop litchi is an evergreen, subtropical crop with important nutritional and medicinal qualities. It is a great source of phenolics [5] and vitamin C [6,7]. It has extremely specific climate needs [8]. Fruit cracking and sunburn are two conditions that some genotypes are prone to [9,10,11,12]. Selection or hybridization are necessary to broaden the limited genetic base [13,14]. The shapes of the leaves and trees differ greatly amongst the litchi cultivars. Because cultivars range in terms of agroclimatic conditions, growth habits, fruit color, form, and size, there has been a significant lot of uncertainty around their nomenclature. As a result, a cultivar may go by multiple names in various circumstances. In India, litchi has little genetic variation because it was introduced as a crop. It is possible that new cultivars will develop in the future. To differentiate between cultivars, different attributes are used. Flower disc color, panicle color, fruit quality, and seed characteristics vary. Identification of Litchi based on morphological traits is a valid and straightforward method of differentiation. Using morphological characteristics including leaves, fruits, and flowers, litchi genotypes can be identified [15]. In India, there are comparatively few exotic varieties available that are grown vegetatively. The current experiment was initiated to

investigate genetic variety in several blooming and fruit morphological qualities of different genotypes of litchi collected from different locales. It was expected to provide a simple and intuitive method of distinguishing litchi cultivars based on morphological traits, providing a theoretical basis for early identification as well as information for generating cultivars and optimal genotype maintenance.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

For the current study, twenty genotypes of litchi, ages ranging from 10 to 20 years, were chosen because of their consistent size and vigor. Cultural methods were applied consistently to these plants. When recording the observations, consideration was given to all three trees for each genotype. The experiment was conducted using a Randomized Block Design approach with three replication. The observations were conducted in Khushinagar, Gorakhpur, and Deoria districts of Uttar Pradesh for two consecutive years (2021 and 2022) using the litchi descriptor, IPGRI, Rome. The fruit and aril weight, the length and width of the panicle, the acidity, the TSS, and the length and width of the seed were all measured using standard methods. Fruit cracking and the color of the mature fruit were observed by visually examining the floral disc. For the study, ten panicles from each genotype were chosen at random. The mean trait values for both years were combined and subjected to an analysis of variance (ANOVA) after a randomized block design and

homogeneity test to see if genotypes differed significantly from each other [16].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Flowering Characters

The flower disc color in the current study was light yellow for all genotypes (Table 1). Litchi variations are identified exclusively by the color of the flower disc, which is a hereditary trait. Lal [6] also observed variations in the floral disc's color. They observed that the flowers of Gola were pale yellow, whereas the blooms of Bombay, Calcuttia, and Bedana were yellowish. Similar to this, Kumari [17] showed that the colors of flowers varied from greenish white to pale yellow and cream. The genotypes of litchi that were analyzed revealed variable flowering durations (Table 2) which varied from 20.75 to 26.25 days. Shahi took the longest (26.25 days) to flower, while GG-2 took the lowest (20.95 days). The findings showed that whereas cultivars flowering later in the season require less time to fully flower, those flowering early in the season require more time. This variation is brought about by the later season's higher temperatures. The genetic composition of the different genotypes of litchi as well as environmental elements like wind, high temperatures, and low humidity that promote higher transpiration may be responsible for the variations in flowering dates between them. According to Lal et al [18], litchi blooms last for 15 to 24 days. Variations in floral attributes could be due to cultivar genetic behavior because floral traits are less affected by outside influences. Therefore, variations in flowering to maturity may be caused by the genetic makeup of the cultivars as well as local environmental conditions. Kumari [19] reported that flowering times ranged from 9.33 to 19 days for various genotypes of litchi.

It has been discovered that the length of the inflorescence serves as a trustworthy signal for classifying specific types of litchi. Panicle length varied greatly across the 20 genotypes of litchi that were evaluated (Table 2); it ranged from a minimum of 38.95 cm in genotype DP-2 to a maximum of 48.20 cm in genotype KD-2. Genotype KD-2 showed a significant advantage over all other genotypes studied. These differences result from the genetic makeup of the cultivars. Lal et al. [18] reported that the panicle length varied between 16.20 and 47.50 cm. However, the environment at the time of

