



Impact of Various Nitrogen Rates on the Performance of Winter Potato (Var. Kufri Sindhuri) in Valley Areas of Manipur, India

Meghna Gogoi ^{a+++*}, Jamkhogin Lhungdim ^{b#},
Tabuiliu Abonmai ^{a++}, Edwin Luikham ^{b†},
K. Nandini Devi ^{b†} and Sumarjit Singh ^{b†}

^a College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, Imphal, Manipur, India.

^b Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, Imphal, Manipur, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i92226

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/101528>

Original Research Article

Received: 14/04/2023

Accepted: 16/06/2023

Published: 29/06/2023

ABSTRACT

The research was aimed at analyzing the performance of winter potato under different nitrogen rates at the experimental fields of College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, Imphal. The variety Kufri Sindhuri was cultivated during the winter seasons of 2017-18 and 2018-19 under three different nitrogen rates- 120 kg ha^{-1} (N₁), 100 kg ha^{-1} (N₂) and 80 kg ha^{-1} (N₃) using drip irrigation. The results indicated that plants fertilized with 120 kg ha^{-1} nitrogen recorded maximum

⁺⁺ Ph.D Scholar (Agronomy),

[#] Assistant Professor (Sr. Scale),

[†] Professor,

*Corresponding author: E-mail: meghna.gogoi91@gmail.com;

germination percentage (86.17%), plant height (40.47cm), number of shoots plant⁻¹ (4.50), stem girth (0.56cm), crop growth rate (17.96gm²day⁻¹), relative growth rate (2.75gg⁻¹day⁻¹), weight of tubers per plant (1.04kg) and tuber yield (19.96t/ha) amongst other treatments. Thus, concluding that healthy plants and good yield of potato was obtained when fertilized with 120 kg ha⁻¹ of Nitrogen in Imphal.

Keywords: Potato; nitrogen; growth parameters; crop growth rate; relative growth rate; tuber yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

Potato is an important crop of the world and fulfills all the criteria for a healthy food and offers a great potential for decreasing global food crisis. Hence, it is rightly called as the “poor man’s friend” and was officially dubbed the “Food of the Future” at the event of the United Nations International Year of Potato in Cusco, Peru in 2008. For human consumption it can substitute the cereals to a greater extent. It is used in day to day food menu of almost all Indian recipes. Potato is rich in vitamins especially C and B₁, proteins, carbohydrates, enzymes and other substances necessary for human nutrition. It contains 20.6% carbohydrate, 2.1% protein, 0.3% fat, 1.1% crude fiber and 0.9% ash on dry weight basis. It also contains good amount of essential amino acids like leucine, tryptophan and isoleucine. The consumption per capita per year ranges from 55kg in the most affluent countries to 11kg in developing countries [1]. Apart from daily usage as vegetable, it is also used for several industrial purposes, viz., production of starch, alcohol, dextrin, glucose, dyes etc. Potato starch (farina) is used in laundries and for sizing yarn in textile mills. It is one of the most remunerative and profitable crop for the growers due to its higher yield potential within a limited time. Though in the past, it was a labour oriented crop but due to mechanization it can be grown in large areas with low labour requirement. This is a crop, which can be adopted in cropping system and helps in increasing the cropping intensity to a greater extent. The wide flexibility in its planting and harvesting dates makes the crop most suitable for inclusion in intensive cropping system. In India, potato is not primarily a rural staple food, rather a cash crop that provides significant income for small and marginal farmers. Since 1990, its per capita consumption has risen from around 12kg to 17kg a year. Due to high demand and production growth scenario, almost 80% of the additional potato output in developing countries will be realized in China and India [2]. It is also reported that the most rapid annual potato market growth (3.8%) is expected in India [3].

Thus, with proper management techniques if potato production in our country can be further increased then it would help to sustain food and nutrition security, reduce the need of imports of cereals and save precious foreign exchange.