emergence, shoot maturity, and panicle emergence all have an impact on panicle length. The physiological mature shoot and the early onset of panicle are trustworthy markers of significant panicle. Lal et al. [20] report that the largest inflorescences were produced early in the season at lower temperatures. Conversely, the panicle that developed later had a little inflorescence. According to Chen et al. [21], litchi has specific temperature requirements for anthesis, inflorescence growth, and induction. Panicles with a maximum width of 30.34 cm and a minimum width of 19.98 cm and were produced by the genotype KS-1 and GC-2, respectively. Trees with longer panicles have a broader inflorescence. The breadth of the panicle ranged widely, from 9.15 to 33.50 cm [18]. A wider panicle is formed at medium intensity of panicle production because food material is diverted from the leaf to increase the length and width of the panicle. As a result, there is much less rivalry among the emerging panicle. The variation in panicle length and width is explained by the genetic composition of the litchi genotypes and, in particular, by the physiological condition of the shoot on which the panicle is developed. Similar results were also observed in litchi variations by Khurshid et al. [15] and Dabral and Misra [22].

3.2 Fruit Characters

Nine genotypes were not more likely to break than the 11 genotypes that were, based on the fruit cracking data (Table 1). One of the main problems with litchi is that low soil moisture, low humidity, and high temperatures can cause the skin of the developing fruit to split. When the fruit grows rapidly following irrigation or rainfall, its internal pressure rises and the hard skin that forms from inadequate moisture during the early stages of fruit development may burst. Genotypes immune from cracking showed thicker peels and spongy layers together with a compact of tubercles on the skin, in contrast to genotypes susceptible to cracking, which had thin peels, spongy layers, and sparsely dispersed tubercles on the skin. Variations in fruit cracking have also been observed by other researchers [23,24,25]. Fruit quality is decreased by fruit cracking, a serious physiological issue [26,27]. Lower cuticle and spongy layer thickness combined with higher fruit surface temperature may be the cause of burning and cracking in fruit [10,11]. Two important traits of litchi to consider in breeding projects to produce clones or variations resistant to sunlight and fruit

Table 1. Characterization of genotypes of litchi based on qualitative traits

Genotypes	Flower disc colour	Fruit cracking	Mature fruit colour
Shahi	Light yellow	Highly prone	Red
KD-1	Light yellow	Highly prone	Red
KD-2	Light yellow	Highly prone	Red
KS-1	Light yellow	Highly prone	Red
KS-2	Light yellow	Highly prone	Red
KT-1	Light yellow	Highly prone	Red
KT-2	Light yellow	Highly prone	Red
China	Light yellow	Not prone	Crimson
GC-1	Light yellow	Not prone	Crimson
GC-2	Light yellow	Not prone	Crimson
GP-1	Light yellow	Not prone	Crimson
GP-2	Light yellow	Not prone	Crimson
GG-1	Light yellow	Not prone	Crimson
GG-2	Light yellow	Not prone	Crimson
DB-1	Light yellow	Highly prone	Red
DB-2	Light yellow	Highly prone	Red
DP-1	Light yellow	Highly prone	Red
DP-2	Light yellow	Prone to cracking	Red
DT-1	Light yellow	Not prone	Crimson
DT-2	Light yellow	Not prone	Crimson

Table 2. Characterization of genotypes of litchi based on flowering and fruit traits

Genotypes	Duration of flowering (Days)	Length of panicle (cm)	Width of panicle (cm)	Number of fruit per cluster	Fruit length (mm)	Fruit diameter (mm)
Shahi	26.25	48.15	29.00	13.45	36.36	31.46
KD-1	25.00	47.05	27.05	13.91	35.49	30.64
KD-2	22.75	48.20	29.60	13.47	35.70	30.94
KS-1	22.00	42.69	28.85	13.49	36.42	30.84
KS-2	24.75	44.55	30.34	13.47	35.46	31.42
KT-1	24.25	45.745	28.05	13.64	35.79	31.10
KT-2	23.25	46.06	29.03	13.50	37.49	30.95
China	22.25	42.00	20.13	12.96	37.48	32.34
GC-1	21.25	40.85	22.43	13.79	38.44	33.13
GC-2	21.75	41.03	19.98	11.85	38.2	32.66
GP-1	22.25	41.93	22.38	12.29	36.84	32.91
GP-2	23.25	42.83	23.54	12.54	38.40	32.66
GG-1	21.25	43.70	21.40	13.19	38.43	32.66
GG-2	20.75	41.88	20.46	12.80	37.51	31.08
DB-1	25.25	45.927	27.41	13.89	36.00	30.49
DB-2	24.25	47.95	26.47	14.03	35.26	30.69
DP-1	23.75	45.75	30.23	13.61	36.18	30.97
DP-2	22.25	38.95	22.59	11.84	34.26	30.11
DT-1	22.00	41.70	21.53	12.62	36.80	32.14
DT-2	23.25	42.70	22.43	12.85	36.62	32.55
SEm ±	0.270	0.589	0.369	0.174	0.546	0.506
CD at 5%	0.776	1.692	1.061	0.500	1.570	1.454

cracking are their heavy bunches and the proximity of the fruit to the leaf [27]. It has been demonstrated that warmer temperatures along with less rainfall can cause litchi fruit to crack [9,12,28]. Early cultivars are particularly susceptible to this problem.

There was a noticeable difference in the fruit's color at maturity between genotypes (Table 1). Two distinct fruit color variants were identified within the genotypes that were being studied. Eleven genotypes showed red color, whereas nine genotypes showed the color of crimson fruit. According to Pereira [29], the cultivars Early Large Red, Mclean, Piazi, and Seedless matured with pink coloring, but Kasba and Purbi produced scarlet-colored fruits. Both Chandola and Mishra [30] and Chavaradar [31] reported differences in the color of the fruit in litchi. The pericarp is dark red or crimson in color because it has a high anthocyanin concentration per unit area. The genotype with the most fruits per cluster was DB-2 (14.03), whereas the genotype with the fewest fruits per cluster was DP-2 (11.84) (Table 2). Lal et al. [18] found one to 13.51 fruits per cluster in litchi. According to Pereira [29], cultivar Bedana (2.62) had the lowest fruit retention, whereas McLean (5.49), Piazi (5.48), Early Large Red (5.33), and Rose Scented (532) had the largest number of fruits per panicle at harvest. The difference in the amount of fruits that different types keep at harvest maturity may be an indicator of their differential capacity to handle crop load. Furthermore, Chavaradar [31] found that each cluster or blossom contains six to eighteen fruits. The maximum number of fruits/cluster (18) was found in Colls. 2 and 13, followed by Colls. 9 (17) and 10 (16).

Fruit lengths significantly varied (Table 2), with GC-1 having the longest at 38.44 mm and DP-2 having the shortest at 34.26 mm. The length of litchi fruit varied from 27.95 to 42.45 mm [18]. It has been discovered that the variance in fruit size is caused by both cultivar differences and environmental influences. The longest fruit of the genotype GC-1 is caused by the longest seed, which in turn increases the fruit's length and diameter. Fourteen days after anthesis, the pericarp starts to divide its cells; different parts of the pericarp stop dividing at different times. With a fruit diameter of 33.13 mm, the genotype GC-1 displayed the highest size, while DP-2 displayed the smallest (30.11 mm) (Table 2). According to Lal et al. [18], the fruit diameter of litchi varied

from 35.81 to 27.97 mm. Calcuttia had the longest fruit length, whereas Longia had the smallest, according to Dabral and Misra [22]. The species that followed in order of fruit length were Rose Scented, Mandraji, and Dehra Dun. According to Singh et al. [32], the cultivar Kasba produced the maximum mean fruit length and diameter, measuring 3.78 and 3.37 cm, respectively, while the cultivar Dehradun produced the lowest (2.82 and 2.41 cm). The Rose Scented and Early Seedless types had the greatest fruit breadth of 3.17 cm, while Longia showed the least (2.70 cm). The differences in physical characteristics between cultivars could be attributed to genetic varietal characteristics. A preliminary examination of the data (Table 3) showed that, among the genotypes, DP-2 had the lowest fruit weight (20.52 g) and DB-2 had the highest fruit weight (23.38 g). The mean fruit weight of different cultivars varied greatly, according to Dabral and Misra [22]. The variation in fruit weight has been seen by other researchers [33,18]. Cultivar Kasba had the highest fruit weight (28.19 g/fruit), whereas cultivar Longia had the lowest (13.96 g/fruit), according to Singh et al. [32]. The differences in physical characteristics between cultivars could be attributed to genetic varietal characteristics. Chandola and Mishra [30] state that Longia had the lowest fruit weight, followed by Late Seedless, and Rose Scented had the highest fruit weight, followed by Dehradun. Genetic factors affect the fruit weight of litchi cultivars [15]. Similar disparities were noted in the earlier study carried out by Haq and Rab [34]. Singh [35] postulated that there are two likely explanations for the variation in fruit size: either the pericarp's properties (such cell size and laticiferous canals) or the intercellular space in different fruit tissues.