North Eastern Hill (NEH) region of India especially under the hilly tracts, where potato is an important crop is grown under rainfed conditions [4]. The crop assumes immense importance in the cropping system and dietary habits of the people of this region. The NEH region covers almost 10% of the country’s total potato area. Potato is a temperate crop and grows well during *rabi* season, but under sub-tropical areas also it can be cultivated successfully. Amongst those pressurized irrigation methods, drip irrigation has proved its superiority over other methods of irrigation due to the direct application of water and nutrients in the vicinity of root zone. Low productivity of potato in Manipur may be attributed due to the lack of optimum water availability during the growing season. The foremost challenge in successful potato production in this region is the lack of awareness of farmers to apply the new technology and proper nutrient management. Hence, a field trial using different levels of nitrogen application using drip system of irrigation was taken up to ascertain the performance of potato.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the experimental field of College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, Imphal during the winter season of 2017-18 and 2018-19 and laid out in factorial randomized block design with three replications. The soil of the experimental field was studied by the Bouyoucos Hydrometer method [5] and recorded clayey. It had a pH of 5.29 which was determined by the glass electrode pH meter [6]. The organic carbon content was determined by Walkley and Black rapid titration method [7] and was reported to be high (2.23%). Available nitrogen (282.73 kg ha⁻¹), phosphorous (24.45 kg ha⁻¹) and potassium (269.38 kg ha⁻¹) were all recorded to be in the

medium range and they were determined by the Alkaline permanganate method [8], Bray and Kurtz method [6] and Flame Photometer method [6] respectively. The meteorological observations were collected from the Experimental Agromet Advisory Service, ICAR Complex for NEH Region, Manipur Centre, Lamphelpat, Imphal. The mean minimum and maximum temperature recorded during the cropping season was 4.6-6.5°C and 27.7-29.4°C, respectively. The total rainfall recorded was 458.40.8 mm. The average relative humidity ranged from 36.6% (minm.) to 93.8% (maxm.). The experiment was laid out in factorial randomized block design and replicated thrice consisting of three nitrogen rate treatments viz., 120 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₁), 100 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₂) and 80 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₃) respectively. Recommended dose of N, P and K (120/100/80: 80: 60 Kg N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹) was applied in the form of Urea, SSP and MOP respectively. The entire quantity of fertilizer was applied at the time of sowing to all the plots equally. Bold and healthy potato tubers of variety *Kufri Sindhuri* were selected for planting.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Germination: Highest germination was observed in 120 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₁) (86.17%) followed by 100 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₂) (82.67%) and 80 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₃) (79.78%) for both the years of study as well as on the mean pooled data. This may be because of availability of suitable amount of nitrogen in soil during its emergence period. But the tubers planted with 80 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₃) registered lowest germination percentage because of less availability of nitrogen during the initial 30 DAS, which were not very conducive for rapid germination. This is depicted in Table 1.

Plant height: Among nitrogen rates, 120 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₁) produced significantly taller plants as compared to 100 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₂) and 80 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₃) at all levels of crop growth. At 30 DAS N₁ (15.95) recorded higher plant height than N₂ (15.47) and N₃ (13.91). N₁ was at par with N₂. At 60 DAS, N₁ (24.41) recorded significantly highest plant height over N₂ (23.93) and N₃ (23.02). N₂ and N₃ were at par. At 90 DAS, N₁ (40.47) showed significantly highest plant height over N₂ (38.21) and N₃ (34.96); Similarly at maturity, N₁ (40.47) produced highest plant height over N₂ (38.55) and N₃ (35.26). This is depicted in Table 2. The N fertilization treatments showed an increase in plant height with an increase in nitrogen rate. The same result was found by previous research [9-11].

Number of shoots per plant: Among nitrogen rates, 120 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₁) produced significantly higher number of shoots per plant of potato as compared to 100 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₂) and 80 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₃) at all levels of crop growth. At 30 DAS N₁ (2.00) recorded higher number of shoots per plant than N₂ (1.78) and N₃ (1.29) for both the years. At 60 DAS, N₁ (3.94) recorded significantly highest number of shoots per plant over N₂ (3.22) and N₃ (2.50). At 90 DAS, N₁ (4.50) showed significantly highest number of shoots per plant over N₂ (3.74) and N₃ (2.81); Similarly at maturity, N₁ (4.50) produced highest number of shoots per plant over N₂ (3.74) and N₃ (2.81). The number of shoots plant⁻¹ increased with an increase in nitrogen rate. Similar such results were also reported by [12]. Yet, at later stages the stem number increased at a decreasing rate. This is depicted in Table 3.