When evaluating a cultivar, the amount of aril is very important because it is the part of the fruit that is used at the end. According to a scan of the data (Table 3), DP-2 had the lowest aril weight (13.43 g) and GP-2 had the largest aril weight (15.28 g). Lal et al. [18] report that the weight of arils in litchi varied from 7.27 to 17.66 g. Dabral and Misra [22] reported that the fresh weight of aril varied greatly across Mandraji (8.83 g) and Late Seedless (14.60 g), with Early Seedless (13.16 g) having the maximum fresh weight. The litchi fruit's pulp weight matched that of the fruit, with cultivars Gola weighing the most (16.58 g), China and Surahi (16.27 and 15.90 g, respectively), and cultivar Bedana (11.19 g) weighing the least. The pulp weight of litchi fruit

Table 3. Characterization of genotypes of litchi based on quality

Genotypes	Fruit weight (g)	Aril weight (g)	TSS (Brix)	Acidity (%)	TSS/Acidity
Shahi	22.53	14.47	19.72	0.41	47.52
KD-1	22.61	13.6	19.66	0.35	55.41
KD-2	23.33	14.13	19.59	0.36	53.68
KS-1	22.60	13.59	19.55	0.34	57.50
KS-2	22.56	13.49	19.41	0.37	51.77
KT-1	22.70	14.31	19.99	0.37	53.31
KT-2	21.96	13.47	19.47	0.36	54.08
China	21.94	14.71	19.17	0.51	37.23
GC-1	21.47	14.96	18.70	0.50	37.04
GC-2	21.84	14.68	18.55	0.48	38.25
GP-1	22.46	14.24	19.25	0.47	40.97
GP-2	21.64	15.28	19.22	0.42	45.76
GG-1	21.66	14.71	18.85	0.47	40.12
GG-2	22.30	14.32	19.18	0.43	44.09
DB-1	22.80	13.68	19.45	0.38	50.54
DB-2	23.38	14.29	19.19	0.38	49.85
DP-1	22.16	14.30	19.75	0.36	54.13
DP-2	20.52	13.43	18.70	0.34	54.23
DT-1	21.75	14.39	18.75	0.36	52.08
DT-2	21.85	14.48	18.40	0.37	49.08
SEm ±	0.288	0.182	0.317	0.006	0.699
CD at 5%	0.829	0.523	0.910	0.017	2.008

Table 4. Characterization of genotypes of litchi based on seed characters

Genotypes	Seed length (mm)	Seed width (mm)	Test weight of seed (g)	Seed weight (g)
Shahi	21.54	13.83	4110	4.110
KD-1	22.35	13.62	3940	3.940
KD-2	21.83	13.25	3800	3.800
KS-1	22.33	13.45	3730	3.730
KS-2	21.60	13.59	3855	3.855
KT-1	22.21	13.75	3815	3.815
KT-2	21.87	13.51	3645	3.645
China	22.79	12.76	3445	3.445
GC-1	23.16	12.50	3240	3.240
GC-2	23.21	12.31	3250	3.250
GP-1	22.54	12.3	3300	3.300
GP-2	23.66	12.17	3415	3.415
GG-1	22.86	12.46	3245	3.245
GG-2	23.59	12.36	3615	3.615
DB-1	22.16	13.54	3895	3.895
DB-2	21.89	13.88	4150	4.150
DP-1	21.62	13.7	4150	4.150
DP-2	21.17	12.17	3530	3.530
DT-1	21.51	11.94	3450	3.450
DT-2	22.39	12.47	3425	3.425
SEm ±	0.310	0.138	52.50	0.52
CD at 5%	0.891	0.397	150.89	1.50

in cultivar Gola was 34.14%, 10.35%, and 4.59% higher than that of cultivars Bedana, Surahi, and China, respectively, according to Haq and Rab [34]. Since the weight of the fruit and pulp in litchi cultivars depends on genetic factors [15], nutrition [36], and fruit orientation [37], there may be variations in fruit and pulp weight between different cultivars. The differences in physical characteristics between cultivars could be attributed to genetic varietal characteristics. Examining the data revealed that the genotypes differed significantly in total soluble solids (Table 3). Total soluble solids (TSS) varied by genotype; genotype KT-1 displayed the highest TSS (19.99 °Brix) and genotype DT-2 the lowest (18.40 °Brix). Lal et al. [18] reported that TSS in litchi genotypes ranged from 17.04 to 19.98 °Brix. Furthermore, according to Rani [38], there were significant differences in total soluble solids across several cultivars of litchi. TSS was highest (19.66°Brix) for the cultivar Rose Scented, lowest (16.23°Brix) for Longia, and highest (19.33°Brix) for Late Seedless. Cultivar Deshi had the greatest TSS (°Brix) at 22.82, followed by Trikolia at 22.43, while Late Bedana had the lowest at 18.17 °Brix, according to Singh et al. [32]. Compared to Bedana, Surahi, and China, cultivar Gola showed a higher TSS (22.13 °Brix) [34]. The variations in TSS have been documented by Waseem et al. [37], Islam et al. [39], and Dhillon and Gill [40]. Based on a review of the data in Table 3, China (0.51%) had the highest titratable acidity, and KS-1 (0.34%) had the lowest. According to Lal et al. [18], the acidity of litchi varied from 0.23 to 0.55%. Rani [38] also observed that pulp from Rose Scented had the lowest acidity, at 0.30%, and that pulp from Longia, McLean, Calcuttia, Shahi, and Late Large Green had the highest acidity, ranging from 0.61 to 0.66%. These differences arise from the innate characteristics of different genotypes. One method pyruvic acid might manifest and reveal its role in respiration is by titratable acidity. Titratable acidity of pyruvic acid suggests that it may be involved in respiration [32].

The fruit's TSS/acidity ratio varied significantly between the genotypes (Table 3) that were the subject of the study. Genotype KS-1 produced the fruit with the highest TSS/acidity ratio (57.50), whereas genotype GC-1 produced the lowest (37.04) TSS/acid ratio. The TSS/acid ratio is the ideal litchi maturity standard. The range of TSS to acid ratios in litchi was 32.69 to 82.42 [18]. According to Rani's [38] findings, Late Seedless and Dehrrrose had the highest TSS: acid ratios, while Longia had the lowest.

Moreover, Kumari et al. [17] found that Bedana had the highest TSS/acid ratio (67.64). Cultivar China had the greatest TSS and Acid ratio (71.18), followed by Purbi (70.57), while cultivar Kasba (50.76) had the lowest, according to Singh et al. [32]. These differences in TSS and Acid ratios were caused by varying amounts of TSS and acid in various cultivars. The increase in the total soluble solids/acidity ratio was due to a buildup of soluble solids and a drop in organic acid. The TSS/acid ratio, which has a higher link with flavor than TSS, is the best indicator for harvesting litchi.

3.3 Seed Characters

It appears from Table 4 that the longest seeds (23.66 mm) were found in fruit of genotype GP-2, whilst the shortest seeds (21.17 mm) were found in genotype DP-2. The seed reached its maximum size when both the fruit and seed grew normally. Analogously, genotype DT-1 exhibited the smallest seed breadth, measuring 11.94 mm, whereas genotype DB-2 demonstrated the biggest seed breadth, measuring 13.88 mm. Variations in seed length (16.36 to 23.42 mm) and seed width (8.18 to 13.84 mm) have been reported by earlier researchers [18]. An other explanation for the genotype-to-genotype variation in seed size was early-stage seed abortion.

Similar results were achieved by Kumari [19]. Genotypes GC-1 had the lowest seed weights (3.24 and 3240 g), while DB-2 and DP-1 had the highest seed and test weights (4.15 and 4150 g). Lal et al. [18] report that the weight of litchi seeds varied from 1.72 to 4.39 g. Calcuttia (3.83 g) and Kasba (3.83 g) had the highest seed weights, according to Dabral and Misra [22]; Early Seedless (0.88 g) and Late Seedless (1.11 g) had the lowest seed weights. Rani [38] also observed that Late Seedless had the lowest seed content, followed by Shahi, while Calcuttia had the most. Pereira and Mitra [41] report that when fruits grew closer to being ready for harvest, the seed weight increased initially but then stayed the same or even declined. The seed weight rose rapidly during the first phase and then climbed gradually in the following phases [40].