Table 1. Effect of nitrogen rates on the germination (%) of potato

Treatments	Germination (%) 30 DAS		
	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled
N ₁	85.89	86.44	86.17
N ₂	81.67	83.67	82.67
N ₃	79.56	80.00	79.78
SEd(+)	1.40	1.45	1.61
CD(p=0.05)	2.85	2.96	3.27

Table 2. Effect of nitrogen rates on the plant height of potato

Treatments	30 DAS			60 DAS			90 DAS			Maturity		
	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled
N ₁	15.81	16.08	15.95	24.29	24.53	24.41	40.13	40.80	40.47	40.13	40.80	40.47
N ₂	15.29	15.53	15.47	23.79	24.07	23.93	38.21	38.78	38.55	38.21	38.78	38.55
N ₃	13.56	14.26	13.91	22.91	23.14	23.02	34.96	35.55	35.26	34.96	35.55	35.26
SEd(+)	0.30	0.30	0.31	0.50	0.49	0.47	0.66	0.67	0.69	0.66	0.67	0.69
CD(p=0.05)	0.61	0.62	0.63	1.02	1.00	0.96	1.35	1.37	1.39	1.35	1.37	1.39

Table 3. Effect of nitrogen rates on the number of shoots per plant of potato

Treatments	30 DAS			60 DAS			90 DAS			Maturity		
	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled
N ₁	1.75	2.25	2.00	4.03	3.86	3.94	4.69	4.31	4.50	4.50	4.31	4.50
N ₂	1.69	1.86	1.78	3.28	3.17	3.22	3.83	3.64	3.74	3.74	3.64	3.74
N ₃	1.25	1.33	1.29	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.75	2.86	2.81	2.81	2.86	2.81
SEd(+)	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.11	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.15
CD(p=0.05)	0.11	0.17	0.16	0.26	0.27	0.30	0.23	0.30	0.26	0.30	0.30	0.30

Table 4. Effect of nitrogen rates on the stem girth of potato

Treatments	30 DAS			60 DAS			90 DAS			Maturity		
	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled
N ₁	0.37	0.46	0.41	0.50	0.61	0.55	0.61	0.71	0.66	0.51	0.61	0.56
N ₂	0.34	0.42	0.38	0.44	0.56	0.50	0.56	0.68	0.62	0.46	0.58	0.52
N ₃	0.31	0.39	0.35	0.36	0.50	0.43	0.46	0.60	0.53	0.36	0.50	0.43
SEd(+)	0.015	0.018	0.017	0.019	0.024	0.022	0.023	0.019	0.024	0.021	0.019	0.020
CD(p=0.05)	0.031	0.036	0.034	0.039	0.050	0.044	0.047	0.039	0.048	0.042	0.039	0.040

Table 5. Effect of nitrogen rates on the Crop Growth Rate of potato

Treatments	30-60 DAS			60-90 DAS			90 DAS-Maturity		
	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled
N ₁	5.83	5.89	5.86	17.85	18.07	17.96	14.91	15.35	15.08
N ₂	4.63	4.69	4.66	15.44	15.66	15.55	15.91	16.59	16.30
N ₃	4.21	4.29	4.25	9.69	9.81	9.75	16.22	16.32	16.27
SEd(+)	0.134	0.135	0.120	0.545	0.624	0.332	0.312	0.379	0.354
CD(p=0.05)	0.271	0.275	0.244	1.108	1.267	0.675	0.633	0.771	0.719

Table 6. Effect of nitrogen rates on the Relative Growth Rate of potato

Treatments	30-60 DAS			60-90 DAS			90 DAS-Maturity		
	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled
N ₁	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.75	2.76	2.75	2.95	2.96	2.96
N ₂	2.24	2.25	2.25	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.93	2.94	2.94
N ₃	2.18	2.19	2.19	2.57	2.58	2.58	2.87	2.87	2.87
SEd(+)	0.038	0.042	0.040	0.051	0.045	0.045	0.049	0.049	0.052
CD(p=0.05)	0.077	0.085	0.082	0.103	0.091	0.091	NS	NS	NS

Table 7. Effect of nitrogen rates on the Weight of tubers per plant

Treatments	Weight of tubers per plant (kg)		
	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled
N ₁	1.03	1.05	1.04
N ₂	0.96	0.98	0.97
N ₃	0.84	0.86	0.85
SEd(+)	0.02	0.02	0.02
CD(p=0.05)	0.03	0.03	0.03

Table 8. Effect of nitrogen rates on the Tuber Yield of potato

Treatments	Tuber Yield (t ha ⁻¹)		
	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled
N ₁	19.05	20.92	19.96
N ₂	18.04	18.63	18.28
N ₃	16.35	16.63	16.49
SEd(+)	0.41	0.59	0.45
CD(p=0.05)	0.83	1.21	0.91

Stem girth: Among nitrogen rates, 120 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₁) produced significantly higher stem girth (cm) of potato as compared to 100 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₂) and 80 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₃) at all levels of crop growth. This is depicted in Table 4. At 30 DAS N₁ (0.41) recorded higher number of shoots per plant than N₂ (0.38) and N₃ (0.35) for both the years. At 60 DAS, N₁ (0.55) recorded significantly highest number of shoots per plant over N₂ (0.50) and N₃ (0.43). N₂ and N₁ were at par. At 90 DAS, N₁ (0.66) showed significantly highest number of shoots per plant over N₂ (0.62) and N₃ (0.53) but N₁ was at par with N₂; Similarly at maturity, N₁ (0.56) produced highest number of shoots per plant over N₂ (0.52) and N₃ (0.43). Nitrogen, which promotes the formation of stems and leaves in the plant, is a nutrient that directly affects the important physiological functions in the plant and amount as well as the quality of product. Hence, the stem girth increased with an increase in nitrogen rate.

Crop growth rate: Among nitrogen rates, 120 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₁) produced significantly more CGR (gm⁻²day⁻¹) of potato as compared to 100 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₂) and 80 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₃) at all levels of crop growth. This is depicted in Table 5. At 30-60 DAS N₁ (5.86) recorded higher CGR (gm⁻²day⁻¹) than N₂ (4.66) and N₃ (4.25) for both the years. At 60-90 DAS, N₁ (17.96) recorded significantly highest CGR (gm⁻²day⁻¹) over N₂ (15.55) and N₃ (9.75); However, during 90 DAS-maturity, N₂ (16.30) produced significantly highest CGR (gm⁻²day⁻¹) over N₃ (16.27) and N₁ (15.08) but N₂ was at par with N₃. The nitrogen levels performance had significant effect on CGR at all the stages of crop growth due to the suitability of the climatic conditions for growth and development. During 90 DAS-maturity, the CGR was slowed down, because of falling of the old leaves [13-15].

Relative Growth Rate: Among nitrogen rates, 120 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₁) produced significantly more RGR (gg⁻¹day⁻¹) of potato as compared to 100 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₂) and 80 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen

(N₃) at all levels of crop growth. This is depicted in Table 6. During 30-60 DAS N₁ (2.30) recorded higher RGR (gg⁻¹day⁻¹) than N₂ (2.25) and N₃ (2.12) for both the years. During 60-90 DAS, N₁ (2.75) recorded significantly highest RGR (gg⁻¹day⁻¹) over N₂ (2.69) and N₃ (2.58). However, during 90 DAS-maturity there was non-significant interaction between the treatments. RGR was found significantly higher in N₁ over, N₂ and N₃ and went on increasing from 30-60 DAS upto 90DAS-Maturity. These results are in line to the findings of Walker et al., 2001[16]. They recorded that growth rate is controlled by the rate of external N supply. Where external N is available the amount of N taken up by the plant increases linearly with its dry weight, so that plant N concentration remains constant, with all of the nitrate converted into organic forms of N virtually as soon as it is taken up and transported to the shoots.

Weight of tubers per plant: Among nitrogen rates, 120 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₁) produced significantly more weight of tubers per plant (kg) of potato (1.04) as compared to 100 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₂) (0.97) and 80 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₃) (0.85) at the time of harvest for both the years of study and the pooled data. [17, 18] also reported that potato tuber yield, largest tuber weight, commodity tuber weight, dry matter accumulation, and vitamin C content increased with the increase in the fertilizer application rate and the dripper discharge rate. Arnout, [19] revealed that high rates of applied nitrogen can increase tuber weight. This is depicted in Table 7.

Tuber Yield: Among nitrogen rates, 120 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₁) produced significantly more tuber yield (t ha⁻¹) of potato (19.96) as compared to 100 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₂) (18.28) and 80 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₃) (16.49) at the time of harvest. Among all factors influencing potato yield, fertilizer application is considered a major one [20]. Nitrogen affects yield and yield components of potato crop [21,22]). Adequate supply of

nitrogen enhanced root growth, uptake of other nutrients, overall development of the crop plants and tuber yield [23]. This is depicted in Table 8.