4. CONCLUSION

The main conclusions regarding the diversity, effectiveness, and potential of many genotypes with regard to their blooming behaviour, fruit

yield, and quality attributes are usually highlighted at the end of the characterization of litchi genotypes based on flowering and fruit characters. Among the genotypes of litchi that have been investigated, there is a considerable genetic variety that can be used in breeding programs to increase fruit quality, yield, and flowering time. The genotypes GC-1 possessed small seed which can be used to get more pulp. It is possible to choose superior genotypes for commercial cultivation that have desired fruit qualities. The genotype characterisation of litchis based on fruit and flowering characteristics offers useful information for choosing cultivars and promising types for breeding and commercial production.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Lal N, Gupta AK, Kushwah NS, Nath V. Sapindaceous fruits: In horticultural crops of high nutritive values, pp 339-370, edited by KV Peter. Brillion Publishing, New Delhi; 2017a.
2. Marboh ES, Gupta AK, Singh M, Lal N and Nath V. Litchi: Origin and biological diversity of horticultural crops. ISBN: 978-93-89350-00-5. Pp107-137, edited by KV Peter. Brillion Publishing, New Delhi; 2019.
3. Lal N, Singh A, Gupta AK, Marboh ES, Kumar A, Nath V. Precocious flowering and dwarf NRCL-29-A new genetic stock of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.). Chemical Science Reviews & Letters. 2019; 8(32):206-210
4. Lal N, Nath V. Effect of plant age and stress on flowering in litchi (*Litchi chinensis*). Current Horticulture. 2020a;8(1):24-27.
5. Lal N, Pandey SK, Nath V, Agrawal V, Gontia AS, Sharma HL. Total phenol and flavonoids in by-product of Indian litchi: Difference among genotypes. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018b;7(3):2891-2894.
6. Lal. Genetic studies of litchi germplasm, PhD. Thesis, JNKVV, Jabalpur, MP; 2018.
7. Lal N, Pandey SK, Nath V, Gontia AS, Sharma HL. Evaluation of litchi (*Litchi chinensis*Sonn.) genotypes for fruit quality attributes. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018a;6(3):2556-2560.
8. Lal N, Gupta AK and Nath V. Fruit retention in different litchi germplasm Influenced by temperature. International Journal of Current Microbiology & Applied Science. 2017b;6(12): 1189-1194.
9. Lal N, Sahu, N, Kumar A, Pandey SD. Effect of rainfall and temperature on sun burn and fruit cracking in litchi. Journal of Agrometeorology. 2022a;24(2):169-171.
10. Lal N, Singh A, Pandey SD. Sunburn and fruit cracking in Litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) cv. 'Rose Scented.Emergent Life Sciences Research. 2023a;9(2):260-264.
11. Lal N, Kumar A, Pandey SD, Nath V. Screening of litchi genotypes for fruit cracking and the relationship of cracking to fruit and leaf traits. Erwerbs-Obstbau. 2023b;65:479-485.
12. Lal N, Sahu N. Screening of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) genotypes against sun burn. Bangladesh Journal of Botany. 2022;51(1):37-43.
13. Lal N, Kumar A, Marboh ES, Pandey SD, Nath V. Genetic diversity in litchi (*Litchi chinensis*) for morphological and physico-chemical traits. Current Horticulture. 2023c;11(1):33-36.
14. Lal N, Singh A, Kumar A, Marboh ES, Gupta AK, Pongener A, Nath V, Pandey SD. Hurdles in developing hybrids: Experience from a decade of hybridization in litchi. Euphytica. 2023d;219: 216.
15. Khurshid S, Ahmad I, Anjum MA. Genetic diversity in different morphological characteristics of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.). International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2004;6:1062-1065.
16. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers, 2nd Edition, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi; 1967.
17. Kumari S, Ruby Rani, Hidayatullah Mir, Feza A, Chandra J. Studies on fruit growth pattern and bio-chemical attribute of commercial cultivars of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) in Bhagalpur district of Bihar. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2017;5(5):2237-2240.