4. CONCLUSION

Among all the nitrogen rate treatments, significantly higher plant growth and yield parameters was obtained by maintaining nitrogen rates at 120 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₁) followed by 100 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen (N₂). It is worth to note that 80 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen significantly decreased crop growth and yield (N₃). This study reflects that when winter potato is planted with N₁ in Manipur region using drip irrigation technique, it can prove to be economically profitable to the farmers of this region. So, for yield optimization, growing potato with appropriate nitrogen dose is very critical as then we can get healthy plants with good growth and yield. N₁ had higher germination percentage, plant height, number of branches plant⁻¹, stem girth, crop growth rate, relative growth rate, weight of tubers per plant and tuber yield amongst other treatments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The financial support received from the Central Agricultural University, Imphal; during the period of the doctoral programme is thankfully acknowledged. Sincere note of acknowledgements are due to the Dean, College of Agriculture (CAU, Imphal) for providing all logistic supports during the tenure of this field experiment and all the Research Advisory Committee Members for their valuable suggestions and timely guidance for conducting this field research.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Fabeiro C, Martin de Santa Olalla F, de Juan JA. Yield and size of deficit irrigated potatoes. *Agric. Water Manage.* 2001;48: 255–266.
2. Scott GJ, Rosegrant MW, Ringler C. Global projections for root and tuber crops to the year 2020. *Food Policy.* 2000;25: 561-597.
3. Guenther JF. Past, present and future of world potato markets: An overview. *Potato J.* 2010; 37:1-8.
4. Sah U, Dubey SK, Sharma JP. Potato marketing in North East region of India: A diagnostic study. *J. of Comm. Mobiliz. Sust. Deve.* 2011;6(2):194-201.
5. Chopra SL, Kanwar JS. Analytical agricultural chemistry. Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana; 1976.
6. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi. 1973;186-192.
7. Piper CS. Soil and plant analysis. Indian Ed. Hans Publ. Bombay, Asian Ed. 1966;368.
8. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid method for the estimation of nitrogen in soil. *Current Science.* 1956;26:259-260.
9. Tolessa ES, Belew D, Debela A. Effect of nitrogen rates and irrigation regimes on nitrogen use efficiency of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) in southwest Ethiopia. *Science.* 2017;2(3): 170-175.
10. Godebo DD, Belay BDAST. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer (Urea) rate application on growth performance of potato (*Solanum Tuberosum* L.) on vertisols of central highland of North Shewa, Ethiopia. *Advances in Life Science and Technology.* 2020;80.
11. Setu H, Mitiku T. Response of potato to nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers at Assosa, western Ethiopia. *Agronomy Journal.* 2020;112(2):1227-1237.
12. Satognon F, Owido SF, Lelei JJ. Effects of supplemental irrigation on yield, water use efficiency and nitrogen use efficiency of potato grown in mollic Andosols. *Environmental Systems Research.* 2021;10:1-14.
13. Isoda A, Nakaseko K, Gotoh K, Nishibe S. Productivity of some hybrid strains between *Andigena* and *Tuberosum* in potato. *Japan J. Crop Sci.* 1987;56(3):379-386.
14. Borego FM, Fernandez A, Lopez V, Murillo M, Carvajal A. Growth analysis in seven potato cultivars (*Solanum tuberosum*). *Agronomia Mesoamerican.* 2000;11(1): 145-149.
15. Fonseka HD, Asanuma K, Kustani A, Ghosh A K, Ueda K. Growth and yield of potato cultivars in spring Sowing. *Japan J. Crop Sci.* 1996;65(2):269-276.

16. Walker RL, Burns IG, Moorby J. Responses of plant growth rate to nitrogen supply: A comparison of relative addition and N interruption treatments. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. 2001;52(355):309-317.
17. Beycioğlu T, Killı F, Keten M. Influence of nitrogen levels on productivity of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) cultivars. 2021;5(2):25-28.
18. Wang X, Guo T, Wang Y, Xing, Y, Wang, Y, He X. Exploring the optimization of water and fertilizer management practices for potato production in the sandy loam soils of Northwest China based on PCA. *Agric. Water Manag.* 2020;237:106180.
19. Arnout VD. Yield and growth components of potato and wheat under organic nitrogen management. *Agron. J.* 2001;93: 1370-1385.
20. Westermann DT. Nutritional requirements of potatoes. *American Journal of Potato Research*. 2005;82(4):301-307.
21. Kundu CK, Bera PS, Giri A, Das S, Datta MK, Bandopadhyay P. Effect of different doses of nitrogen and potassium on growth and yield of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) under new alluvial zone of West Bengal. *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology*. 2019;36(2):1-5.
22. Coast O, Harden S, Conaty WC, Brodrick R, Edwards EJ. Canopy temperature of high-nitrogen water-stressed cotton. *Crop Science*. 2020;60:1513-1529.
23. Brady N C, Weil RR. The nature and properties of soils. 14th Edition. Pearson Education International, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey. 2008;975. Macmillan Publishing Co. Inc.

© 2023 Gogoi et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/101528>