18. Lal N, Pandey SK, Nath V. Genetic diversity and grouping of litchi genotypes based on 83 qualitative and quantitative traits. *Erwerbs-Obstbau*. 2023e;65:1003–1012.
19. Kumari R. Studies on genetic variability of litchi hybrids and their parents. M.Sc. (Ag) in Horticulture, Department of Horticulture (fruit and fruit technology). Bihar Agricultural College, Sabour, Bhagalpur, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour Bhagalpur-813 210 (Bihar) India. 2016;64p.
20. Lal N, Singh A, Kumar A, Marboh ES, Jayswal DK, Pandey SD, Nath V. Effect of temperature, flowering time and inflorescence length on yield and productivity of litchi cv. 'Shahi'. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science*. 2022b;92(5):611-614.
21. Chen Po-An, Roan Su-Feng, Lee CL, Chen lou-Zen. Temperature model of litchi flowering from induction to anthesis. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 2016;205:106–111.
22. Dabral M, Misra KK. Studies on flowering and fruiting in some litchi cultivars. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 2007;64(2):207-210.
23. Rani A, Lal RL, Shukla P. Evaluation of litchi cultivars under Tarai conditions of Uttarakhand. *Pantnagar Journal of Research*. 2007;5(2):96-99.
24. Lal N, Nath V. Studies on sun burn and fruit cracking in litchi cultivars under Bihar condition. *Indian Journal of Arid Horticulture*. 2020b;20(1&2):62-66.
25. Rangare NR, Sharma DP, Rawat A, Lal N, Paroha S, Rahangdale HK. Cracking in fruit crops – A review. *Frontiers in Crop Improvement*. 2022a;10:1017-1022.
26. Rangare NR, Rangare NR, Kuldeep DK, Bhooriya MS, Lakra J. Fruit cracking, its causes and management. *Scientist*. 2022b;1(3):4200-4208.
27. Lal N, Kumar A. Panicle and fruit characteristics influenced by plant age in litchi cv. Rose scented. *Bangladesh Journal of Botany*. 2024;53(2):279-285.
28. Lal N, Nath V. Fruit orientation alters the quality of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) Under the agro-climatic conditions of eastern India. *Innovare Journal of Agricultural Science*. 2021a;9(3):17-19.
29. Pereira LS. Growth, flowering and fruiting behaviour of litchi cultivars in West Bengal. Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Fruits and Orchard Management, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya Mohanpur, Nadia, west bengal. 2002;186.
30. Chandola JC, Mishra DS. Morphological and biochemical characterization of litchi cultivars. *Hort Flora Research Spectrum*. 2015;4(4):361-365.
31. Chavaradar SD. Morpho-physiological characterization of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) in wayanad. M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis, Department of pomology and floriculture, College of horticulture Vellanikkara, Thrissur-680 656 Kerala, India. 2016;122.
32. Singh AK, Ray PK, Kumari R, Kumar A. Evaluation of important litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) cultivars grown in Bihar on the basis of tree, leaf and flowering characteristics. *Environment and Ecology*. 2010;28(1):224-227.
33. Lal N, Singh A, Singh SK, Kumar A, Pandey SD, Nath V. Morphological diversity in litchi based on phenological traits. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 2023f;80(1):30-36.
34. Haq IU, Rab A. Characterization of physico-chemical attributes of litchi fruit and its relation with fruit skin cracking. *The Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*. 2012;22(1):142-147.
35. Singh RN. Mango. ICAR, Krishi Anushandhan Bhawan, Pusa, New Delhi. 1990;21-23
36. Cronje RB, Sivakumar D, Mostert PG and Korsten L. Effect of different preharvest treatment regimes on fruit quality of Litchi cultivar "Maritius". *Journal of Plant Nutrition*. 2009;32:19-29.
37. Waseem K, Ghafoor A and Rahman SU. Effect of fruit orientation on the quality of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) under the Agro-Climatic Conditions of Dera Ismail Khan– Pakistan. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology* 2002;4:503–505.
38. Rani A. Performance of litchi cultivars under tarai conditions of Uttaranchal. Thesis, M. Sc., G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar. Uttarakhand. 2006;99.
39. Islam MS, Ibrahim M, Rahman MA, Uddin MA, Biswas SK. Studies on the fruit characteristics, bio-chemical composition and storage behaviour of litchi varieties. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2003;6(1):70-72.
40. Dhillon BS, Gill KS. Fruit development pattern and maturity indices studies for litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) cultivar Calcuttia under sub mountaineous

- conditions of Punjab. Progressive Horticulture. 2010;42(1):65-67.
41. Pereira LS, Mitra SK. Studies on fruit growth and development of litchi cultivars Bombai, China, Deshi and Early Large Red. The Horticultural Journal. 2004;17(2):115-124.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/124021>